## Washington Elem School (PK - 2) PANA CUSD 8



#### Principal

Mrs. Cheri Wysong cwysong@panaschools.com Address

PO Box 377 Pana IL 62557 (217)562-7500

**District Superintendent** Mr. Jason Bauer

http://www.panaschools.com

#### **District Provided Statement**

Not available.

## **About the Report Card**

# State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

## **School Snapshot**

Site-Based Expenditure Per Student Spending : \$9,848

Average Class Size : \*

Chronic Absenteeism : 23.1%

Teacher Retention : 91.8%

Senate District : 48 House District : 95

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 02 | Academic Progress
- 22 | School Environment
- 27 | Students
- 44 | Accountability
- 46 | Teachers
- 52 | Administrators
- 54 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

## **Academic Progress**

## About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



### IAR

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approached expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (English language arts [ELA] and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

### DLM

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

### ISA

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

### **Proficiency**

#### What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

ELA - All T	ests											
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>34.1%</b> *	<b>28.4%</b> *	<b>39.2</b> % *	*	<b>35.3%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	<b>20.0%</b> *	10.8% *
State	29.9% *	<b>25.5%</b> *	<b>34.7%</b> *	<b>50.5%</b> *	<b>39.4</b> % *	<b>12.1%</b> *	<b>18.4</b> % *	<b>58.6%</b> *	<b>38.7%</b> *	<b>23.5</b> % *	<b>33.6%</b> *	<b>12.9%</b> *

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	10.7% *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>29.0%</b> *	<b>21.7%</b> *	*	<b>16.7%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *
State	<b>7.0%</b> *	<b>6.9%</b> *	<b>15.9%</b> *	9.3% *	<b>10.2%</b> *	<b>11.0%</b> *	<b>31.1%</b> *

#### Mathematics - All Tests

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>21.7%</b> *	<b>21.8%</b> *	<b>21.7%</b> *	*	<b>22.6%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	10.0% *	10.0% *
State	<b>25.8%</b> *	<b>27.3</b> % *	24.3% *	<b>37.2</b> % *	<b>35.6%</b> *	<b>6.8%</b> *	13.5% *	<b>60.2%</b> *	<b>33.4</b> % *	<b>19.1%</b> *	<b>28.5%</b> *	<b>12.2</b> % *

### Proficiency (cont)

#### Mathematics - All Tests

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>10.7%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	16.3% *	<b>8.7%</b> *	*	<b>8.3%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *
State	<b>7.2</b> % *	<b>6.8%</b> *	<b>11.4</b> % *	<b>5.6%</b> *	<b>7.3%</b> *	<b>6.7%</b> *	<b>26.1%</b> *

#### Science - All Tests

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>55.0%</b> *	<b>56.0%</b> *	<b>53.0%</b> *	*	<b>56.0%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>18.0%</b> *
State	<b>50.0%</b> *	<b>50.0%</b> *	<b>50.0%</b> *	<b>75.0%</b> *	<b>63.0%</b> *	<b>23.0%</b> *	<b>37.0</b> % *	<b>76.0%</b> *	<b>56.0%</b> *	<b>41.0%</b> *	<b>54.0%</b> *	<b>28.0%</b> *

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	15.0% *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>44.0%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	<b>30.0%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *

### **Proficiency (cont)**

#### ELA - All Tests - Accountability

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	+	+	ŧ	+	+	+	+	\$	ŧ	+	+	+
District	35.8%	29.9%	41.2%	*	37.2%	‡	+	*	*	‡	21.1%	11.3%
State	30.9%	26.2%	<b>35.8</b> %	51.6%	40.8%	12.4%	19.0%	61.1%	40.0%	24.0%	34.2%	13.1%
	Students	English	Low	I	I	You	ith In	I	I	I	I	

	with IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
School	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
District	11.3%	+	30.5%	22.9%	*	17.5%	+
State	7.1%	7.1%	16.3%	9.2%	10.5%	10.7%	<b>32</b> .1%

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Accountability

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ
District	22.8%	22.8%	22.8%	*	23.7%	+	+	*	*	+	10.5%	10.4%
State	26.6%	28.0%	25.0%	37.6%	36.8%	6.9%	13.9%	62.6%	34.4%	19.4%	28.9%	12.3%

### Proficiency (cont)

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Accountability

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
District	11.2%	+	17.2%	9.2%	*	8.8%	+
State	7.3%	7.0%	11.6%	5.5%	7.5%	6.4%	26.9%

#### Science - All Tests - Accountability

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
District	57.4%	58.6%	56.3%	*	58.9%	ŧ	+	*	*	ŧ	ŧ	19.5%
State	51.8%	51.7%	52.0%	74.8%	65.9%	23.4%	38.5%	79.4%	57.4%	41.6%	55.5%	28.9%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
District	16.5%	ŧ	46.9%	+	*	31.6%	ŧ
State	18.0%	<b>16.9</b> %	34.1%	22.0%	19.0%	24.2%	56.0%

### Mean Growth Percentile - IAR

#### What is it?

This shows the growth of students relative to other students in the state with a similar scale score in the preceding school year(s). If the data is available, the Student Growth Percentile (SGP) takes up to two prior scores. The school, district, and state measure is the sum of the students' SGP divided by the number of students with an SGP. Illinois uses SGPs to calculate growth. Proficiency shows whether or not students have mastered a common, high standard; whereas, growth recognizes progress toward and beyond the standard, no matter where each student started. Data show that students of all races, income levels, languages, and disability statutes demonstrate growth across the full range. Our rigorous assessments ensure there is more than enough room to measure the growth of even Illinois' highest achievers.

