	DALE STREET ELEMEN	ITARY SCI	HOOL, MEI	DFIELD,	, MA	PSR - I	FEASIBILITY	STUDY	/ // EVALU	ATION	CRITERIA	MATRI	<u>x</u>				7/31/2020							
	Note: 1. Each item is scored 1-5. A s	score must	Best 5		Better 4		Good 3		Fair 2		Poor 1													
	be entered for all items. 2. A Criteria Multiplier is adde score to arrive at subtotal.	d to the		DESIGN ALTERNATIVES																				
	3. Category subtotals are add Score for each Deisgn Alterna				_		_	DALE	STREET SC	HOOLS		DESIC	III AEIE	MIVAII	IVES				WHE	FLOCK	SCHOOL S	ITE		
ļ		Scheme	Α		B1		B2	DALL	E1	I IOOL S	E2		E1.3		E2.3		G1		G2	LLOCK	J1		J2	
		Туре	BASE REPAIR		ADD/RENO		ADD/RENO		NEW CONST.		NEW CONST.		NEW CONST.		NEW CONST.		NEW CONST.		NEW CONST.		NEW CONST		NEW CONST	
		Grades Students	4-5 450		4-5 575		3-5 860		4-5 575		3-5 860		4-5 575		3-5 860		4-5 575		3-5 860		575		3-5 860	
ategory	Criteria	Criteria Multiplier																						
Educational	Meets Educational Program	15	1		2		3		4		4		4		4		5		5		5		5	
	How well does the alternative support the educational program? Are critical program adjacencies and proximities achieved in the building layout? Does the project create a grade continuous educational campus?																							
cati	Growth	5	1		2		2		4		5		4		5		4		5		4		5	_
qn	How well does the site and building configuration support potential future growth and allow for changes as educational needs may change?																							
	Impact on Other Schools	10	1		2		3		3		4		3		4		5		5		5		5	
	Does the alternative encourage connection to the other elementary schools? How well does the alternative address capacity issues at the other elementary schools?																							
	Category Subtota	30		30		60		85		110		125		110		125		145		150		145		150
	Site Amenities/ Fields	5	4		3		3		3		3		3		3		5		5		5		5	
	Does the alternative support athletic fields to remain on-site, or is relocating athletic fields off-site needed? Does the alternative provide sufficient space for adequately-sized PE field and playground area? Are after school amenities available on-site?																							
ng	Circulation & Parking	5	2		4		4		5		5		4		4		5		5		5		5	
Site / Building	How well does the site confi Are vehicle and pedestrian of Does the alternative provide Sustainability How well does the building thou well does the building the well does the building thou well does the building the building the building the building the building the building the	irculations cl	ear, safe, and separation of	l easily ur f bus, cars	nderstood betw s and pedestria	een buse	s, cars, and ped	estrians	?			nto and o	ut of the site	?	5		5		5		5		5	
	How well does the building	How well does the building meet the potential to meet net zero? How well does the building organization and position on site support academic classrooms with a north/south solar orientation? How well does the building reduce embodied carbon and waste?																						
	Category Subtota	15		35		45		45		65		65		60		60		75		75		75		75
	Regulatory Issues	5	5		4		4		4		4		4		4		4		4		4		4	
	How complex is the permitti Are zoning variances require Does the alternative mimini	d? If so, how	many?		azardous mater	ials, and	environmental (conditio	ns?															
	Construction Impact	10	1		1		1		3		2		3		2		4		4		4		4	
' Security	Does the alternative affect of Is there sufficient area for la How well is the alternative a Does the alternative require	ydown space ble to minim	e and safe sep nize disruption	aration b	ols and neighb	ors?	d school activition	es?																

Logistics,	Long term operational efficiencies	5	1		1		1		2		3		2		3		4		5		4		5	
Logi		How well does the alternative increase efficiencies for shared staff/resources between elementary schools? How well does the alternative increase efficiencies for the bussing system?																						
	Security	5	1		3		3		5		5		5		5		5		5		5		5	
	How well does the building s How well does it support con How well does the front door	ntrolled and I	imited public	area for a	fter-hours use	?	d the site entry	1?																
	Category Subtotal	25		45		50		50		85		80		85		80		105		110		105		110
	Traffic	5	5		5		4		5		4		5		4		4		4		4		4	
act	Does the alternative negative Does the alternative support Community How well does the alternativ	and encoura	ge walkers? 3 e of the site a	nd surrou	4		3		4		3		4		3		5		5		5		5	
Impact		ow well does the alternative benefit the community such as community space, athletic fields and after school programming? ow well does the alternative support sports and other after hours events or usage?																						
⊆																								
≥	Cost	15	3		4		3		4		3		4		3		5		4		5		4	
Town	Which alternative has the lea How well does the alternativ Does the alternative positive	est cost impa e maximize t	ct to the Tow	nt by avoi	ding ineligible	costs?	3		4		3		4		3		5		4		5		4	
Tow	Which alternative has the lea How well does the alternativ	est cost impa e maximize t	ct to the Tow	nt by avoi	ding ineligible	costs?	5		2		3		2		2		3		3		3		3	
Tow	Which alternative has the lea How well does the alternativ Does the alternative positive 1941 Building & Future	ast cost impa re maximize t re maximize t rely impact ne	ct to the Tow the MSBA grai eded future ca	nt by avoic	ding ineligible ts?		5	oligation	_	or a futur	2	ject?	2						3		3			
Tow	Which alternative has the lea How well does the alternativ Does the alternative positive 1941 Building & Future Implications	est cost impa e maximize t ely impact ne	ct to the Tow the MSBA grai eded future ca	nt by avoic	ding ineligible ts?		5	oligation 105	_	or a futur	2	ject?	2	115		90		135	3	120	3	135		120