# How to Write a Tiered SGO

## Why Use a Tiered SGO?

- O Teachers often have students with a wide range of preparedness and ability in a course or class. One simple SGO for all students might be too low for some students and too high for others. By breaking down SGOs into different levels based on student preparation, your goals are more likely to be ambitious and feasible for a much wider range of students.
- O Tiered SGOs provide rich data that can be used to differentiate instruction more effectively.

# What is the Difference between Simple and Tiered SGOs?

- O The use of **Simple** SGOs makes the most sense when the data suggests that students enter the class/course with relatively <u>similar</u> abilities or background knowledge. In this case, teachers identify the number of students who are expected to meet a **single** post-assessment target score.
- O Tiered SGOs are used when students enter the class/course with relatively <u>diverse</u> readiness levels for the content being taught. Using this method, teachers set **different targets** for different groups of students according to their starting points.

### How to Start Writing a Tiered SGO...

OThe basic principles used to set simple goals are applied to creating tiered goals.

OThe difference between simple and tiered SGOs becomes apparent when it is time to set post-assessment targets.

## Developing a Tiered SGO

- Once you determine the focus of your SGO, you must administer a pre-assessment to students and determine the range of student scores.
- O In this example, the pre-assessment was administered to 65 students taking 9<sup>th</sup> grade Physics I.
- O The lowest score on the pre-assessment was 35% and the highest score was 80%. This is the range of student scores (35%-80%).

## Target Score

O Based on the pre-assessment results, develop an ambitious and achievable post-assessment target score that indicates considerable learning.

Target score on post-assessment = 80%

O Determine how many of your students you think can reasonably achieve this target score.

50 out of 65 students (77%) who took the test will be able to achieve the target score

## Attainment Ranges

O Develop a reasonable range around the above student percentage (77%) for the full (3) attainment category.

\*\*The NJDOE suggests using ranges of 10% to 15% for each attainment category.

For this example, we will use a range of 14%. Since we already determined that 77% of students should reach the target score on the post-assessment, add 7 to and subtract 7 from this score. This gives you the full attainment range.

The range for full attainment (3) is 70 - 84%.

## O Develop ranges for the other three categories: exceptional, partial, and insufficient.

\*\*Remember that we are using a range of 14% for this example.

### Ranges for this SGO are:

Exceptional (4) 85% - 100%

Full (3) 70% - 84%

Partial (2) 55% - 69%

Insufficient (1) less than 55%

## Simple or Tiered?

Based on the full attainment range, if the teacher decided to write a **simple** SGO, it would state:

"At least 70% of students will attain a score of 80% or above on the Physics I post-assessment."

However, due to the wide range of pre-assessment scores, it is wiser to write a **tiered** SGO. This allows the teacher to differentiate the target score for different groups of students.

### Identify Student Tier Groupings

- O Low level of preparedness: Students who have yet to master pre-requisite knowledge or skills needed for this course.
- **0 Medium level of preparedness:** Students who are appropriately prepared to meet the demands of the course.
- **0 High level of preparedness:** Students who start the course having already mastered some key knowledge or skills.

## Quantify Student Tier Groupings

O Using the pre-assessment results (which range from 35% to 80%), divide the scores into 3 equal (or nearly equal) tiers and count the number of students who scored in each group.

Low Preparedness Group = 36 students scored between 35% - 49%

Medium Preparedness Group = 21 students scored between 50% - 66%

High Preparedness Group = 8 students scored between 67% - 80%

## Differentiated Targets

- O The original target score (80%) becomes the target for the Medium Preparedness Group.
- O Adjust the target score for the Low and High Preparedness Groups according to their starting points.

Low Preparedness Group target score = 70%

Medium Preparedness Group target score = 80%

High Preparedness Group target score = 90%

### Tiered SGO Statement

Remember, the **simple** SGO stated,
"At least 70% of students will attain a score of 80% or above on the Physics I post-assessment."