ELA												
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>55.7%</b> 21,960	<b>53.8%</b> 10,378	<b>57.6%</b> 11,582	*	<b>56.5%</b> 21,126	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>46.7%</b> 3,967
State	<b>50.0%</b> 23,717,338	<b>48.1%</b> 11,693,654	<b>52.0%</b> 12,021,349	<b>57.0%</b> 2,335	<b>51.2%</b> 13,262,181	<b>44.9%</b> 2,826,582	<b>48.0%</b> 5,143,887	<b>58.7%</b> 1,428,782	<b>51.2%</b> 23,226	<b>48.6%</b> 45,870	<b>49.9%</b> 986,810	<b>42.5%</b> 3,707,063

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>47.1%</b> 3,815	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>53.8%</b> 13,021	<b>50.9%</b> 662	*	<b>48.5%</b> 679	<b>‡</b> ‡
State	<b>40.2%</b> 2,664,600	<b>45.0%</b> 2,435,298	<b>46.5%</b> 9,507,314	<b>44.3%</b> 347,058	<b>45.1%</b> 4,018	<b>43.1%</b> 117,964	<b>49.2%</b> 175,889

### Mean Growth Percentile - IAR (cont)

#### Mathematics

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>46.3%</b> 18,235	<b>46.8%</b> 9,042	<b>45.7%</b> 9,193	*	<b>47.1%</b> 17,632	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>40.0%</b> 3,398
State	<b>49.9%</b> 23,403,002	<b>49.7%</b> 11,949,676	<b>50.1%</b> 11,451,373	<b>50.1%</b> 1,953	<b>50.8%</b> 13,062,419	<b>44.1%</b> 2,704,988	<b>49.2%</b> 5,196,730	<b>58.2%</b> 1,401,896	<b>53.7%</b> 23,742	<b>50.2%</b> 46,477	<b>49.5%</b> 966,750	<b>42.8%</b> 3,669,151

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>40.1%</b> 3,246	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>44.7%</b> 10,815	<b>46.1%</b> 599	*	<b>32.6%</b> 457	<b>‡</b> ‡
State	<b>40.2%</b> 2,619,125	<b>46.4%</b> 2,468,626	<b>46.9%</b> 9,433,658	<b>43.3%</b> 331,759	<b>44.9%</b> 3,997	<b>42.8%</b> 115,342	<b>49.2%</b> 174,269

### **Participation Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

ELA - All T	LA - All Tests - Participation												
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities	
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
District	<b>100.0%</b> *	100.0% *	100.0% *	*	100.0% *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	100.0% *	100.0% *	
State	<b>98.0%</b> *	<b>97.8</b> % *	<b>98.1%</b> *	<b>96.9%</b> *	<b>98.4%</b> *	<b>96.7%</b> *	<b>97.9%</b> *	<b>99.0%</b> *	<b>98.3%</b> *	<b>97.1%</b> *	<b>96.6%</b> *	<b>96.5</b> % *	

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	100.0%	<b>‡</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
	*	*	*
State	<b>96.2%</b>	<b>98.1%</b>	<b>97.6</b> %
	*	*	*

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Participation


	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>99.8</b> % *	<b>99.7</b> % *	100.0% *	*	<b>99.8%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	100.0% *	<b>99.2</b> % *
State	<b>97.7%</b> *	<b>97.6</b> % *	<b>97.9%</b> *	<b>95.9%</b> *	<b>98.3%</b> *	<b>96.3%</b> *	<b>97.7%</b> *	<b>98.8%</b> *	<b>97.9%</b> *	<b>96.8</b> % *	96.3% *	<b>96.0</b> % *

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Participation

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>99.2</b> %	<b>‡</b>	100.0%
	*	*	*
State	<b>95.7%</b>	<b>97.9%</b>	<b>97.3</b> %
	*	*	*

#### Science - All Tests - Participation

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>99.6%</b> *	<b>100.0%</b> *	<b>99.2</b> % *	*	99.6% *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	* *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	100.0% *
State	<b>98.0</b> % *	<b>97.9%</b> *	<b>98.0</b> % *	<b>94.7</b> % *	<b>98.5%</b> *	<b>96.6%</b> *	<b>97.6%</b> *	<b>99.1%</b> *	<b>98.2</b> % *	<b>96.7</b> % *	<b>97.7%</b> *	96.9% *



#### **Overall IAR ELA - Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>100.0%</b> 495	<b>100.0%</b> 238	<b>100.0%</b> 257	*	<b>100.0%</b> 472	<b>‡</b> 4	<b>‡</b> 8	*	*	<b>‡</b> 3	<b>‡</b> 8	<b>100.0%</b> 108
State	<b>98.4%</b> 788,429	<b>98.4%</b> 402,561	<b>98.5%</b> 385,799	<b>95.8%</b> 69	<b>98.6%</b> 364,253	<b>97.8%</b> 130,257	<b>98.7%</b> 215,653	<b>99.0%</b> 43,144	<b>98.4%</b> 785	<b>97.8%</b> 1,886	<b>96.9%</b> 32,451	<b>97.1%</b> 143,721

