# Collingswood Public Schools 

## 2016 District Testing Report

Prepared for the<br>Collingswood Board of Education<br>October 24, 2016

- 2016 marks the $2^{\text {nd }}$ administration of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) and the first opportunity to compare year-to-year results as the following slides will show.
- Students took PARCC English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments (ELA/L) in grades 3-11.
- Students took PARCC Mathematics Assessments in grades 3-8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.
- Level 1: Not yet meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 2: Partially meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 3: Approaching grade-level expectations
- Level 4: Meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 5: Exceeding grade-level expectations

| 1 | Did Not Yet Meet <br> Expectations <br> $(050-699)$ | Partially Met <br> Expectations <br> $(700-724)$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Approached <br> Expectations <br> $(725-749)$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Met <br> Expectations <br> $(750-787)$ | Exceeded <br> Expectations <br> $(788-850)$ |  |  |  |  |

Comparison of New Jersey's PARCC Administrations - English Language Arts/Literacy

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% change in Level 1 and Level 2 | \% change in Level 4 and Level 5 (College and Career Ready) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |  |  |
| Grade 3 | 15.1\% | 13.5\% | 17.8\% | 16.0\% | 23.7\% | 23.0\% | 38.6\% | 41.39\% | 4.9\% | 6.29 | V. $3.4 \%$ | 1 4.1\% |
| Grade 4 | 7.8\% | 8.2\% | 14.5\% | 13.5\% | 26.6\% | 24.8\% | 39.4\% | 40.8\% | 11.7\% | 12.7\% | 1. $0.6 \%$ | 2.4\% |
| Grade 5 | 7.2\% | 6.7\% | 15.1\% | 14.7\% | 26.1\% | 25.39\% | 45.1\% | 46.4\% | 6.4\% | 6.996 | V. $0.9 \%$ | 1.7\% |
| Grade 6 | 7.9\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 14.196 | 27.8\% | 26.29\% | 39.7\% | 41.39 | 9.1\% | 11.0\% | 1. $1.9 \%$ | 3.5\% |
| Grade 7 | 10.8\% | 9.5\% | 14.5\% | 12.5\% | 23.1\% | 21.6\% | 33.9\% | 35.6\% | 17.7\% | 20.7\% | 1. $3.3 \%$ | 1 $4.7 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | 11.5\% | 10.196 | 14.6\% | 13.0\% | 22.3\% | 21.7\% | 39.1\% | 40.7\% | 12.5\% | 14.5\% | 1. $3.0 \%$ | 3.6\% |
| Grade 9 | 17.6\% | 12.9\% | 19.0\% | 15.0\% | 23.6\% | 23.196 | 30.3\% | 35.8\% | 9.5\% | 13.296 | - $8.7 \%$ | 9.2\% |
| Grade 10 | 25.3\% | 20.9\% | 17.7\% | 14.2\% | 20.3\% | 20.4\% | 25.6\% | 31.0\% | 11.0\% | 13.4\% | 1. $7.8 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| Grade 11* | 16.7\% | 18.5\% | 18.7\% | 18.19 | 23.5\% | 23.39\% | 30.196 | 31.7\% | 10.9\% | 8.496 | 1 $1.1 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |

## Comparison of New Jersey's PARCC Administrations - Mathematics

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | \% change in Level 1 and Level 2 | \% change in Level 4 and Level 5 (college and Cay-cr Ready) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |  |  |
| Grade 3 | 8.3\% | 8.19\% | 18.5\% | 15.9\% | 28.3\% | 24.39 | 36.9\% | 39.0\% | 8.0\% | 12.7\% | (2.8\% | + $6.8 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | 7.2\% | 8.0\% | 21.9\% | 18.6\% | 30.3\% | 26.89 | 36.3\% | 41.29 | 4.3\% | 5.4\% | 1. $2.5 \%$ | 1 $5.9 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | 6.19 | 6.29\% | 20.7\% | 18.3\% | 32.19 | 28.29\% | 34.9\% | 38.49 | 6.19 | 8.8\% | 1. $2.3 \%$ | 1 $6.2 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | 7.6\% | 8.9\% | 21.4\% | 19.19 | 30.2\% | 29.196 | 34.8\% | 35.6\% | 6.0\% | 7.3\% | -1. $1.0 \%$ | 1 $2.2 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | 7.7\% | 9.0\% | 22.3\% | 20.196 | 33.3\% | 32.39\% | 33.0\% | 33.5\% | 3.8\% | 5.2\% | 1. $0.9 \%$ | - $1.9 \%$ |
| Grade $8^{*}$ | 21.9\% | 21.5\% | 26.2\% | 25.3\% | 28.4\% | 27.59 | 23.0\% | 24.99\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 1. 1.3\% | \| $2.1 \%$ |
| Algebra I | 13.8\% | 12.8\% | 25.3\% | 21.3\% | 25.0\% | 24.89 | 32.9\% | 37.3\% | 3.19\% | 3.9\% | + 5.0\% | 1 $5.2 \%$ |
| Algebra II | 31.7\% | 33.5\% | 24.5\% | 22.6\% | 19.9\% | 18.89 | 22.3\% | 22.7\% | 1.6\% | 2.4\% | $\downarrow$ - $0.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Geometry | 12.4\% | 10.5\% | 35.6\% | 31.19 | 29.7\% | 31.4\% | 19.5\% | 23.296 | 2.99\% | 3.8\% | $1.6 .3 \%$ | +4.6\% |

