# Louisiana Schnell Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

# Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Inform	School Contact Information				
School Name	Louisiana Schnell Elementary School				
Street	2871 Schnell School Road				
City, State, Zip	Placerville, CA 95667				
Phone Number	(530) 622-6244				
Principal	Patrick Paturel				
E-mail Address	ppaturel@pusdk8.us				
Web Site	www.pusdk8.us/Schnell				
CDS Code	09-61952-6005656				

District Contact Information			
District Name	Placerville Union School District		
Phone Number	(530) 622-7216		
Superintendent	Erik Bonniksen		
E-mail Address	ebonniksen@pusdk8.us		
Web Site	www.pusdk8.us		

# School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Louisiana Schnell School is one of three schools in the Placerville Union School District. Both Schnell and Sierra Schools serve Transitional Kindergarten-5 grade students; Edwin Markham Middle School serves all 6-8th grade students. Our school is named after Miss Louisiana "Pete" Schnell, formerly a teacher and administrator in the district.

### Schnell School's mission is:

### Students First!

• Preparing all students for future success through quality instruction today.

# Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	85
Grade 1	64
Grade 2	70
Grade 3	92
Grade 4	63
Grade 5	54
Total Enrollment	428

# Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	
Black or African American	0	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5	
Asian	0.7	
Filipino	0.2	
Hispanic or Latino	30.6	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	
White	59.3	
Two or More Races	7	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	65.7	
English Learners	19.2	
Students with Disabilities	11.4	
Foster Youth	1.2	

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

# **Teacher Credentials**

		District		
Teachers	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	22	21	21	62
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

j ,	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100.0	0.0			
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0			
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2012

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Mcgraw Hill - grades K and 1 (2017); Benchmark Advance - grades 2-5 (2017)	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mcgraw Hill (2014)	Yes	0
Science	Harcourt Science (2000).	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Harcourt Brace, grades K-3 (2006), Scott-Foresman, grades 4-5 (2006).	Yes	0

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

As a result of the most recently completed school site inspection, our facilities were found to be in exemplary repair.

# School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)  Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2014						
Custom Insuranted	Repair Status			Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					

# **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2014							
o lib ii	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
Overall Rating	Х						

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	38	38	53	55	44	48
Mathematics	30	33	40	44	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	92	90	97.8	32.6	
	4	62	62	100.0	32.3	
	5	54	54	100.0	51.9	
Male	3	42	41	97.6	27.5	
	4	32	32	100.0	28.1	
	5	27	27	100.0	44.4	
Female	3	50	49	98.0	36.7	
	4	30	30	100.0	36.7	
	5	27	27	100.0	59.3	
Asian	5					
Hispanic or Latino	3	28	28	100.0	21.4	
	4	17	17	100.0	23.5	
	5	12	12	100.0	16.7	
White	3	55	53	96.4	38.5	
	4	40	40	100.0	35.0	
	5	35	35	100.0	60.0	
Two or More Races	3					
	4					

		Number o	of Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	5				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	41	41	100.0	9.8
	4	25	25	100.0	20.0
	5	22	22	100.0	36.4
English Learners	3	19	19	100.0	10.5
	4				
	5				
Students with Disabilities	3	13	13	100.0	15.4
	4				
	5				
Foster Youth	3				
	4				
	5				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent (	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	92	89	96.7	31.5
	4	62	62	100.0	30.6
	5	54	54	100.0	37.0
Male	3	42	40	95.2	22.5
	4	32	32	100.0	28.1
	5	27	27	100.0	37.0
Female	3	50	49	98.0	38.8
	4	30	30	100.0	33.3
	5	27	27	100.0	37.0
Asian	5				
Hispanic or Latino	3	28	28	100.0	25.0
	4	17	17	100.0	23.5
	5	12	12	100.0	8.3