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
	101	1	307
State	<b>96.9%</b>	<b>98.7%</b>	<b>98.4%</b>
	111,550	117,879	385,305

#### **Overall IAR Mathematics - Participation**

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>99.8%</b> 495	<b>99.6%</b> 238	<b>100.0%</b> 257	*	<b>99.8%</b> 472	<b>‡</b> 4	<b>‡</b> 8	*	*	<b>‡</b> 3	<b>‡</b> 8	<b>99.1%</b> 108
State	<b>98.2%</b> 786,393	<b>98.1%</b> 401,483	<b>98.3%</b> 384,842	<b>94.4%</b> 68	<b>98.5%</b> 363,642	<b>97.3%</b> 129,607	<b>98.5%</b> 215,109	<b>98.8%</b> 43,028	<b>97.9%</b> 781	<b>97.5%</b> 1,879	<b>96.6%</b> 32,347	<b>96.7%</b> 143,089

		Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	
	School	*	*	*	
	District	<b>99.0%</b> 101	<b>‡</b> 1	<b>100.0%</b> 307	
*	State ndicates non-	<b>96.5%</b> -111,027 -reported data	<b>98.5%</b> 117,563 a. ‡ indicates s	<b>98.1%</b> 383,972 suppressed da	ata due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

#### **Overall DLM ELA - Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> 7	<b>‡</b> 5	<b>‡</b> 2	*	<b>‡</b> 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> 7
State	<b>99.9%</b> 10,693	<b>99.9%</b> 7,160	<b>99.9%</b> 3,533	*	<b>99.9%</b> 4,222	<b>100.0%</b> 2,489	<b>99.9%</b> 3,012	<b>99.8%</b> 579	<b>100.0%</b> 7	<b>100.0%</b> 37	<b>99.7%</b> 347	<b>99.9%</b> 10,693

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> 7	*	<b>‡</b> 4
State	<b>99.9%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>
	10,693	2,734	6,388

#### **Overall DLM Mathematics - Participation**

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> 7	<b>‡</b> 5	<b>‡</b> 2	* *	<b>‡</b> 7	* *	*	*	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> 7
State	<b>99.9%</b> 10,644	<b>99.9%</b> 7,125	<b>99.9%</b> 3,519	*	<b>99.9%</b> 4,211	<b>100.0%</b> 2,479	<b>99.9%</b> 2,993	<b>99.8%</b> 573	<b>100.0%</b> 7	<b>100.0%</b> 37	<b>99.7%</b> 344	<b>99.9%</b> 10,644

		Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	
	School	*	*	*	
	District	<b>‡</b> 7	*	<b>‡</b> 4	
*	State indicates no n-	<b>99.9%</b> -10,644 -reported data	<b>99.9%</b> 2.712 a.‡'indicates s	<b>99.9%</b> 6,355 uppressed da	ata due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

03-011-0080-26-2003 | WASHINGTON ELEM SCHOOL

#### Overall DLM Science - Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> 3	<b>‡</b> 2	<b>‡</b> 1	*	<b>‡</b> 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> 3
State	<b>100.0%</b> 4,158	<b>100.0%</b> 2,775	<b>100.0%</b> 1,383	*	<b>100.0%</b> 1,683	<b>100.0%</b> 956	<b>100.0%</b> 1,153	<b>99.6%</b> 229	<b>100.0%</b> 4	<b>100.0%</b> 15	<b>100.0%</b> 118	<b>100.0%</b> 4,158

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> 3	*	<b>‡</b> 1
State	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
	4,158	987	2,392

#### **Overall - Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>99.6%</b> 249	<b>100.0%</b> 122	<b>99.2%</b> 127	*	<b>99.6%</b> 239	<b>‡</b> 3	<b>‡</b> 2	*	*	<b>‡</b> 1	<b>‡</b> 4	<b>100.0%</b> 51
State	<b>97.9%</b> 395,258	<b>97.9%</b> 201,018	<b>98.0%</b> 194,204	<b>94.7%</b> 36	<b>98.5%</b> 185,552	<b>96.6%</b> 61,374	<b>97.6%</b> 110,216	<b>99.0%</b> 22,083	<b>98.2%</b> 426	<b>96.6%</b> 980	<b>97.7%</b> 14,627	<b>96.7%</b> 70,516

		Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	
	School	*	*	*	
	District	<b>100.0%</b> 48	*	<b>99.3%</b> 135	
*	State indicates non-	<b>96.7%</b> -50,623 -reported data	<b>98.0%</b> 46.584 a. ‡ indicates s	<b>97.4%</b> 178.492 suppressed da	ata due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

#### ELA - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	*	<b>0.0%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	<b>0.0%</b> *	0.0% *
State	<b>2.0%</b> *	<b>2.2%</b> *	<b>1.9%</b> *	<b>3.1%</b> *	<b>1.6%</b> *	3.3% *	2.1% *	1.0% *	1.7% *	<b>2.9%</b> *	<b>3.4</b> % *	<b>3.5</b> % *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	*	*	*

