

# Letters to the Editor

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Mr. Waid:

Would you please reprint the report about the school merger, to refresh the memory of taxpayers and parents that the merger was voted on for a new high school for the high school students only. The Ovid and Interlaken buildings were to be for the lower elementary grades. Ovid students in their own school and the Interlaken students in their own school. Not all this mixing and traveling around for the lower elementary grades at a lot more expense to the school taxpayers. There are a lot of parents and students themselves that don't want this changing about. They are traveling enough now to get to school, and it's more expense to run the buses.

Just what is the Board of Education and Mr. Maxson trying to put over on the taxpayers? I don't think we know all the facts concerning this. Why weren't the taxpayers parents of the students asked to vote on this? Just why is this necessary in the middle of winter's coldest weather?

Also will the \$5000 kitchen remodeling at taxpayers expense be voted on?

Another taxpayers expense is psychologist on Saturday's. \$50 each Saturday, \$200 a month. Who's going to take their children on Saturday's to a psychologist?

So please parents and school taxpayers, this is all coming out of our taxes. Write to the Board of Education and tell them if you want all this or not. Just how many parents, teachers and the bus drivers are for this change?

Sure we want the best education we can afford for our children. But why all this? When all that was voted for was a new high school for high school students only? That was everyone's understanding.

Mrs. Barbara Schrier

RD 2, Interlaken

- - - - -

## LETTER TO EDITOR:

Dear Duane:

Just a note of congratulations for your courage in modifying your policy concerning the publishing of anonymous letters. . . and also for your courage in publishing the letter from Warren Hickman that undoubtedly encouraged your change.

As an editor myself, I have struggled with the same problem and I think you have resolved it in a constructive way.

Keep up the good work!

Sincerely Yours,

Gordon Conklin, Editor,  
American Agriculturist