# Oaklyn Public School 

 2016 District Testing ReportPrepared for the<br>Oaklyn Board of Education<br>October 18, 2016

- 2016 marks the $2^{\text {nd }}$ administration of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) and the first opportunity to compare year-to-year results as the following slides will show.
- Students took PARCC English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments (ELA/L) in grades 3-11.
- Students took PARCC Mathematics Assessments in grades 3-8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.
- Level 1: Not yet meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 2: Partially meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 3: Approaching grade-level expectations
- Level 4: Meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 5: Exceeding grade-level expectations

| 1 | Did Not Yet Meet Expectations ( 850 -699) | 2 | Partially Met <br> Expectations <br> (700-724) | 3 | Approached Expectations (725-749) | 4 | Met Expectations (750-787) | 5 | Exceeded Expectatio (788-950) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Comparison of New Jersey's PARCC Administrations - English Language Arts/Literacy

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% change in Level 1 and Level 2 | \% change in Level 4 and Level 5 (College and Career Ready) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |  |  |
| Grade 3 | 15.1\% | 13.5\% | 17.8\% | 16.0\% | 23.7\% | 23.0\% | 38.6\% | 41.39\% | 4.9\% | 6.29 | V. $3.4 \%$ | 1 4.1\% |
| Grade 4 | 7.8\% | 8.2\% | 14.5\% | 13.5\% | 26.6\% | 24.8\% | 39.4\% | 40.8\% | 11.7\% | 12.7\% | 1. $0.6 \%$ | 2.4\% |
| Grade 5 | 7.2\% | 6.7\% | 15.1\% | 14.7\% | 26.1\% | 25.39\% | 45.1\% | 46.4\% | 6.4\% | 6.996 | V. $0.9 \%$ | 1.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 7.9\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 14.196 | 27.8\% | 26.29\% | 39.7\% | 41.39 | 9.1\% | 11.0\% | 1. $1.9 \%$ | 3.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 10.8\% | 9.5\% | 14.5\% | 12.5\% | 23.1\% | 21.6\% | 33.9\% | 35.6\% | 17.7\% | 20.7\% | 1. $3.3 \%$ | 1 $4.7 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | 11.5\% | 10.196 | 14.6\% | 13.0\% | 22.3\% | 21.7\% | 39.1\% | 40.7\% | 12.5\% | 14.5\% | 1. $3.0 \%$ | 3.6\% |
| Grade 9 | 17.6\% | 12.9\% | 19.0\% | 15.0\% | 23.6\% | 23.196 | 30.3\% | 35.8\% | 9.5\% | 13.296 | - $8.7 \%$ | 9.2\% |
| Grade 10 | 25.3\% | 20.9\% | 17.7\% | 14.2\% | 20.3\% | 20.4\% | 25.6\% | 31.0\% | 11.0\% | 13.4\% | 1. $7.8 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| Grade 11* | 16.7\% | 18.5\% | 18.7\% | 18.19 | 23.5\% | 23.39\% | 30.196 | 31.7\% | 10.9\% | 8.496 | 1 $1.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |

## Comparison of New Jersey's PARCC Administrations - Mathematics

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% change in Level 1 and Level 2 | \% change in Level 4 and Level 5 (College and Cay-c; Ready) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |  |  |
| Grade 3 | 8.3\% | 8.19\% | 18.5\% | 15.9\% | 28.3\% | 24.39 | 36.9\% | 39.0\% | 8.0\% | 12.7\% | (2.8\% | + $6.8 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | 7.2\% | 8.0\% | 21.9\% | 18.6\% | 30.3\% | 26.89 | 36.3\% | 41.29 | 4.3\% | 5.4\% | 1. $2.5 \%$ | 1 $5.9 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | 6.19 | 6.29\% | 20.7\% | 18.3\% | 32.19 | 28.29\% | 34.9\% | 38.49 | 6.19 | 8.8\% | 1. $2.3 \%$ | 1 $6.2 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | 7.6\% | 8.9\% | 21.4\% | 19.19 | 30.2\% | 29.196 | 34.8\% | 35.6\% | 6.0\% | 7.3\% | -1. $1.0 \%$ | 1 $2.2 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | 7.7\% | 9.0\% | 22.3\% | 20.196 | 33.3\% | 32.39\% | 33.0\% | 33.5\% | 3.8\% | 5.2\% | 1. $0.9 \%$ | - $1.9 \%$ |
| Grade $8^{*}$ | 21.9\% | 21.5\% | 26.2\% | 25.3\% | 28.4\% | 27.59 | 23.0\% | 24.99\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 1. 1.3\% | \| $2.1 \%$ |
| Algebra I | 13.8\% | 12.8\% | 25.3\% | 21.3\% | 25.0\% | 24.89 | 32.9\% | 37.3\% | 3.19\% | 3.9\% | + 5.0\% | 1 $5.2 \%$ |
| Algebra II | 31.7\% | 33.5\% | 24.5\% | 22.6\% | 19.9\% | 18.89 | 22.3\% | 22.7\% | 1.6\% | 2.4\% | $\downarrow$ - $0.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Geometry | 12.4\% | 10.5\% | 35.6\% | 31.19 | 29.7\% | 31.4\% | 19.5\% | 23.296 | 2.99\% | 3.8\% | $1.6 .3 \%$ | +4.6\% |