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Non Participation


	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>0.2%</b> *	<b>0.3%</b> *	0.0% *	*	<b>0.2%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	0.0% *	<b>0.8%</b> *
State	<b>2.3%</b> *	<b>2.4%</b> *	<b>2.1%</b> *	<b>4.1%</b> *	<b>1.7%</b> *	<b>3.7%</b> *	<b>2.3%</b> *	<b>1.2%</b> *	<b>2.1%</b> *	<b>3.2</b> % *	<b>3.7%</b> *	<b>4.0%</b> *

#### Mathematics - All Tests - Non Participation

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	*	*	*
State	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
	*	*	*

#### Science - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>0.4%</b> *	0.0% *	<b>0.8%</b> *	*	<b>0.4%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	0.0% *
State	<b>2.0%</b> *	<b>2.1%</b> *	<b>2.0</b> % *	5.3% *	1.5% *	<b>3.4</b> % *	2.4% *	<b>0.9%</b> *	<b>1.8%</b> *	<b>3.3%</b> *	<b>2.3%</b> *	<b>3.1%</b> *



#### **Overall IAR ELA - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	*	<b>0.0%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	0.0% *
State	<b>1.6%</b> *	<b>1.6%</b> *	1.5% *	<b>4.2</b> % *	1.4% *	<b>2.2%</b> *	1.3% *	<b>1.0%</b> *	<b>1.6%</b> *	<b>2.2</b> % *	<b>3.1%</b> *	<b>2.9%</b> *

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	0.0%	‡	0.0%
	*	*	*

#### **Overall IAR Mathematics - Non Participation**

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	0.2% *	<b>0.4%</b> *	0.0% *	*	<b>0.2%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>0.9%</b> *
State	<b>1.8%</b> *	<b>1.9%</b> *	1.7% *	<b>5.6%</b> *	1.5% *	<b>2.7%</b> *	1.5% *	1.2% *	<b>2.1%</b> *	2.5% *	<b>3.4</b> % *	<b>3.3%</b> *

		Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
	School	*	*	*
	District	1.0% *	<b>‡</b> *	0.0% *
k	State ndicates non-	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>1.5%</b> a. ± indicates s	<b>1.9%</b>

#### **Overall DLM ELA - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> *
State	0.1% *	0.1% *	0.1% *	*	0.1% *	0.0% *	0.1% *	0.2% *	<b>0.0%</b> *	0.0% *	0.3% *	0.1% *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> *	*	<b>‡</b> *
State	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	*	*	*

#### **Overall DLM Mathematics - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> *
State	0.1% *	<b>0.1%</b> *	0.1% *	*	0.1% *	0.0% *	0.1% *	<b>0.2%</b> *	<b>0.0%</b> *	0.0% *	0.3% *	0.1% *

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> *	*	<b>‡</b> *
State	0.1%	<b>0.1%</b>	0.1%

#### Overall DLM Science - Non Participation

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> *
State	0.0% *	<b>0.0%</b> *	0.0% *	*	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.4% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *	0.0% *

	Students	English	Low
	with IEPs	Learners	Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> *	*	<b>‡</b> *
State	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
	*	*	*

#### **Overall ISA - Non Participation**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>0.4%</b> *	0.0% *	<b>0.8%</b> *	*	<b>0.4%</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	*	*	<b>‡</b> *	<b>‡</b> *	0.0% *
State	<b>2.1%</b> *	<b>2.1%</b> *	<b>2.0%</b> *	5.3% *	1.5% *	<b>3.4</b> % *	2.4% *	1.0% *	<b>1.8%</b> *	<b>3.4</b> % *	2.3% *	<b>3.3%</b> *

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	*	*	*
District	<b>0.0%</b> *	*	<b>0.7%</b> *
State	<b>3.3%</b>	2.0%	<b>2.6%</b>

## **School Environment**

## About the data

School environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.



### **Illinois Youth Survey**

#### What is it?

This confirms whether or not this school participated in the Illinois Youth Survey (IYS) for the school year. The Illinois Youth Survey is a self-report survey of eighth-, 10th-, and 12th-grade students conducted during the spring of even-numbered years. It is administered in school settings and designed to gather information about a variety of health and social indicators, including substance use patterns and attitudes of Illinois youth. IYS is supported by the Center for Prevention Research and Development (CPRD) at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. For more information, visit iys.cprd.illinois.edu. This indicator shows whether your school participated during the most recent administration year. CPRD provides all schools that participate in the Illinois Youth Survey a summary report of their results.