A tiered SGO would state,

"At least 70% of students in each preparedness group will meet the target score on the Physics I post-assessment."

## Scoring Plan

O Using the tier groupings and differentiated targets, complete the Scoring Plan chart. Also, calculate the number of students represented in each category.

O To calculate the number of students in a category:

84% of  $21 = .84 \times 21 = 17.64 = 18$  students

Tier	Number of students in group	Target Score	Exceptional (4)	Full (3)	Partial (2)	Insufficient (1)
Low	<mark>36</mark>	70	85-100% (31-36)	70-84% (25-30)	55-69% (18-24)	<55% (0-17)
Medium	21	80	85-100% (19-21)	70-84% (15-18)	55-69% (11-14)	<55% (0-10)
High	8	90	85-100% (8)	70-84% (6-7)	55-69% (4-5)	<55% (0-3)

\*\*Note: The **percentage** of students scoring in each category remains the same—it is the **number** of students in each category that changes.

- O Determine the number of students in the Low Preparedness Full (3) Group by calculating:
  - 0 70% of 36 students in the Low Group equals 25 students (.70 x 36 = 25).
  - O 25 is the minimum number of students in the Low Preparedness Group who must reach the target score in order for the teacher to obtain Full (3) credit for the Low Tier.
  - 0 84% of 36 students in the Low Group equals 30 students (.84 x 36 = 30).
  - 0 30 is the greatest number of students in the Low Preparedness Group who must reach the target score in order for the teacher to obtain Full (3) credit for the Low Tier.

Thus, for a teacher to earn a FULL rating of 3 points *for the Low Preparedness Tier*, between 25 and 30 students in the Low Preparedness Group must earn a target score of 70% or above on the post-assessment.

