## **Pikeland CUSD 10**



**District Superintendent** 

Dr. Carol Kilver ckilver@pikeland.org

**Address** 

Pittsfield IL 62363 (217) 285-2147

http://www.pikeland.org

www.nikolond.org

#### District Provided Statement

Not available.

## **About the Report Card**

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2021 Report Card Metrics and the 2021 Glossary of Terms.

## **District Snapshot**

Percent of Adequacy: \*

Chronic Absenteeism: 25.2%

Principal Turnover: 2 Schools in District: 3 Senate District: 50 **TABLE OF CONTENTS** 

02 | Students


31 I NAEP

Date: 10/26/22 9:00:52 -05:00

# **Students**

## **About the data**

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



#### **Student Enrollment**

#### What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

#### By Subgroups

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	100.0% 1,101	<b>52.9</b> % <b>582</b>	47.1% 519	97.2% 1,070	0.0%	1.8% 20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.1% 232
State	<b>100.0%</b> 1,887,316	<b>51.3%</b> 969,086	<b>48.7</b> % 918,230	<b>46.7%</b> 880,891	<b>16.6%</b> 312,609	<b>27.0</b> % 510,387	<b>5.4</b> % 102,407	<b>0.1%</b> 1,942	<b>0.2%</b> 4,650	<b>3.9%</b> 74,430	<b>18.3</b> % 345,533
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military				
District	18.5% 204	0.0%	41.5% 457	0.0%	0.0%	1.0% 11	0.0%				
State	14.9%	12.9%	48.1%	1.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.7%				

#### By Grades

281,241

243,308

908,417

32,284

326

	PK	K	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
District	69	68	65	65	59	81	96	80	91	104	96	70	77	80
State	68,067	120,110	127,671	127,907	130,321	134,540	136,665	141,642	146,930	149,255	153,493	152,533	148,759	149,423

13,062

12,743

## **Advanced Academic Programs**

#### What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>8.0%</b> 156,197	<b>7.5%</b> 74,801	<b>8.6</b> % 81,388	<b>7.7</b> % 69,509	<b>5.4%</b> 17,793	<b>7.8</b> % 41,113	<b>20.3%</b> 21,376	<b>13.0%</b> 256	<b>7.7%</b> 385	<b>7.4</b> % 5,765	<b>4.3</b> % 15,015
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>1.9%</b> 5,501	<b>2.3%</b> 5,720	<b>5.5%</b> 50,536	<b>2.2</b> % 512	<b>1.0%</b> 139						

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.5%</b> 9,062	<b>0.3</b> % 3,144	<b>0.6%</b> 5,918	<b>0.6%</b> 5,606	<b>0.2%</b> 634	<b>0.3%</b> 1,776	<b>0.6%</b> 627	<b>0.2%</b> 3	<b>0.5%</b> 26	<b>0.5%</b> 390	<b>0.2%</b> 842

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - ELA

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 247	<b>0.1%</b> 130	<b>0.2%</b> 2,196	<b>0.1%</b> 32	<b>0.0%</b> 7

Students E	inrolled in <i>I</i>	Accelerated	l Placemen	t - Math							
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.2%</b> 24,290	<b>1.4%</b> 14,444	<b>1.0%</b> 9,845	<b>1.5%</b> 13,688	<b>0.2%</b> 739	<b>0.8%</b> 4,135	<b>4.4</b> % 4,620	<b>1.2%</b> 23	<b>1.6%</b> 79	<b>1.3%</b> 1,006	<b>0.6%</b> 1,937
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>0.3%</b> 773	<b>0.3%</b> 846	<b>0.5%</b> 4,371	<b>0.2%</b> 57	<b>0.1</b> % 12						