	School did participate in Illinois Youth Survey
School	No

### **School Level Finances**

#### What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		Site level	Per Pupil Expe	nditures	District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures				Total
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
School	242	\$1,712	\$8,135	\$9,848	\$686	\$3,407	\$4,093	\$2,398	\$11,542	\$13,940	*	*
District	1,139	\$1,698	\$8,130	\$9,828	\$686	\$3,407	\$4,093	\$2,384	\$11,537	\$13,921	\$1,054,358	\$16,914,450

### **District Finances**

#### What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

#### **Revenue By Source**

State	60.6%	4.0%	21.3%	4.7%	9.5%	*
District	<b>37.3%</b> \$6,312,438	<b>5.6%</b> \$944,606	<b>40.7%</b> \$6,885,289	<b>3.6%</b> \$602,747	<b>12.8%</b> \$2,163,679	\$16,908,759
	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Based Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue

#### **Expenditure By Function**

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	51.0%	2.0%	32.2%	14.8%
State	48.2%	2.3%	29.1%	20.4%

#### Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	<b>77.3%</b> \$11,645,542	<b>4.5%</b> \$673,756	<b>3.9%</b> \$589,363	<b>6.9%</b> \$1,035,271	<b>3.9%</b> \$585,179	<b>3.1%</b> \$468,564	<b>0.4%</b> \$66,100	<b>0.0%</b> \$7,399	\$15,071,174
State	71.3%	7.1%	2.9%	8.5%	<b>1.2</b> %	1.9%	0.6%	6.5%	*

## **School Environment**

### **District Finances (cont)**

#### **Other Financial Indicators**

	2019 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2019 Total School Tax Rate per\$100	2020-21 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2020-21 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$113,115	5	\$7,303	\$11,545
State	*	*	\$9,703	\$16,029

### **Average Class Size**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	РК	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Overall
School	*	20	16	14	15
District	*	20	16	14	18
State	*	21	20	20	21

### **Total School Days**

#### What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
School	177
District	177
State	176

### **Health and Wellness**

#### What is it?


This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

	Days PE per week
School	5
District	5
State	4



## About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



### **Student Enrollment**

#### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

#### By Subgroups

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	100.0%	47.5%	52.5%	0.0%	97.4%	0.0%	‡	‡	0.0%	0.0%	‡	17.0%
	265	126	139	*	258	*	‡	‡	*	*	‡	45
District	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>49.1%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>96.0%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>18.9%</b>
	1,145	562	583	*	1,099	‡	16	‡	*	‡	17	216
State	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>46.4%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>
	1,869,325	959,975	909,276	74	866,540	310,464	508,549	100,564	1,851	4,756	76,601	378,912

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
School	16.2%	0.0%	58.9%	6.4%	0.0%	5.3%	‡
	43	*	156	17	*	14	‡
District	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>57.9%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
	199	‡	663	36	*	37	13
State	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>46.5%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
	307,555	255,367	869,330	36,543	343	13,324	14,220

#### By Grades

	РК	К	Grade 1	Grade 2
School	66	82	63	54
District	66	82	63	54
State	76,645	124,808	126,801	127,437

### **Advanced Academic Programs**

#### What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students	Enrolled in	Accelerat	ed Placem	nent								
	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	•
District	* *	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>8.5%</b> 165,594	<b>8.0%</b> 79,982	<b>9.1%</b> 85,528	<b>5.8%</b> 84	<b>7.2%</b> 64,447	<b>6.2%</b> 20,363	<b>9.7%</b> 51,280	<b>21.5%</b> 22,540	<b>15.2%</b> 290	<b>7.6%</b> 368	<b>7.8%</b> 6,306	<b>5.3%</b> 18,800
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homel	Yout ess Care	hIn						
School	*	*	*	*	*							
District	*	*	*	*	*							
State	<b>2.8%</b> 7,916	<b>3.8%</b> 10,296	<b>6.7%</b> 61,947	<b>5.4%</b> 2,318	<b>1.6%</b> 232							

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.5%</b> 9,274	<b>0.3%</b> 3,409	<b>0.6%</b> 5,855	<b>0.7%</b> 10	<b>0.5%</b> 4,396	<b>0.3%</b> 1,144	<b>0.5%</b> 2,685	<b>0.6%</b> 637	<b>0.4%</b> 7	<b>0.2%</b> 11	<b>0.5%</b> 394	<b>0.3%</b> 1,060



#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 400	<b>0.1%</b> 400	<b>0.3%</b> 3,006	<b>0.3%</b> 149	<b>0.1%</b> 21

#### **Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Math**

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.3%</b> 24,369	<b>1.4%</b> 14,394	<b>1.1%</b> 9,946	<b>2.0%</b> 29	<b>1.4%</b> 12,824	<b>0.3%</b> 890	<b>0.9%</b> 4,686	<b>4.4%</b> 4,613	<b>1.5%</b> 29	<b>1.2%</b> 60	<b>1.6%</b> 1,267	<b>0.6%</b> 2,159

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.3%</b> 808	<b>0.6%</b> 1,578	<b>0.6%</b> 5,252	<b>0.3%</b> 111	<b>0.1%</b> 19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5.6%</b> 108,934	<b>5.0%</b> 50,021	<b>6.3%</b> 58,870	<b>2.9%</b> 43	<b>4.4%</b> 39,069	<b>4.5%</b> 14,721	<b>6.6%</b> 34,997	<b>15.0%</b> 15,752	<b>12.3%</b> 235	<b>5.0%</b> 244	<b>4.8%</b> 3,916	<b>3.2%</b> 11,406

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.4%</b> 4,007	<b>1.8%</b> 4,965	<b>4.6%</b> 42,912	<b>3.4%</b> 1,461	<b>0.9%</b> 126

