# Collingswood Public Schools 

## 2017 District Testing Report

Prepared for the<br>Collingswood Board of Education<br>October 23, 2017

- 2017 marks the $3^{\text {rd }}$ administration of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) and the first opportunity to compare year-to-year results as the following slides will show.
- Students took PARCC English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments (ELA/L) in grades 3-11.
- Students took PARCC Mathematics Assessments in grades 3-8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.
- Level 1: Not yet meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 2: Partially meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 3: Approaching grade-level expectations
- Level 4: Meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 5: Exceeding grade-level expectations

| 1 | Did Not Yet Meet <br> Expectations <br> $(050-699)$ | Partially Met <br> Expectations <br> $(700-724)$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Approached <br> Expectations <br> $(725-749)$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Met <br> Expectations <br> $(750-787)$ | Exceeded <br> Expectations <br> $(788-850)$ |  |  |  |  |

## Comparison of Collingswood English Language Arts (ELA) Scores to State and

 Cross-State>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | CROSS - STATE | NJ | Collingswood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $40.0 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ |
| 4 | $42.6 \%$ | $55.9 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $43.7 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $40.0 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ |
| 7 | $44.8 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ |
| 8 | $43.1 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ |
| 9 | $42.2 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| 10 | $44.6 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ |
| 11 | $37.0 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | CROSS - STATE | NJ | Collingswood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $42.5 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| 4 | $35.8 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ |
| 5 | $34.7 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ |
| 6 | $32.2 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ |
| 7 | $28.8 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ |
| 8 | $25.7 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Algebra 1 | $35.8 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| Geometry | $31.6 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| Algebra 2 | $26.3 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $48 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ |
| 4 | $48 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $57 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| 6 | $50 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ |
| 7 | $47 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ |
| 8 | $59 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ |
| 9 | $39 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| 10 | $44 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ |
| 11 | $50.6 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ |  |

>= Level 4 Met or Exceeded Expectations

| GRADE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $55 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| 4 | $46 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ |
| 5 | $44 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ |
| 6 | $36 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ |
| 7 | $35 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ |
| 8 | $27 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Algebra 1 | $28 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| Geometry | $29 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| Algebra 2 | $16 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |

## Collingswood Subgroup: Special Education Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)


Special Education Subgroup - Math
Average Achievement Gap $=31.5 \%$


| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of SE <br> Students | 14 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of Non-SE <br> Stds | 98 | 115 | 22 | 15 | 21 | 17 | 34 |  |
| $\%$ of SE <br> students | $12.5 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | 102 | 90 | 81 | 132 | 158 |

## Collingswood Subgroup: Economically Disadvantaged Comparison for ELA and Math

## Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)




| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of ED Students | 30 | 48 | 32 | 47 | 31 | 32 | 80 | 95 | 83 |
| \# of Non-ED Std | 82 | 83 | 80 | 70 | 80 | 66 | 86 | 93 |  |
| Total \# of Std | $26.8 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $48.2 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ |  |

## Collingswood Subgroup: Ethnicity Score Comparison for ELA and Math

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)



| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of Hispanic | 23 | 27 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 13 | 33 | 40 |  |
| \# of Black | 11 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 36 | 39 | 38 |
| \# of White | 70 | 80 | 66 | 75 | 74 | 61 | 83 | 93 |  |

Columns represents percentage of students who have >= Level 4 (Met or Exceeded Expectations)


Gender Subgroup - Math


| Grade | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of Female | 49 | 70 | 44 | 50 | 53 | 50 | 74 | 93 | 92 |
| \# of Male | 63 | 61 | 68 | 67 | 58 | 48 | 92 | 95 |  |

1. Students in Grades $3,4,5,8$ and 11 in English Language Arts surpassed NJ. Grade 11 success surpassed the State by $13.2 \%$.
2. Students in Grades 3, 4 and 5 in Math also surpassed NJ State average.
3. Significant achievement gap exists with Special Education population

Average ELA gap $=46.1 \% \quad$ Average Math gap $=31.5 \%$
4. In addition, the average achievement gap for African Americans in ELA is $31.3 \%$ and $16.4 \%$ for Hispanic students. For math, the achievement gap is $32.3 \%$ and $20.9 \%$, respectively.

1. Continue to focus on Literacy at both the Secondary and Elementary levels.
2. In grades 6-12, use of the RACE model: Recite - Answer - Cite - Explain ... to help improve student responses in all content areas. Presentational literacy and multi-text assignments are also a focus.
3. Continued focus on the Reader's and Writer's workshop model to support our Balanced Literacy approach ... with a new grammar scope and sequence.
4. Word study programs: Fundations and Words their Way.
5. Continued use of differentiated Elem. math "centers" and greater focus to improve performance in the Middle School.
6. District Focus on equity using the ASCD book: Building Equity

7. New Data Warehouse and Assessment Program: Illuminate

## Resources for Parents

## Assessment

| Home |
| :--- |
| Statewide Assessment Schedule |
| Graduation Requirements |
| PARCC Resources for Districts |
| PARCC Resources for Parents |
| Other Assessments |
| Contact Us |

## PARCC Resources for Parents

- A Guide to Parent/Teacher Conversations About the PARCC Score Reports
- Family Guide to the Student Scores (Español)
- Student Score Release Parent Checklist (Español)
- Graduation Assessment Requirements
- PARCC Testing Unit Times
- Student Data Privacy and Security
- PARCC Special Education FAQ


## Understanding PARCC and Helping Your Child

- Assessment Summary and Resources for Parents (Español)
- Assessment Summary for Parents of ELLs (Español)
- Assessment Summary for Parents of Students with IEPs (Español)
- Parent FAQ Guide

Importance of Assessments

- Educators Say PARCC Data is Helping Improve "Classroom Instruction to Support Students"
- Higher Education Voices Say PARCC is a "Valuable Tool" and a "Much-Needed Benchmark"
- Educators Say PARCC is a Valuable Tool
- Research Studies Evaluating PARCC Assessments

