# A. M. Thomas Middle School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year Published During 2013-14 

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## I. Data and Access

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012-13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)
School Contact Information

| School Name | A. M. Thomas Middle School |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street | 20979 Lobos Court |
| City, State, Zip | Lost Hills, CA 93249 |
| Phone Number | $661.797-2632$ |
| Principal | Veronica Sanchez-Gregory |
| E-mail Address | vesanch@zeus.kern.org |
| CDS Code | 15-63594-6102792 |

## District Contact Information

| District Name | Lost Hills Union School District |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone Number | (661) 797-2941 |
| Web Site | www.losthills.k12.ca.us |
| Superintendent | Harrison Favereaux |
| E-mail Address | hafaver@zeus.kern.org |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

## Superintendent's Message

Lost Hills Union School District is guided by the goal of providing the best schools possible. To reach this goal, the District has committed to three objectives. First objective is high levels of student achievement consisting of academics, and citizenship. Second objective is safety which promotes learning. The third objective is professional growth for staff to meet the changing needs in education. These goals are incorporated into the district's vision and mission statements, and emphasized during our meetings with staff and parents. Being the best school possible allows students to be successful in academics, citizenship and in their careers.

## Community and District Profile

The Lost Hills Union School District was formed in 1940 from antecedents dating to 1885. Located in rural northwestern Kern County, approximately 45 miles from the central Bakersfield area. The District covers 501 square miles and is composed of mostly large farms. The District maintains two schools, Lost Hills Elementary School (grades TK-5), and A.M. Thomas Middle School (grades $6-8)$. During the 2012-13 school year, Lost Hills Union School District had a total enrollment of 573 students. A.M. Thomas Middle School had a total enrollment of 149 students. Additionally, A.M. Thomas Middle School's 2012-13 student population consisted of $98 \%$ Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, $45 \%$ English Learners, and 3\% Students with Disabilities. Student body demographics are illustrated below.

The staff at A.M. Thomas Middle School is dedicated to providing students a challenging academic program that promotes individual and community responsibility. Each year our students gain experiences that prepare them to become successful contributors to society. The instructional focus is geared towards the English Language Learner (ELL) program and the Migrant Sheltered English Program. These programs include hands-on project-based instruction, especially in the sciences.

Great strides have been made in the performance and achievement levels. Since the inception of the Academic Performance Index, the District has exceeded the target level of growth determined by the California Department of Education. The goal at A.M. Thomas Middle School is to provide an excellent education through our dedicated teachers and administration.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents and the community are very supportive of the education program at A.M. Thomas Middle School. Parents volunteer in classrooms, for special events, fund-raisers, and field trips as chaperones. Parents can participate in various school/district committees such as School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), and Migrant Education Parent Advisory Committee (MPAC).

Parents may also participate in a variety of school activities such as Back-To-School Night, Open House, Parent-Teacher Conferences, Awards Assemblies, Extra-Curricular and Athletic Events, Fall Parade, Winter Program, and Parent Education meetings.

The school informs parents of student progress through Progress Reports, Report Cards, Parent Conferences requested by parents or teachers, Teacher/Principal letters, and Telephone calls.

Local community partners that have helped out with funding at Lost Hills Union School District include Chevron/Texaco, Paramount Farms Inc., AERA, and San Joaquin Composting.

Contact Person: Verónica Sánchez-Gregory
Principal
Contact Phone Number: (661) 797-2632

## III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| English-Language Arts | 42 | 44 | 36 | 40 | 40 | 33 | 54 | 56 | 55 |
| Mathematics | 30 | 53 | 52 | 49 | 61 | 51 | 49 | 50 | 50 |
| Science | 53 | 53 | 59 | 47 | 41 | 50 | 57 | 60 | 59 |
| History-Social Science | 57 | 72 | 35 | 57 | 72 | 35 | 48 | 49 | 49 |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

| Group | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English-Language Arts | Mathematics | Science | History-Social Science |
| All Students in the LEA | 33 | 51 | 51 | 35 |
| All Student at the School | 36 | 52 | 60 | 35 |
| Male | 39 | 47 | 82 | 55 |
| Female | 34 | 57 | 45 | 21 |
| Black or African American |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino |  |  |  |  |
| Native Hawaian/Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |
| Two or More Races |  |  |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  |  |  |
| English Learners |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | 35 |  |  |  |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

| Grade <br> Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| 7 | 20.0 | 22.2 | 24.4 |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## IV. Accountability

## Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000 , with a statewide target of 800 . For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Similar Schools | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

| Group | Actual API Change |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2010-11$ | $2011-12$ | 27 |
| All Students at the School | 20 |  | -8 |
| Black or African American |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |
| Filipino |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino |  |  |  |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |
| Two or More Races |  |  |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 20 |  |  |
| English Learners | 36 |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities |  |  |  |

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison
This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

| Group |  | 2013 Growth API |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  |  | Growth API | \# of Students | Growth API | \# of Students | Growth API |
| All Students at the School | 142 | 779 | 398 | 745 | $4,655,989$ | 790 |
| Black or African American | 0 |  | 1 |  | 296,463 | 708 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 |  | 1 |  | 30,394 | 743 |
| Asian | 2 |  | 6 |  | 406,527 | 906 |
| Filipino | 0 |  | 0 |  | 121,054 | 867 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 139 | 779 | 389 | 747 | $2,438,951$ | 744 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 |  | 0 |  | 25,351 | 774 |
| White | 0 |  | 0 |  | $1,200,127$ | 853 |
| Two or More Races | 0 |  | 1 |  | 125,025 | 824 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 135 | 775 | 382 | 744 | $2,774,640$ | 743 |
| English Learners | 135 | 777 | 367 | 748 | $1,482,316$ | 721 |
| Students with Disabilities | 9 |  | 30 | 652 | 527,476 | 615 |

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Made AYP Overall | No | No |
| Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate: Mathematics | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts | No | No |
| Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics | No | No |
| Met API Criteria | Yes | No |
| Met Graduation Rate (if applicable) | N/A | N/A |

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | $2012-13$ | $2012-13$ |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | --- | 2 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | --- | 100.0 |

## V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 6 | 47 |
| Grade 7 | 48 |
| Grade 8 | 54 |
| Total Enrollment | 149 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

| Group | Percent of <br> Total Enrollment | Group <br> Total Enrollment of |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 0.0 | White | 0.0 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.0 | Two or More Races | 0.0 |
| Asian | 1.3 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 98.0 |
| Filipino | 0.0 | English Learners | 45.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 98.7 | Students with Disabilities | 6.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0.0 |  |  |

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2010-11 |  |  |  | 2011-12 |  |  |  | 2012-13 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 123 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19.6 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 18.1 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Mathematics | 84 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17.7 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 20.7 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 24.1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Social Science | 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26.3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 24.1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |

[^0]
## School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

A comprehensive school safety plan is in place to ensure a secure, peaceful, and clean environment for the school community. The plan is reviewed each fall by the Safety Committee, which consists of the Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent, Principal maintenance/custodial staff, and selected school staff. The school's disaster preparedness plan identifies procedures to follow during emergencies and natural disasters. Emergency drills, including fire, earthquake, and intruder, are conducted monthly.

At A.M Thomas Middle School every effort is made to ensure students are monitored while on campus throughout the school day. Faculty members, administrators, and yard duty monitors are responsible for supervising students before and after school, at recess and during lunch. All visitors are required to check in and sign out at the office and wear a badge at all times.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| Suspensions | 1.27 | 2.4 | 7 | 2 | 1.01 | 9 |
| Expulsions | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment $\times 100$.

## VI. School Facilities

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

The Lost Hills Union School District places a strong emphasis on ensuring the safety of all students and staff members. The school's maintenance/ custodial staff of five keeps the facilities in compliance with all federal and state health and safety regulations. The K-8 campus is currently comprised of 36 classrooms, one staff room, a library, a media learning center, a multipurpose room, a fitness center, two computer labs, and two playgrounds. The chart below displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection.

## Cleaning Process

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school or district office. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

## Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and highest priority to emergency repairs. At the time this report was published, $100 \%$ of restrooms on campus were in good working order.