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 1,280	<b>0.1%</b> 620	<b>0.1%</b> 660	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.1%</b> 607	<b>0.1%</b> 197	<b>0.0%</b> 104	<b>0.2%</b> 251	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.1%</b> 121	<b>0.0%</b> 81

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.0%</b> 26	<b>0.0%</b> 42	<b>0.0%</b> 289	<b>0.0%</b> 2	<b>0.0%</b> 1

#### Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> ‡
State	<b>22.2%</b> 136,701	<b>18.8%</b> 59,465	<b>25.7%</b> 76,999	<b>31.9%</b> 237	<b>23.2%</b> 66,464	<b>12.6%</b> 12,696	<b>20.5%</b> 35,457	<b>51.9%</b> 16,825	<b>32.4%</b> 210	<b>17.6%</b> 262	<b>22.2%</b> 4,787	<b>9.3%</b> 11,353

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	* *
State	2.8%	6.8%	14.8%	7.6%	2.9%

#### Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	*	*	<b>‡</b> ‡
State	<b>1.0%</b> 6,054	<b>0.7%</b> 2,344	<b>1.2%</b> 3,710	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.4%</b> 1,090	<b>1.6%</b> 1,644	<b>1.6%</b> 2,808	<b>1.1%</b> 355	<b>1.8%</b> 12	<b>1.5%</b> 23	<b>0.6%</b> 122	<b>0.5%</b> 590

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*
State	<b>0.2%</b> 189	<b>0.4%</b> 197	<b>1.4%</b> 3,902	<b>1.0%</b> 154	<b>0.6%</b> 19

#### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>19.2%</b> 232	<b>16.7%</b> 100	<b>21.6%</b> 132	*	<b>19.1%</b> 222	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>6.8%</b> 15
State	<b>19.8%</b> 384,714	<b>18.1%</b> 180,719	<b>21.6%</b> 203,343	<b>44.7%</b> 652	<b>21.8%</b> 194,193	<b>13.3%</b> 43,602	<b>17.9%</b> 94,705	<b>34.4%</b> 36,095	<b>23.9%</b> 455	<b>17.0%</b> 828	<b>18.3%</b> 14,836	<b>11.6%</b> 41,302

#### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>
	‡	‡	94	‡	‡
State	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
	17,755	16,214	125,534	4,460	639

#### Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>16.7%</b> 67	<b>13.4%</b> 28	<b>20.2%</b> 39	*	<b>17.0%</b> 65	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	*	*	<b>#</b> ‡
State	<b>13.1%</b> 80,917	<b>13.0%</b> 41,004	<b>13.3%</b> 39,900	<b>1.7%</b> 13	<b>16.9%</b> 48,578	<b>8.5%</b> 8,542	<b>9.3%</b> 16,045	<b>14.9%</b> 4,849	<b>9.4%</b> 61	<b>9.1%</b> 135	<b>12.5%</b> 2,707	<b>8.5%</b> 10,392

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	<b>‡</b> ‡	*	<b>6.5%</b> 14	<b>‡</b> ‡	*
State	<b>6.5%</b> 5,733	<b>5.9%</b> 2,960	<b>8.9%</b> 24,704	<b>8.0%</b> 1,196	<b>4.4%</b> 146

### **Gifted Students**

#### What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

#### **Students Assessed For Giftedness**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>6.5%</b> 125,984	<b>6.4%</b> 64,278	<b>6.6%</b> 61,684	<b>1.5%</b> 22	<b>6.7%</b> 59,326	<b>4.1%</b> 13,504	<b>5.0%</b> 26,428	<b>18.7%</b> 19,584	<b>7.2%</b> 137	<b>6.9%</b> 337	<b>8.2%</b> 6,668	<b>5.7%</b> 20,436

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>4.9%</b> 13,939	<b>5.4%</b> 14,653	<b>4.3%</b> 39,577	<b>2.4%</b> 1,025	<b>2.6%</b> 378

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.8%</b> 15,425	<b>0.8%</b> 7,974	<b>0.8%</b> 7,447	<b>0.3%</b> 4	<b>0.9%</b> 7,868	<b>0.3%</b> 893	<b>0.5%</b> 2,539	<b>3.1%</b> 3,215	<b>0.6%</b> 12	<b>1.0%</b> 47	<b>1.0%</b> 851	<b>0.5%</b> 1,859



### **Gifted Students (cont)**

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.4%</b> 997	<b>0.4%</b> 972	<b>0.3%</b> 3,030	<b>0.1%</b> 55	<b>0.1%</b> 15

#### **Students Identified As Gifted**

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>2.4%</b> 46,332	<b>2.4%</b> 23,874	<b>2.4%</b> 22,449	<b>0.6%</b> 9	<b>2.5%</b> 21,975	<b>0.9%</b> 2,920	<b>1.3%</b> 6,782	<b>11.5%</b> 12,042	<b>3.3%</b> 63	<b>2.0%</b> 98	<b>3.0%</b> 2,452	<b>1.3%</b> 4,476

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	* *	*	*	*
State	<b>0.6%</b> 1,599	<b>0.5%</b> 1,279	<b>0.9%</b> 8,598	<b>0.4%</b> 184	<b>0.1%</b> 20

