MINUTES

Green Meadow Building Committee Tuesday, May 24, 2022, 5:00 pm Remote Meeting

Pursuant to Gov. Baker's Executive Order dated March 12, 2020, suspending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law, G.L. c. 30A sec. 20, the School Committee has modified meeting procedures to ensure the safety of all participants. The public will not be allowed to physically access this School Committee meeting; video and audio will be turned off for the public. This meeting will be held via a virtual meeting (internet) using Zoom Technology.

Meeting Called to Order via Roll Call at 5:00 pm

Brian Haas - Present
Greg Johnson - Absent
Jennifer Gaudet - Present
Jerry Culbert - Present
Justine St John - Present
Mary Brannelly - Present
Nicholas Kane - Present
Robert Rouleau - Absent
Anthony Midey - Present
Wayne White - Present
Wayne White - Present
Chris DiSilva - Present
Justin DeMarco - Absent
Matthew Johann - Absent

Charles Gobron (NV) - Present Katie Moore (NV) - Absent Robert Savoie (NV) - Absent Ken Neuhauser (NV) - Present

Others present: Phil Palumbo, Colliers International; Dennis Daly, MVG; Chris LeBlanc, MVG;

Preferred Schematic Report Submission Timeline

Mr. Palumbo reviewed the timeline. The preferred schematic report will be submitted to MSBA on 6/7/22. The MSBA Board of Directors meeting will be on 8/31/2. Between those two dates the project team will present the preferred schematic at the Facilities Assessment Subcommittee meeting.

Mr. Leblanc reviewed the process so far. There had been multiple meetings to discuss and review the various schematic designs and go over the various pros and cons. The Building Committee and leadership teams have voiced their views and opinions. An alternative criteria review sheet was distributed to assist everyone in identifying what was the most appropriate alternative. Tonight the Building Committee will vote to select the floor plan scheme for the preferred alternative which will continue to evolve through schematic design. The floor plans and site plan will be fine tuned.

Design Alternatives Discussion

Concern around the low amount of community input was expressed.

Mr. Daly noted that they will be taking the preliminary schematic design and fine tuning that to develop it into the final design.

Mr. Palumbo said there would be more opportunities to present to Town Boards and the community.

Design 4 and 7 were discussed. Design 4, the model school, may save money, although design 7 may be the best for the School.

Mr. Haas noted that Designs 6 and 7 were good for both the school and the community. The designs offered easy community access while blocking access to classroom spaces.

Building cost was discussed. It was noted that there may be additional costs not reimbursable by MSBA. Cost, Sustainability and Educational goals should be weighed when making a decision.

The 7 schematic designs were reviewed.

Mr. LeBlanc stated that alternative review criteria forms resulted in designs 6 and 7 receiving the highest ratings of 5. Design 1 received a 2. All other designs received a 3.

Mr. Daly said that option 7 has a smaller footprint, most desirable in terms of energy efficiency, according to the eversource consultant. It had a reduced envelope which was critical in energy savings, and will include more outdoor space that can become outdoor classroom spaces.

Mr. Neuhauser asked about the net carbon impact.

Mr. Daley noted they wanted a building that would be energy efficient. When building a new building, the building envelope would be built according to today's standards. Building design would be moved toward net zero or net zero ready. The roof and parking lots would be built ready for solar installations.

Cost estimates based on square foot costs were:

Code upgrades and repairs - 25.5 to 27 Million (approx. local share 15.3 to 16.2 Million) Addition/renovation - 58 to 65 Million (approx. local share 34.8 to 39 Million) New Construction - 67.5 to 78.5 Million (approx. local share 40.5 to 41.1 Million)

Vote for Preferred Design Alternative

Mr. Culbert called for a roll call vote with members stating which design they wished to vote for.

Roll Call Vote

Brian Haas - 7 Greg Johnson - 7 Jennifer Gaudet - 7 Jerry Culbert - 7 Justine St John - 7 Mary Brannelly - 7 Nicholas Kane - 7 Robert Rouleau - 7 Anthony Midey - 7 Wayne White - 7 Mark Anderson - 7 Chris DiSilva - 7

Motion passed 12-0.

Design #7 selected as the preferred schematic design.

Mr. Palumbo said the next meeting should include an agenda item to create the Sustainability Subcommittee and a Community Outreach Committee

Approval of Minutes - none

Mr. Gaudet made a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Haas 2nd motion.

Roll Call Vote

Brian Haas - Yae
Greg Johnson - Yae
Jennifer Gaudet - Yae
Jerry Culbert - Yae
Justine St John - Yae
Mary Brannelly - Yae
Nicholas Kane - Yae
Robert Rouleau - Yae
Anthony Midey - Yae
Wayne White - Yae
Mark Anderson - Yae
Chris DiSilva - Yae

Motion passed 12-0

Meeting adjourned at 6:05 pm

Respectfully Submitted Colleen Andrade Administrative Assistant to the Superintendent of Schools Approved 7/18/22