## Deferred Maintenance Budget

Lost Hills Union School District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar for- dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior and exterior painting, and flooring. For the current school year (2013-14), Lost Hills Union School District budgeted $\$ 166,957.00$ for the deferred maintenance program. This represents $3 \%$ of the district's general fund budget. During the 2013-14 school year, the district's governing board has approved deferred maintenance projects for this school.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

| School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14) Year and month in which data were collected: August 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: <br> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Interior: <br> Interior Surfaces | [X] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Cleanliness: <br> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Electrical: <br> Electrical | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: <br> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Safety: <br> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| Structural: <br> Structural Damage, Roofs | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |
| External: <br> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | [ X ] | [ ] | [ ] |  |

Overall Facility Rate

| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [] | $[\mathrm{X}]$ | [] | [] |

## VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| With Full Credential | 11 | 9 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | --- |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.


## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

| Location of Classes |  | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |  |
| This School | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| All Schools in District | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

| Title | Number of FTE <br> Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor |  |  |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) |  | --- |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) |  | --- |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) |  | --- |
| Psychologist |  | -5 |
| Social Worker |  | --- |
| Nurse |  | --- |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist |  | --- |
| Resource Specialist |  | --- |
| Other |  | --- |

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2013

Lost Hills Union School District held a Public Hearing in September 2013 and determined that each school within the district has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home.

There is an adequate supply of textbooks for every student, including English Language Learners. Instructional materials for health, as well as science equipment are aligned with state standards and there is a sufficient supply. The chart below reflects the textbooks in use at A.M. Thomas Middle School during the 2012-13 school year.

The library is stocked with thousands of books that are available for students to check out. The library is staffed by a fulltime library clerk. Students visit the library on a regular basis and may also visit the library before and after school. The library contains six desktop computers that allow students to check out books and research information. In addition, Kern County provides a mobile book library that visits the Lost Hills Union School District once a week for half a day.

There is are two computer labs on campus with 30 computers each. Students receive computer-assisted instruction on a weekly basis. Students have been trained on a variety of software programs including, Edusoft (Grades 2-8), Accelerated Reader (Grades 18), Accelerated Math (1-8), and word-processing application. ELL students have access to specific software that helps boost basic English skills.

Each classroom at Lost Hills Union School District has Internet access, and all teachers have their own computer. Teachers have access to a variety of audio and visual resources to enhance students' time spent in the classroom.

| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ <br> Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent <br> Adoption? | Percent of Students <br> Lacking Own <br> Assigned Copy |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | Prentice Hall (6-8) 2002 <br> Sopris West Language! 2005 | Yes | 0 |
| Mathematics | McDougal Littel (6) 2002 <br> Concepts and Skills Course I <br> Concepts and Skills Course II <br> Algebra I <br> Pre-Algebra | Yes | 0 |
| Science | Holt, Rienhart \& Winston (6-8) 2007 <br> Earth Science <br> Life Science <br> Physical Science <br> History-Social Science | Yes |  |

## X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Supplemental/ Restricted | Basic/ Unrestricted |  |
| School Site | \$8,755.55 | \$4,212.74 | \$4,542.81 | \$55,279 |
| District | --- | --- | \$4,542.81 | \$57,009 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | --- | --- | 0.0 | -3.0 |
| State | --- | --- | \$5,455 | \$69,435 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | --- | --- | -16.7 | -20.4 |

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education \& Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Lost Hills Union School District receives state and federal categorical funding for special programs. For the 2012-13 school year, the district received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Home-to-School Transportation
- Economic Impact Aid
- Special Education
- Title I Part A: Basic Grant
- Title II Part A: Teacher Quality
- Title III Part A: Immigrant
- Title III Part A: LEP
- Title VI Subpart 1 REAP Flexibility Participation
- Class Size Reduction (K-3)

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

| Category | District <br> Amount | State Average for <br> Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 36,103$ | $\$ 38,719$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 57,383$ | $\$ 55,637$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 70,555$ | $\$ 70,797$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 90,284$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 91,434$ | $\$ 94,675$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 85,183$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 121,000$ | $\$ 104,272$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $32.3 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $8.3 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |

[^1]
## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

## Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences, workshops, and grade level collaboration throughout the year. For the past three years, the district offered three staff development days annually where teachers are offered a broad based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. Topics for staff development for 2012-13 school year included:

- Effective Strategies for ELL Students
- Use of Technology to Facilitate Instruction
- Explicit Instruction
- Classroom Management
- Professional Learning Communities
- Student Engagement
- Common Core State Standards


[^0]:    Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

[^1]:    For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

