## Table 8: Requirements for Each Rating Category

|  | Academically Acceptable | Recognized | Exemplary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Indicators |  |  |  |
| TAKS (2010-11) (including TAKS (Acc), -Alt, and -M) All Students and each student group meeting minimum size: <br> - African American <br> - Hispanic <br> - White <br> - Econ. Disadvantaged | Meets each standard: <br> - Reading/ELA....... 70\% <br> - Writing................. 70\% <br> - Social Studies ..... 70\% <br> - Mathematics........ 65\% <br> - Science ............... 60\% OR Meets Required Improvement | Meets $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ standard for each subject <br> OR <br> Meets 75\% floor and Required Improvement | Meets $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ standard for each subject |
| ELL Progress Indicator (2010-11) TELPAS or TAKS All ELL Students $\geq 30$ | N/A | 60\% at or above criteria OR Meets Required Improvement | 60\% at or above criteria OR Meets Required Improvement |
| Commended <br> Performance (2010-11) <br> (including all TAKS) <br> if meets minimum size: <br> - All Students and <br> - Econ. Disadvantaged | N/A | Meets 15\% standard for Reading/ELA and Mathematics | Meets $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ standard for Reading/ELA and Mathematics |
| Completion Rate I (Class of 2010) if meets minimum size: <br> - All Students <br> - African American <br> - Hispanic <br> - White <br> - Econ. Disadvantaged | Meets 75.0\% standard OR <br> Meets Required Improvement | Meets $\mathbf{8 5 . 0 \%}$ standard OR <br> Meets floor of $75.0 \%$ and Required Improvement | Meets 95.0\% standard |
| Annual Dropout Rate (2009-10) <br> if meets minimum size <br> - All Students <br> - African American <br> - Hispanic <br> - White <br> - Econ. Disadvantaged | Meets 1.6\% standard <br> OR <br> Meets Required Improvement | Meets 1.6\% standard <br> OR <br> Meets Required Improvement | Meets 1.6\% standard <br> OR <br> Meets Required Improvement |
| Additional Provisions |  |  |  |
| Exception(s) <br> (See Chapter 3 for more details.) | May be applied to TAKS indicators if district or campus would be Academically Unacceptable due to not meeting Academically Acceptable criteria. | May be applied to TAKS or ELL indicators if district or campus would be Academically Acceptable due to not meeting Recognized criteria. | No more than one may be applied to TAKS or ELL indicators if district/campus would be Recognized due to not meeting Exemplary criteria. |
| Check for Academically Unacceptable Campuses (District only) | N/A | A district with a campus rated Academically Unacceptable cannot be rated Recognized. | A district with a campus rated Academically Unacceptable cannot be rated Exemplary. |
| Check for Underreported Students (District only) | N/A | A district that underreports more than 150 students or more than $3.0 \%$ of its prior year students cannot be rated Recognized. | A district that underreports more than 150 students or more than $3.0 \%$ of its prior year students cannot be rated Exemplary. |
| Federal Race/Ethnicity Provision <br> (See Appendix J) | If recalculated African American and White student group performance results in a higher rating for a campus or district, the higher rating will be assigned. |  |  |