## SGO Approval Form

**SGO Approval Form  User Information Title:  John State 11  John John State 11  John John State 11  John John John John John John John John	amo: Sally Smith uilding: Irone rade: Grade 11 saligned Administrator: Not Assigned awed 89: NIA chroneledged 89: NIA natized 89: NIA natized 89: NIA Grade:  Subject:  # of Students:    Full Year	air Lawn Schools Todou
User Information Title: Operatment: None adds: Grade 11 Supper Administrator: Not Assigned Supper Administrator: Opts Submitted: Incomplete Opts Finalized: Unfinalized  Opts Finalized: Unfinalized  Opts Finalized: Unfinalized  Interval of Instruction: Full Year Supper Opts Subject: Final Year Supper Opts Subject: Final Year Supper Opts Subject: Final Year Supper S	ine: SaBy Smith iliding: None ade: Grade 11 aligned Administrator: Not Assigned wed By: NIA Alizaed By: NIA Grade:  Subject:  Physics I  # of Students:    55   Interval of Instruction:   Full Year	
me: Saly Snith fiding (tone   Department: None   De	me: Sally Smith  Iliding: None  ade: Grade 11  aligned Administrator: Not Assigned  ved By: NIA  Allized By: NIA  Grade:            Subject:                # of Students:            Interval of Instruction:          Full Year              Semester            If Other, explain:            SGO Type:            General              ease include content standards covered, name and explanation of assessmen    Rationale for Student Grewth Objective:      Baseline Data:              The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35%      36 students scored between 35-49%      21 students scored between 66-80%      File List	Approval Form
Department: None   Department: None   Department: None   Department: None   Department   Depar	ade: Grade 11 ade: Subject:   Subject	User Information
also Grade 11  also and Administrator: Not Assigned  very By. NA  also and Administrator: Not Assigned  very By. NA  Annowledged By. NIA  Data Submistrict: Incomplete  Data Acknowledged: Inschnowledged  Jalased By. NIA  Data Submistrict: Inschnowledged  Jalased By. NIA  Jalased	ado: Grade 11 signed Administrator: Not Assigned ved 89: NIA knowledged By: NIA lailzed By: NIA salized By: NIA  Grade:    Subject:   Physics	Title:
Signed Administrator: Not Assigned   Evaluation Cycles (Bild A2014 - 63/29/2015   Data Submitted: Incomplete   Not Assigned   Private   Data Submitted: Incomplete   Data Submitted: Incompl	algned Administrator: Not Assigned ved By: NIA liked By: NIA allzed By: NIA allzed By: NIA allzed By: NIA crede:    Subject:   Physics I	
Date Submitted: Incomplete Date Acknowledged By: NIA Date Submitted: Incomplete Date Acknowledged: Unacknowledged Date Finalized: Unfinalized By: NIA Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: Unfinalized  Date Finalized: U	Actionale for Student standards covered, name and explanation of assessment Rationale for Students Growth Objective:  Basseline Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% a students scored between 66-80%  File List    Quadratic   Quadra	
And the property of the prope	knowledged By: N/A alized By: N/A Grade:  Subject:  # of Students:  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students of Student Growth Objective:  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students in Students of Students of assessment was between 35%  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students in Students of assessment was between 35%  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students of Students of Assessment was between 35%  # of Students standards covered, name and explanation of assessment  # of Students of Students of Assessment was between 35%  # of Students	
alized 8y: NIA	alized By: NIA  Grade:	
Grede:	Grade:   9 Subject:   Physics	
Subject: Physics I  # of Students:   S   Interval of Instruction:   Full Year	Subject:   Physics	
## of Students:	# of Students:	
Interval of Instruction:    Full Year	Interval of Instruction:    Full Year	
Full Year	Full Year Semester  If Other, explain:  SGO Type:  General Special Spe	
SGO Type:   General	SGO Type:  General Special Spe	
SOO Type:  General Specific  Rease include content standards covered, name and explanation of assessment method, and description of student population.  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rease include what you know about your students' performance/skills/achievement levels at the beginning of the year, as well as any additional student data or ackground information used in setting your objective.  Basactine Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% and 80%.  36 students scored between 35-49%  21 students scored between 50-66%  B students scored between 66-80%  File List  Q: Add AFile	Second   Special   Speci	Other (explain below)
lease include content standards covered, name and explanation of assessment method, and description of student population.  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:    Content	lease Include content standards covered, name and explanation of assessmen  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:	
lease include content standards covered, name and explanation of assessment method, and description of student population.  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:    Rationale for Student Growth Objective:	lease include content standards covered, name and explanation of assessmen  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rease include what you know about your students' performance/skills/achiever eckground information used in setting your objective.  Basaeline Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% 36 students scored between 35-49% 21 students scored between 50-66% 8 students scored between 66-80%  File List	
Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rease include what you know about your students' performance/skills/achievement levels at the beginning of the year, as well as any additional student data or ackground information used in setting your objective.  Reaseline Data: The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% and 80%.  36 students scored between 35-49% 21 students scored between 50-66% 8 students scored between 66-80%  File List  Add A File	Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rease include what you know about your students' performance/skills/achiever ackground information used in setting your objective.  Baseeline Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% 36 students scored between 35-49% 21 students scored between 50-66% 8 students scored between 66-80%  File List	
lease include content standards covered, name and explanation of assessment method, and description of student population.  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:    Rease include what you know about your students' performance/skills/achievement levels at the beginning of the year, as well as any additional student data or achground information used in setting your objective.  Basseline Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% and 80%.  36 students scored between 35-49%  21 students scored between 50-66%  8 students scored between 66-80%  File List    Q Add A File	Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rationale for Student Growth Objective:  Rease include what you know about your students' performance/skills/achiever ackground information used in setting your objective.  Baseeline Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% 36 students scored between 35-49% 21 students scored between 50-66% 8 students scored between 66-80%  File List	cific
Add A File  Baseline Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% and 80%.  36 students scored between 35-49%  21 students scored between 66-80%  File List  Add A File	ackground information used in setting your objective.  Basaeline Data:  The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35%  36 students scored between 35-49%  21 students scored between 50-66%  8 students scored between 66-80%  File List  Ada A File	
The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% and 80%.  36 students scored between 35-49%  21 students scored between 66-80%  File List  AdAARie	The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% 36 students scored between 35-49% 21 students scored between 50-66% 8 students scored between 66-80% File List	ement levels at the beginning of the year, as well as any additional student data or
The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% and 80%.  36 students scored between 35-49%  21 students scored between 66-80%  File List  AdAARie	The range of scores on the pre-assessment was between 35% 36 students scored between 35-49% 21 students scored between 50-66% 8 students scored between 66-80% File List	
21 students scored between 50-66% 8 students scored between 66-80% File List  Add A File	21 students scored between 50-56% 8 students scored between 66-80% File List  Add Apile	6 and 80%.
8 students scored between 66-80%  File List	8 students scored between 66-80% File List IQAda APIla	
File List  © Add A File	File List  Q Add A File	
I <b>Q</b> Add A File	<b>IQ</b> Add A File	
T	47	
File Name Date Uploaded Sice -		
	File Name Date Uploaded	Size .