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Mutiple Subjects

					,						
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5.2%</b> 100,406	<b>4.6</b> % 46,281	<b>5.7%</b> 54,120	<b>4.6</b> % 41,443	<b>3.9%</b> 12,686	<b>5.3%</b> 27,815	<b>13.7</b> % 14,366	<b>10.5%</b> 207	<b>4.4%</b> 219	<b>4.7</b> % 3,670	<b>2.7%</b> 9,334
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>1.1%</b> 3,069	<b>1.0%</b> 2,626	<b>3.8%</b> 34,624	<b>1.1%</b> 250	<b>0.6%</b> 90						

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.2%</b> 4,782	<b>0.2%</b> 2,132	<b>0.3%</b> 2,650	<b>0.1%</b> 929	<b>0.6%</b> 1,891	<b>0.2%</b> 1,279	<b>0.5%</b> 497	<b>0.4%</b> 8	<b>0.3</b> % 17	<b>0.2%</b> 161	<b>0.1%</b> 473

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in Accelerated Placement - Whole Grade

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.1%</b> 253	<b>0.3%</b> 810	<b>0.3</b> % 2,705	<b>0.0%</b> 0	<b>0.1%</b> 14

#### Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

Students E	inrolled in <i>E</i>	Advanced P	lacement C	oursework							
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>23.6%</b> 145,272	<b>20.2%</b> 63,878	<b>27.2%</b> 81,389	<b>24.5%</b> 71,845	<b>13.0%</b> 12,944	<b>22.6%</b> 37,832	<b>53.1%</b> 17,209	<b>31.9%</b> 203	<b>19.9%</b> 291	<b>23.9%</b> 4,948	<b>8.8</b> % 10,245
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>2.7</b> % 2,349	<b>7.0%</b> 2,911	<b>16.0%</b> 42,899	*	*						

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### Students Enrolled in IB Coursework

otudents L	onea iii i	D Coursew	OIK								
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.0%</b> 6,121	<b>0.8%</b> 2,379	<b>1.3%</b> 3,742	<b>0.3%</b> 824	<b>1.9%</b> 1,842	<b>1.7%</b> 2,922	<b>1.2%</b> 396	<b>0.8%</b> 5	<b>1.6%</b> 23	<b>0.5%</b> 109	<b>0.5</b> % 543
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>0.2%</b> 163	<b>0.5%</b> 195	<b>1.6%</b> 4,311	*	*						

### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>20.1%</b> 390,785	<b>18.3</b> % 183,736	<b>21.9%</b> 207,040	<b>22.4%</b> 202,744	<b>13.3%</b> 43,538	<b>17.6%</b> 92,777	<b>34.4</b> % 36,095	<b>23.3%</b> 461	<b>16.4%</b> 815	<b>18.4</b> % 14,355	<b>10.7</b> % 37,437

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>5.9%</b> 16,764	<b>5.0%</b> 12,638	<b>13.4%</b> 122,600	<b>9.6%</b> 2,248	<b>4.0%</b> 575

Students E	Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	15.2% 51	11.9% 21	18.9% 30	15.4% 50	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>12.9%</b> 79,372	<b>12.2%</b> 38,630	<b>13.6%</b> 40,740	<b>15.5</b> % 45,567	<b>9.2%</b> 9,109	<b>10.2%</b> 17,161	<b>14.8%</b> 4,805	<b>12.6%</b> 80	<b>10.3%</b> 151	<b>12.1%</b> 2,499	<b>7.7%</b> 9,010
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>5.8%</b> 5,133	<b>6.1%</b> 2,557	<b>9.7%</b> 25,969	*	*						

#### **Gifted Students**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the number and percentage of students who have been assessed for giftedness. The current guidelines within which gifted education programs operate was established with reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 1988 and passage of the Jacob Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act. At the federal level, the program supports "evidence-based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary schools and secondary schools nationwide to identify gifted and talented students and meet their special educational needs." Within Illinois, school districts define both the criteria for "giftedness" and the assessments used to measure it, and self-report data on their programs of gifted education.

#### Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>9.7%</b> 188,673	<b>9.6%</b> 96,413	<b>9.8%</b> 92,259	<b>10.5%</b> 95,102	<b>6.1%</b> 19,993	<b>7.5</b> % 39,207	<b>23.1%</b> 24,303	<b>13.1%</b> 258	<b>10.7%</b> 533	<b>11.9%</b> 9,277	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>7.2</b> % 20,532	<b>7.0%</b> 17,655	<b>6.5%</b> 59,670	*	*						

#### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>1.3%</b> 24,668	<b>1.3%</b> 12,576	<b>1.3%</b> 12,092	<b>1.5%</b> 13,267	<b>0.5%</b> 1,720	<b>0.8%</b> 3,955	<b>4.2%</b> 4,367	<b>2.0%</b> 40	<b>1.6%</b> 79	<b>1.6%</b> 1,240	*

## **Gifted Students (cont)**

Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.6%</b> 1,594	<b>0.5%</b> 1,238	<b>0.5%</b> 4,817	*	*

Students lo	Students Identified As Gifted										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>3.4</b> % 65,476	<b>3.4</b> % 33,874	<b>3.3</b> % 31,601	<b>3.4</b> % 30,346	<b>2.0%</b> 6,537	<b>2.2%</b> 11,680	<b>12.7%</b> 13,394	<b>6.7%</b> 133	<b>3.2%</b> 158	<b>4.1%</b> 3,228	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>0.7%</b> 2,067	<b>0.5%</b> 1,224	<b>1.8%</b> 16,100	*	*						

# **Students**

## **Gifted Students (cont)**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

### Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

Students I	Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	<b>0.7%</b> 13,016	<b>0.7%</b> 6,723	<b>0.7%</b> 6,293	<b>0.7%</b> 6,289	<b>0.3</b> % 1,123	<b>0.3%</b> 1,672	<b>3.0%</b> 3,122	<b>1.3%</b> 25	<b>0.9</b> % 44	<b>1.0%</b> 741	*
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care						
District	*	*	*	*	*						
State	<b>0.1%</b> 383	<b>0.1%</b> 156	<b>0.2%</b> 2,156	*	*						

#### **Students With IEPs**

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

#### By Race/ Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District	All Students	18.9%	*	0.1%	*	*	*	0.2%
	Students with IEPs	98.6%	*	0.5%	*	*	*	0.9%
All Peer	All Students	15.8%	*	15.7%	*	*	*	17.5%
Districts*	Students with IEPs	58.3%	*	19.0%	*	*	*	5.3%
State	All Students	6.9%	*	4.2%	*	*	*	0.6%
	Students with IEPs	45.6%	*	27.5%	*	*	*	4.1%

#### **By Disability Category**

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	All Students	1.5%	*	*	1.1%	0.9%	0.2%	1.0%
	Students with IEPs	7.6%	*	*	5.7%	4.7%	0.9%	5.2%
All Peer	All Students	1.4%	*	*	2.0%	0.9%	0.1%	0.7%
Districts*	Students with IEPs	8.9%	*	*	12.7%	5.7%	0.9%	4.4%
State	All Students	1.5%	*	*	2.0%	0.9%	0.1%	0.7%
	Students with IEPs	10.0%	*	*	13.3%	6.2%	0.8%	4.9%

# **Students**

## **Students With IEPs (cont)**

### By Disability Category

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	All Students	0.2%	*	2.8%	6.5%	4.7%	*	0.3%
	Students with IEPs	0.9%	*	14.7%	34.1%	24.6%	*	1.4%
All Peer	All Students	0.2%	*	2.4%	5.1%	3.0%	*	0.1%
Districts*	Students with IEPs	1.1%	*	14.7%	32.1%	18.5%	*	0.4%
State	All Students	0.2%	*	2.1%	5.1%	2.4%	*	0.1%
	Students with IEPs	1.0%	*	13.6%	33.5%	15.7%	*	0.3%

