User: Brian Bibb User Role: District

RATING YEAR 2018-2019 DISTRICT NUMBER district # 1 Select An Option



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2018-2019 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2017-2018 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: PETERSBURG 1SD(095904) Publication Level 1: 8/7/2019 3:33:27 PM Status: Passed Publication Level 2: 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM Rating: A = Superior Last Updated: 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM District Score: 100 Passing Score: 60				
		***************************************	*****************	
		energy tops and the contract		
	Indicator Description		Updated	Score
	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year	submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	8/5/2019 11:26:49 PM	Yes
	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material w The school district falls indicator number 2 if it responds	eaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
Д	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)		8/5/2019 11:26:49 PM	Yes
В	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		8/5/2019 11:26:50 PM	Yes
	was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies payment plan with the lender and the payments are made technical defaults that are not related to monetary default covenant, contract, or master promissory note even thou	erms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or a on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are ts. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt on payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt son, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which	8/5/2019 11:26:50 PM	Yes
	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teach Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government ac	ners Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), pencies?	8/5/2019 11:26:50 PM	Yes
	This indicator is not being scored.			
				1 Multiplie Sum
	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)		8/5/2019 11:26:51 PM	10
	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ra ranges below.)	tio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See	8/5/2019 11:26:51 PM	10
	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district's change of students in membership over 5 indicator.) (See ranges below.)	school district sufficient to suggort long-term solvency? (If the years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this	8/5/2019 11:26:52 PM	10
	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or ex	cceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)?	8/5/2019 11:26:52	10
	If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on	9 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	PM	

		11:26:53 PM	
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	8/5/2019 11:26:54 PM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	8/5/2019 11:26:55 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	8/5/2019 11:26:55 PM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	8/5/2019 11:26:55 PM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	8/5/2019 11:26:56 PM	10
		ALTERNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	100 Weighted Sum
		THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1	1 Multiplier Sum
			100 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

Α.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.		
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)		
	A = Superior	90-100	
	B ≃ Above Standard	80-89	
	C = Meets Standard	60-79	
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60	

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.7.1.0