## **Gifted Students (cont)**

## Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.5%</b> 8,965	<b>0.5%</b> 4,706	<b>0.5%</b> 4,258	<b>0.1%</b> 1	<b>0.5%</b> 4,393	<b>0.1%</b> 390	<b>0.2%</b> 1,124	<b>2.3%</b> 2,463	<b>0.5%</b> 9	<b>0.5%</b> 23	<b>0.7%</b> 563	<b>0.2%</b> 726

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 255	<b>0.1%</b> 171	<b>0.1%</b> 1,352	<b>0.0%</b> 17	<b>0.0%</b> 2

## **English Learners**

#### What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

### EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
School	*	*	*	*
District	*	<b>‡</b> ‡	<b>+</b> *	* 2
State	*	<b>100.0%</b> 229,014	6.1% *	* 47,572

## **Student Attendance**

#### What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	<b>93.</b> 1%	93.2%	93.0%	*	93.2%	*	*	97.2%	*	*	81.8%	91.5%
District	91.2%	91.5%	91.0%	*	91.4%	90.4%	86.1%	89.8%	*	72.4%	<b>87.8</b> %	89.5%
State	90.8%	90.9%	90.8%	93.0%	92.8%	86.5%	89.4%	94.2%	91.3%	89.5%	90.7%	88.8%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	92.4%	*	92.1%
District	89.6%	97.1%	<b>89.7</b> %
State	88.4%	90.1%	88.1%

## **Student Mobility Rate**

### What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

#### **Student Mobility**

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	10.5%	11.6%	9.6%	*	9.4%	*	+	ŧ	*	*	ŧ	ŧ
District	10.2%	10.1%	10.3%	*	9.6%	+	+	+	*	+	+	10.7%
State	7.6%	7.9%	7.3%	2.9%	5.2%	13.8%	7.7%	7.1%	9.6%	10.2%	8.9%	7.2%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless
School	ŧ	*	15.6%	<b>‡</b>
District	10.0%	+	14.3%	43.1%
State	8.0%	9.5%	10.2%	25.7%

## **Chronic Absenteeism Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

By Subgroups												
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	23.1%	21.2%	25.0%	*	22.2%	*	*	+	*	*	ŧ	ŧ
District	30.7%	29.1%	32.3%	*	29.5%	+	+	+	*	+	+	<b>39.0</b> %
State	29.8%	29.5%	30.2%	20.9%	21.2%	47.9%	<b>36</b> .1%	15.8%	28.0%	36.4%	30.6%	37.3%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	ŧ	*	<b>30.</b> 1%
District	39.0%	+	<b>38.9</b> %
State	38.9%	34.5%	42.0%

#### **By Grades**

	РК	К	Grade 1	Grade 2
School	ŧ	ŧ	20.3%	26.2%
District	*	*	20.3%	26.2%
State	*	*	29.2%	26.5%

## **Dropout Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

By Subgro	ups											
	All	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	e Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
District	5.7%	5.9%	5.4%	ŧ	5.3%	‡	ŧ	‡	‡	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	3.3%	3.9%	2.8%	0.3%	2.6%	5.4%	3.7%	0.7%	2.5%	4.7%	4.4%	3.0%
	Students with IEPs	2		e Hom	eless	Migrant						
School	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ		ŧ						
District	ŧ	+	9.0%	ŧ		ŧ						
State	3.6%	4.3%	5.1%	9.6%		12.6%	-					

## **Chronically Truant Students**

### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	AII	Male	Female	Non Binary	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
School	ŧ	+	ŧ	*	ŧ	*	*	\$	*	*	+	+
District	11.4%	12.2%	10.6%	*	10.8%	ŧ	+	+	*	ŧ	ŧ	18.1%
State	22.1%	22.2%	22.0%	7.2%	8.6%	50.2%	30.9%	7.9%	20.5%	28.8%	19.8%	25.5%

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
School	ŧ	*	ŧ
District	1 <b>8.2</b> %	‡	16.5%
State	27.8%	29.4%	<b>36</b> .1%

# **Accountability**

## About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, and Comprehensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual\* summative designation.

\* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.

Summative Designation Commendable School	No Data	Schoolwide Title I Program
A school that has <b>no</b> <b>underperforming student</b> <b>groups</b> , a graduation rate greater than 67%, and whose performance is <b>not in the top</b> <b>10%</b> of schools statewide.	School Improvement Funds	Title I Status

## **Title | Status**

#### What is it?

Title I Status indicates if an entity receives funds from the Title I grant. If an entity receives Title I funds, their status can be "Title I Targeted" or "Title I Schoolwide." Title I Targeted assistance programs must focus services to identify students most at risk of failing through multiple objective criteria. Services, including supplies, must ONLY focus on those students identified. Title I Schoolwide programs may focus services to the entire school. Schoolwide Title I Status is defined as a school with 40 percent or greater of the students enrolled classified as low income. Schoolwide programs are not required to identify students at risk and thus may focus their funding and efforts on raising achievement of the whole school.