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom
- In a separate facility

#### By Race/ Ethnicity

	Inside >= 80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
All				
District	64.0%	18.8%	13.7%	3.6%
All Peer Districts *	55.4%	26.0%	13.1%	5.6%
State	54.2%	26.1%	13.3%	6.4%
White				
District	63.9%	19.1%	13.4%	3.6%
All Peer Districts *	57.5%	26.5%	10.6%	5.4%
State	57.8%	24.8%	11.2%	6.3%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Hispanic				
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	55.5%	26.0%	14.1%	4.4%
State	55.0%	26.7%	13.5%	4.9%

y Race/ Ethnicity										
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility						
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander									
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
American Indian										
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
Two or More Races										
District	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts *	55.0%	24.1%	14.4%	6.6%						
State	54.6%	23.5%	14.3%	7.6%						

For Selected Disabilities											
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility							
Autism											
District	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%							
All Peer Districts *	30.2%	22.7%	32.7%	14.4%							
State	29.4%	22.1%	32.6%	15.9%							
Emotional Disability											
District	District         30.0%         30.0%         20.0%         20.0%										
All Peer Districts *	31.5%	19.9%	17.8%	30.8%							
State	35.6%	19.9%	14.4%	30.1%							
Intellectual Disability											
District	0.0%	27.3%	72.7%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts *	3.3%	31.0%	54.1%	11.6%							
State	3.4%	29.8%	50.1%	16.6%							
Other Health Impairment											
District	58.1%	29.0%	9.7%	3.2%							
All Peer Districts *	55.5%	29.3%	10.2%	5.0%							
State	57.8%	27.9%	9.5%	4.9%							
Specific Learning Disabili	ty										
District	75.0%	20.8%	4.2%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts *	54.2%	38.8%	6.1%	0.9%							
State	55.0%	37.9%	6.2%	1.0%							
Speech or Language Impa	irment										
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts *	98.2%	1.2%	0.5%	0.1%							
State	97.5%	1.7%	0.7%	0.1%							

By Race/ Ethnicity					
	Regular Early Childhood F	Program			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
All					
District	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%
All Peer Districts *	45.5%	21.9%	23.8%	0.3%	8.5%
State	48.5%	17.1%	26.6%	0.2%	7.6%
White					
District	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%
All Peer Districts *	44.3%	25.5%	20.4%	0.4%	9.4%
State	44.2%	22.1%	23.4%	0.4%	10.0%
Black					
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	51.4%	13.2%	27.3%	0.1%	8.0%
State	56.7%	9.5%	28.5%	0.1%	5.2%

By Race/ Ethnicity					
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Asian					
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pac	ific Islander				
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
American Indian					
District	*	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts *	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	43.6%	20.4%	29.0%	0.2%	6.8%
State	45.8%	18.0%	29.2%	0.1%	7.0%

## Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

For Selected Disabiliti	es					
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram				
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider	
Autism						
District	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts *	30.4%	9.1%	60.4%	0.0%	0.1%	
State	31.3%	8.2%	60.1%	0.0%	0.4%	
Developmental Delay	,					
District	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts *	51.1%	11.4%	36.4%	0.0%	1.0%	
State	53.6%	8.9%	36.2%	0.1%	1.3%	
Emotional Disability						
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
All Peer Districts *	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	
State	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	

## Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

For Selected Disabilities									
	Regular Early Childhood Program								
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program			Home	Service Provider				
Intellectual Disability									
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	15.0%	20.0%	65.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
State	24.1%	13.8%	62.1%	0.0%	0.0%				
Other Health Impairm	ent								
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
All Peer Districts *	43.9%	10.5%	40.5%	3.8%	1.3%				
State	42.6%	8.8%	45.9%	1.9%	0.9%				

#### For Selected Disabilities

For Selected Disabiliti	es				
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program				
Specific Learning Dis	sability				
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts *	60.0%	6.7%	13.3%	0.0%	20.0%
State	53.8%	12.8%	23.1%	0.0%	10.3%
Speech or Language	Impairment				
District	12.5%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
All Peer Districts *	42.5%	36.5%	2.3%	0.2%	18.5%
State	46.8%	31.2%	3.3%	0.3%	18.4%