## Comparison of Oaklyn English Language Arts (ELA) Scores to State and Cross-State

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | CROSS - STATE | NJ | OAKLYN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $39.7 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ |
| 4 | $43.1 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ |
| 5 | $42.4 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| 6 | $41.2 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| 7 | $43.8 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| 8 | $44.2 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| 9 | $39.0 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | CROSS - STATE | NJ | OAKLYN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $44.0 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ |
| 4 | $37.1 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| 5 | $37.6 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| 6 | $34.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |
| 7 | $30.7 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| 8 | $28.7 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Algebra 1 | $33.1 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| Geometry | $27.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $41 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ |
| 4 | $27 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ |
| 5 | $22 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| 6 | $26 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| 7 | $40 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ |
| 8 | $36 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| 9 | $33 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |

## Comparison of Oaklyn's Spring 2015 and Spring 2016 Scores - Math

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $18 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ |
| 4 | $12 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| 5 | $13 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| 6 | $29 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |
| 7 | $40 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| 8 | $0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Algebra 1 | $24 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| Geometry | $10 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |

## Oaklyn Subgroup: Special Education Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)


| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Alg 1 | Geo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of SE <br> Students <br> $\%$ of SE <br> students | 8 | $29 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total \# of Std | 28 | 47 | 32 | 22 | 38 | 29 | 27 | 0 | 0 |

## Oaklyn Subgroup: Economically Disadvantaged Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)


| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Alg 1 | Geo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of ED <br> Students | 9 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 2 |
| $\%$ of ED <br> students | $32 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Total \# of <br> Std | 28 | 47 | 32 | 22 | 38 | 29 | 27 | 29 | 11 |

## Oaklyn Subgroup: Ethnicity Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)



| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Alg 1 | Geo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of Hispanic | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \# of Black | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \# of White | 25 | 38 | 27 | 17 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 26 | 10 |

## Oaklyn Subgroup: Gender Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)


| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Alg 1 | Geo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 16 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 7 |
| Male | 12 | 26 | 21 | 14 | 23 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 4 |

## Summary of Findings

1. Students in Grades 8 and 9 in English Language Arts dramatically surpassed NJ
2. Students in Algebra 1 and Geometry also surpassed NJ
3. Most cohorts by grade levels have shown positive growth
4. Significant achievement gap exists with Special Education population Average ELA gap $=40.2 \% \quad$ Average Math gap $=20.5 \%$
5. Achievement gaps for Econ Disadv students in grades 4, 8, and 9
6. Significant Ethnicity achievement gaps in

Grade 4 (hispanic) $=30 \%$ and Grade 7 (african - american) $=29.2 \%$

1. $1: 1$ Chromebook initiative for all Junior High students
2. Continue to utilize and improve the Reader's and Writer's workshop model with a focus on grammar
3. Full-implementation on phonics programs: Fundations and Words their Way
4. Focus on improving math instruction through "Center" use
5. Leveled Literacy Intervention program and aligning to Reader's Workshop Model in Special Education courses
6. Growth mindset - encourage students to persevere
7. Focus on formative assessments to guide instruction

## Resources for Parents

- Information on the new 2015-16 PARCC Student Reports: www.state.nj.us/education/assessment/parcc/scores/
- Understanding the student score reports (with translations): understandthescore.org/

How Did xxxx Perform Overall?

```
Performance Level }
```

Level 5 Exceeded Expectations Level 4 Met Expectations Level 3 Approached Expectations
Level 2 Partially Met Expectations
Level 1 Did Not Yet Meet Expectations