	Title   Status
School	Schoolwide Title I Program

## **School Improvement Funds**

#### What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.


#### Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

	TitlelSchool		
School Year First	Improvement - 1003(a)		Reason for Receiving Title
Identified As Needing	Funds Received for		School Improvement -
Support	Previous School Year	Level of Support	1003(a) Funds



## **About the data**

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.



## **Teacher Information**

#### What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	Average Teaching Experience	With Bachelor's Degrees	With Master's & Above	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
School	*	*	*	*	*
District	14	73.5%	26.5%	75.9%	*
State	*	40.6%	58.6%	66.1%	97.2%

## **Student-To-Teacher Ratios**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	17	16
State	17	18

## **Average Teacher Salary**

#### What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$52,179
State	\$72,316



## **Retention Rate**

#### What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		AII	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	91.8% 45	91.8% 45	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	100.0% 3	100.0% 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	91.3% 42	91.3% 42	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	All	<b>88.5%</b> 207	<b>88.8%</b> 207	*	<b>0.0%</b> 0	*	*	* *	*	*
	Male	<b>88.1%</b> 59	<b>89.4%</b> 59	*	<b>0.0%</b> 0	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	<b>88.6%</b> 148	<b>88.6%</b> 148	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>87.6%</b> 311,523	<b>88.1%</b> 262,637	<b>81.8%</b> 15,332	<b>87.4%</b> 21,752	<b>87.6%</b> 4,957	<b>86.4%</b> 184	<b>85.5%</b> 691	<b>85.0%</b> 2,323	<b>82.6%</b> 3,647
	Male	<b>89.1%</b> 73,837	<b>89.8%</b> 63,050	<b>81.2%</b> 3,038	<b>87.3%</b> 4,993	<b>88.5%</b> 1,159	<b>87.5%</b> 56	<b>87.6%</b> 169	<b>87.1%</b> 594	<b>81.7%</b> 778
	Female	<b>87.2%</b> 237,686	<b>87.6%</b> 199,587	<b>81.9%</b> 12,294	<b>87.5%</b> 16,759	<b>87.3%</b> 3,798	<b>85.9%</b> 128	<b>84.9%</b> 522	<b>84.3%</b> 1,729	<b>82.9%</b> 2,869
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

## **Full-Time Equivalents**

### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown
School	All	100.0% 18.1	100.0% 18.1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Male	5.5% 1	5.5% 1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	94.5% 17.1	94.5% 17.1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	All	<b>100.0%</b> 85.3	<b>98.8%</b> 84.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>1.2%</b> 1
	Male	<b>23.4%</b> 20	<b>23.7%</b> 20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Female	<b>76.6%</b> 65.3	<b>76.3%</b> 64.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>100.0%</b> 1
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	<b>100.0%</b> 134887.1	<b>81.3%</b> 109693.6	<b>6.0%</b> 8130.5	<b>8.0%</b> 10848.3	<b>1.8%</b> 2472.7	<b>0.1%</b> 83.5	<b>0.2%</b> 319.7	<b>0.8%</b> 1125.1	<b>1.6%</b> 2213.8
	Male	<b>23.3%</b> 31433.8	<b>23.6%</b> 25853.6	<b>21.4%</b> 1741.5	<b>22.6%</b> 2449.9	<b>22.4%</b> 554.9	<b>29.7%</b> 24.8	<b>22.9%</b> 73.3	<b>24.0%</b> 270.5	<b>21.0%</b> 465.5
	Female	<b>76.7%</b> 103453.3	<b>76.4%</b> 83840	<b>78.6%</b> 6389	<b>77.4%</b> 8398.4	<b>77.6%</b> 1917.8	<b>70.3%</b> 58.7	<b>77.1%</b> 246.5	<b>76.0%</b> 854.6	<b>79.0%</b> 1748.3
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



## **Teachers with Gifted Endorsement**

#### What is it?

This shows the number of teachers who have taken the necessary steps to obtain an endorsement for their Professional Educator License indicating that they have the skills to respond to the needs of gifted students in their classroom.

Teachers with Gifted Endorsement					
School	*				
District	*				
State	1,247				

## **National Board Certified Teachers**

#### What is it?


This shows the numbers of teachers who have achieved recognition as National Board Certified Teachers, both as a whole and broken down by certain demographic indicators.

	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Male	Female	Non Binary	Unknown
School	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
District	1	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	*	*
State	2,513	2,027	150	203	68	1	6	29	484	2,029	*	*

# **Administrators**

# About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.



## **Student-To-Staff Ratios**

#### What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	9	164
State	9	147

## **Principal Turnover**

### What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

Principal(s) over the past 6 years		
School	1	
District	2	
State	2	

## **Average Administrator Salary**

#### What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

Average Administrator Salary		
District	\$92,889	
State	\$116,206	

# Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

# About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

## **Student Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
School	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%
District	3.6%	2.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	16.5%
State	5.1%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	16.3%

## **Student Environment (cont)**

		Number of Schools with Incidents of Violence		
	Rate of Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide	
School	0.0%	0	0	
District	0.0%	0	0	
State	2.2%	153	5	

## **Academic Environment**

#### What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

		Advanced Placement Course Work			
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate(IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work	
School	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	66	0	0	0	
District	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	
	66	0	0	85	
State	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	
	78,272	143,753	5,004	65,736	