#### **State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs**

#### What is it?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to Part B of the Illinois State Performance Plan can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
1	Graduation Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	100.00	82.6	Yes
2	Dropout Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	0.00	13.7	Yes
3a1	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3b1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3b2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3c1	Reading assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3c2	Math assessment proficiency rate for students with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards	*	*	*
4a	Did the district have significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in -? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Did the district have a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	64.0	52.7	Yes
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21(and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	13.7	12.92	No
5c	Students ages 6-21 (and 5-year old kindergartners) with IEPs in separate educational facilities	3.6	6.68	Yes

## State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.6	46	No
6b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	14.3	26.3	Yes
<b>7</b> a1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	62.50	83.95	No
7a2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	66.67	47.2	Yes
7b1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	50.00	84.1	No
7b2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	60.00	45.1	Yes
7c1	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	57.14	85.8	No
7c2	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program.	60.00	53.4	Yes
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	*	70.5	N/A
9	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
10	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
11	Children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation	100.00	100	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	*	100	N/A
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	100.00	100	Yes
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	*	29.6	N/A

## State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs (cont)

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2020-21 District Data	2020-21 State Target	District Met Target
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	*	63.5	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	*	75.75	N/A
3a2	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs	*	*	*
3d1	Gap in reading proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
3d2	Gap in math proficiency rates for students with IEPs and all students against grade level academic achievement standards	*	*	*
6c	Children ages 3-5 receiving special education and related services in the home	*	0.28	N/A

## **English Learners**

#### What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

#### **EL on ACCESS**

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	Proficient	More than 7 years as an EL
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	<b>99.8%</b> 139,811	6.5%	* 38,907

### **Student Attendance**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	93.2%	93.4%	93.0%	93.2%	99.4%	90.2%	94.6%	*	*	96.0%	91.7%
State	92.5%	92.1%	92.9%	94.7%	86.7%	91.4%	96.5%	93.6%	90.8%	92.0%	90.2%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	91.4%	91.7%	90.5%								
State	89.6%	91.9%	89.4%								

## **Student Mobility Rate**

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

#### Student Mobility

Student Mc	Dullity										
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	10.0%	9.2%	10.9%	9.5%	*	18.2%	*	*	*	33.3%	8.0%
State	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	9.5%	5.4%	4.4%	7.2%	7.8%	8.0%	5.5%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	8.5%	*	17.4%								
	6.0%	6.2%	7.7%								

#### **Chronic Absenteeism Rate**

⚠ Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

Chronic Al	senteeism	) I									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	25.2%	25.1%	25.3%	24.9%	*	54.5%	*	*	*	9.1%	34.4%
State	21.1%	22.3%	19.9%	13.9%	39.0%	24.7%	8.1%	18.8%	26.7%	23.8%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	37.7%	*	40.2%								
State	30.0%	23.8%	31.7%								

### **Dropout Rate**

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are removed from the local enrollment roster before the end of a school term. Dropouts include students in Grades 9-12 whose names have been removed for any reason, including moved not known to be continuing, transfer to GED-program, and aged out. The percentage does not include death, extended illness, graduation/completion of a program of studies, transfer to another public/private/home school, or expulsion.

#### By Subgroups

,											
	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabiliti
District	5.8%	5.9%	5.7%	5.4%	*	*	*	*	*	*	6.9%
State	2.5%	3.0%	2.0%	2.1%	4.0%	2.6%	0.8%	2.0%	4.7%	3.3%	2.5%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	6.7%	*	9.6%								
State	2.9%	4.1%	3.8%								

#### By Grades

	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
District	0.0%	7.2%	7.1%	9.8%
State	0.5%	2.7%	3.3%	3.5%

## **Chronically Truant Students**

A Possible data impact due to COVID-19

#### What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	AII	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students with Disabilities
District	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	5.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	7.1%
State	22.8%	24.0%	21.4%	11.4%	47.0%	30.2%	7.4%	20.9%	29.2%	23.9%	28.0%
	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income								
District	7.1%	*	12.3%								
State	30.0%	28.8%	36.0%								



## **About the data**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students (e.g., fourth-graders) and subgroups of those populations (e.g., female students, Hispanic students). NAEP results are based on a <u>sample of student populations of interest</u>. National NAEP reports information for the nation and specific geographic regions of the country. It includes students drawn from both public and nonpublic schools and reports results for student achievement at Grades 4, 8, and 12.