		Number o	f Students	Percent o	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
White	3	55	52	94.5	34.6
	4	40	40	100.0	35.0
	5	35	35	100.0	42.9
Two or More Races	3				
	4				
	5				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	41	41	100.0	17.1
	4	25	25	100.0	8.0
	5	22	22	100.0	13.6
English Learners	3	19	19	100.0	15.8
	4				
	5				
Students with Disabilities	3	13	13	100.0	7.7
	4				
	5				
Foster Youth	3				
	4				
	5				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

					_	roficient or state stand			
Subject		School		District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	76	79	72	73	77	75	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	54	54	100.0	72.2
Male	27	27	100.0	77.8
Female	27	27	100.0	66.7
Hispanic or Latino	12	12	100.0	58.3
White	35	35	100.0	74.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22	22	100.0	63.6

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

# California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					
5	20.4	24.1	24.1				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

There are many opportunites for parents and the community to be invloved with Schnell School. The Parent Teacher Club is very active on the school campus. The PTC meets monthly on the first Thursday of every month. The PTC sponsors many activities and fundraisers for the students and school. Each classroom has two garden parents that work with small classroom groups in the award winning school garden. Parents work in the classrooms as parent helpers. Many parents enjoy meeting on our campus before and after school to socialize and to watch their children interact and play on our park like school campus.

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Data.	School						State		
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	3.4	3.3	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.1	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

The school safety plan is update on an annual basis. The staff reads, reviews and is trained on the school safety plan each year before the school year begins. The El Dorado County Fire protection district completes an annual audit of our school safety plan, and works with the school to have a complete and comprehensive plan. We practice lockdowns, fire drills and bus evaluation drills through out the school year. Our Site Council and annual parent survey asks questions and seeks input from staff and families about school safety; we use this information to help provide a safer campus.

# D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 1	Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	2
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

# Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		2013-14 2014-15 2015-16						2014-15				
Grade	Avg.	Nun	ber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	21	1	3		21	1	3		21	1	3	
1	21	1	4		21	1	2		21	1	2	
2	22		3		21	1	4		25	0	3	
3	20	3			23		3		23	0	4	
4	31		2		30		2		32	0	2	
5	25		2		32		2		27	0	2	

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.15	50
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.18	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.75	N/A
Psychologist	0.33	N/A
Social Worker	N/A	N/A
Nurse	0.08	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	N/A	N/A
Resource Specialist	N/A	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$8,800	\$1,633	\$7,167	\$66,206
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,222	\$65,335
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.8	1.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$71,610
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	26.2	-7.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Schnell School is a Schoolwide Title I Program (SWP) school as well as a School Based Coordinated Program (SBCP) school. Students are offered and provided intervention and support services depending on need and not necessarily on labels. Programs offered include Title I, Special Education, Gifted and Talented Education (G.A.T.E.), English Learner (EL) and ASES (After School Education and Safety). Program services are delivered through a variety of ways including push-in, pull-out, 1:1 and small group intervention. In addition, there is a before school intervention program available to support our students. The school library is open before and afterschool. Eligible students participate in the National School Lunch Program.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Cacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscar Fear 2017-15)							
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,629	\$44,507					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$57,242	\$68,910					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$77,729	\$88,330					
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$102,045	\$111,481					
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$97,865	\$115,435					
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$113,414					
Superintendent Salary	\$119,700	\$169,821					
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37%	39%					
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%					

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

The teachers develop goals each and every year based on student testing results and board goals. The district calendar has Early Release scheduled every Monday. The Early Release days are used for teacher and staff professional development. We use data driven results to base decisions to decide future staff development needs. Teachers attend workshops and attend conferences to improve classroom instruction. Grade level teams share information and work together to provide improved instruction. The District employs Grade Level Technology Teacher Coaches who are of great assistance to teachers with classroom technology coaching, staff development and research of technology embedded instruction. Also, teachers are receiving ongoing training In Project G.L.A.D. (Guided Language Acquisition Design) strategies which provides professional development in the areas of language acquisition and literacy.