## Comparison of Collingswood English Language Arts (ELA) Scores to State/Cross-State

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | CROSS - STATE | NJ | Collingswood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $39.7 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ |
| 4 | $43.1 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $42.4 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $41.2 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ |
| 7 | $43.8 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |
| 8 | $44.2 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ |
| 9 | $39.0 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| 10 | $40.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ |
| 11 | $38.4 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | CROSS - STATE | NJ | Collingswood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $44.0 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ |
| 4 | $37.1 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ |
| 5 | $37.6 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $34.0 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| 7 | $30.7 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ |
| 8 | $28.7 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| Algebra 1 | $33.1 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ |
| Geometry | $27.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| Algebra 2 | $23.1 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |

## Comparison of Collingswood Science Scores to NJ State

Percentage of students > = $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ scaled score

| GRADE - TEST | NJ | Collingswood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 NJ ASK | $89.8 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ |
| Grade 8 NJ ASK | $72.9 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| HS Biology - NJ BCT | $56.7 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $48 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ |
| 4 | $48 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $57 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $50 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ |
| 7 | $47 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |
| 8 | $59 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ |
| 9 | $39 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| 10 | $53 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ |
| 11 | $44 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $55 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ |
| 4 | $46 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ |
| 5 | $44 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $36 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| 7 | $35 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ |
| 8 | $27 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| Algebra 1 | $29 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ |
| Geometry | $16 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| Algebra 2 |  | $16.5 \%$ |


| GRADE - TEST | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 NJ ASK | $93.8 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ |
| Grade 8 NJ ASK | $82.5 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| HS Biology - NJ BCT | $53.2 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ |

## Collingswood Subgroup: Special Education Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)



| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of SE <br> Students | 19 | 20 | 9 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 36 | 31 | 24 |
| $\%$ of SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| students | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $17 \%$ |  |
| Total \# of Std | 135 | 109 | 100 | 117 | 108 | 109 | 165 | 187 | 180 |

## Collingswood Subgroup: Economically Disadvantaged Comparison for ELA and Math

## Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)




| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of ED <br> Students <br> $\%$ of ED | 45 | 32 | 41 | 37 | 33 | 37 | 84 | 80 | 76 |
| students | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Total \# of Std | 135 | 109 | 100 | 117 | 108 | 109 | 165 | 187 | 180 |

## Collingswood Subgroup: Ethnicity Score Comparison for ELA and Math

## Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)



| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of Hispanic | $20.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| \# of Black | $11.1 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| \# of White | $63.0 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |

## Collingswood Subgroup: Gender Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)


| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $48.9 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ |
| Male | $51.1 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ |
| Total \# of std | 135 | 109 | 100 | 117 | 108 | 109 | 165 | 187 |

1. Students in Grades 3, 9, 10, and 11 in English Language Arts surpassed NJ ... Grade 9 especially did well.
2. Students in Grade 3 and 4 in Math also surpassed NJ State average.
3. Significant achievement gap exists with Special Education population

Average ELA gap $=39.1 \% \quad$ Average Math gap $=33.6 \%$
4. In general, achievement gaps for Econ Disadv. students and Ethnic subgroups decreased in the upper HS grades

## Looking at the Present and Future

1. $1: 1$ Chromebook initiative for all secondary students
2. Continue to utilize and improve the Reader's and Writer's workshop model with a focus on grammar ... including Fundations and Words Their Way
3. Focus on improving math instruction through "Centers" (Workshop Model)
4. Growth mindset - encourage students to persevere
5. Increased communication with parents to support instruction
6. PLC Group - "Closing the Achievement Gap"
7. CAR (Connected Action Roadmap) Program -

Standards->Instruction->Assessment

## Resources for Parents

- Information on the new 2015-16 PARCC Student Reports: www.state.nj.us/education/assessment/parcc/scores/
- Understanding the student score reports (with translations): understandthescore.org/

How Did xxxx Perform Overall?

```
Performance Level }
```

Level 5 Exceeded Expectations Level 4 Met Expectations Level 3 Approached Expectations
Level 2 Partially Met Expectations
Level 1 Did Not Yet Meet Expectations