### Inclusion Rate and Standard Error in NAEP

#### What is it?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

#### Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Reading

	Grade 4				Grade 8				
	Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	
Illinois	91	2.1	96	1	95	1.4	94	1.6	

#### Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Mathematics


	Grade 4				Grade 8				
	Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	
Illinois	95	1.2	98	0.7	94	1.1	95	2	



## **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.


NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



## **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.



## NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)




<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



## NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)



■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient ■ NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.



## **Results for Student Groups**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

#### Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Reading - Grade 4

			Percentage at or above NA	Demonstrate to at NAFD	
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	46.0%	228	75.0%	45.0%	12.0%
Black	18.0%	200	46.0%	17.0%	2.0%
Hispanic	27.0%	208	55.0%	23.0%	4.0%
Asian	4.0%	238	82.0%	57.0%	19.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	4.0%	229	74.0%	43.0%	12.0%
Gender					
Male	50.0%	215	61.0%	32.0%	8.0%
Female	50.0%	221	68.0%	36.0%	9.0%
National School Lunch	n Program				
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.



## **Results for Student Groups (cont)**

#### Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Reading - Grade 8

Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Reading - Grade 8									
			Percentage at or above NAEP						
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced				
Race/Ethnicity									
White	48.0%	274	82.0%	45.0%	6.0%				
Black	18.0%	246	56.0%	15.0%	1.0%				
Hispanic	25.0%	255	66.0%	25.0%	2.0%				
Asian	6.0%	290	90.0%	66.0%	15.0%				
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	<b>‡</b>	‡	‡				
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Two or More Races	3.0%	263	72.0%	32.0%	5.0%				
Gender									
Male	51.0%	260	69.0%	32.0%	4.0%				
Female	49.0%	269	78.0%	40.0%	6.0%				
National School Lunch	n Program								
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡				

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.


<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.



## **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.


NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



## **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient ■ NAEP Advanced


IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.



## **NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)**




<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



## NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)



IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.



## **Results for Student Groups**

#### What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

#### Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Math - Grade 4

			Percentage at or above NAEP  Percentage at NAEP			
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	
Race/Ethnicity						
White	46.0%	246	86.0%	51.0%	11.0%	
Black	17.0%	217	57.0%	14.0%	1.0%	
Hispanic	27.0%	231	74.0%	28.0%	4.0%	
Asian	4.0%	259	88.0%	65.0%	25.0%	
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡	
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡	
Two or More Races	4.0%	238	76.0%	40.0%	12.0%	
Gender						
Male	50.0%	239	78.0%	41.0%	10.0%	
Female	50.0%	236	77.0%	36.0%	6.0%	
National School Lunch	n Program					
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.



## **Results for Student Groups (cont)**

#### Results for Student Groups in 2019 - Math - Grade 8

	oups III 2015 - Matii - Gr	auc o			
			Percentage at or above NA	D NAED	
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	48.0%	291	78.0%	42.0%	12.0%
Black	18.0%	262	49.0%	14.0%	2.0%
Hispanic	25.0%	273	62.0%	24.0%	5.0%
Asian	6.0%	320	92.0%	73.0%	36.0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	3.0%	286	71.0%	38.0%	17.0%
Gender					
Male	51.0%	283	69.0%	35.0%	12.0%
Female	49.0%	282	70.0%	32.0%	9.0%
National School Lunch	n Program				
Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Not Eligible NSLP	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting standards not met.