Instructions: Choose either Option A (Simple SGO) or Option B (Tiered SGO).

### Option A: Simple SGO Goal Setting

	of students would attain a particular level of performance on the final assessmen	
BANKET T		METHODIES AND IN
e simply what percentage	of students will meet what target in the space below. For example, "85% of stu	idents will score 80% or above on the post-assessment."
Simple SGO:		
At least 70% (45/65)	f students will attain a score of 80% or above on the Physics I pos	st-assessment.
Target Score on Post- Assessment (%)	80	
THE TAXABLE		for each of the attainment levels below. For example
te the percentage and 100% (25-30) of stude	umber of students who must achieve the post-assessment target score ts must reach the target score for the teacher to obtain an "exceptiona	it of each of the attainment levels below. For example of (4)" rating.
Exceptional Range (4)	95 100% (66-66)	
Full Range (3)	70-84% (45-54)	
Partial Range (2)	55-69% (36-44)	
Insufficient Range (1)	<55% (0-35)	
Strategies for attaining	iGO:	

Teachers often have students with a wide range of preparedness and ability in a course or class. One simple SGO for all students might be too low for some students and too high for others. By breaking down SGOs into different levels based on student preparation, your goals are more likely to be feasible for a much wider range of students. The tiered SGO form is designed for use when students at multiple levels are assigned to the same SGO.

State your SGO in the space below and then use the Scoring Plan section to provide more detail for each group. For example, "85% of students in each group will meet the target score."

At least 70% of students in each preparedness group will meet the target score on the Physics I post-assessment.

			Scoring Plan
Sim	tate the percentage of str ust reach the target score	udents who must achieve e for the teacher to obtain	e the post-assessment target score for each category below. For example, 90-100% of students in an "exceptional (4)" rating.
*	Note: The Scoring Plan i	is identical for low, middle	e, and high preparedness groups, but the post assessment target score for each group differs.
	Exceptional Range (4)	85-100%	8
	Full Range (3)	70-84%	
	Partial Range (2)	55-69%	
	Insufficient Range (1)	<55%	
Ī			Low Preparedness Group
	Number of Students In Group	36	
	Target Score on Post Assessment (%)	70	
	Number of Students for Exceptional Attainment (4)	31-36	
	Number of Students for Full Attainment (3)	25-30	
	Number of Students for Partial Attainment (2)	18-24	
			THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T
			Medium Preparedness Group
	Number of Students in Group	21	
	Target Score on Post Assessment (%)	80	2
	Number of Students for Exceptional Attainment (4)	19-21	
	Number of Students for Full Attainment (3)	15-18	
	Number of Students for Partial Attainment (2)	11-14	
		The state of the s	
			High Preparedness Group
	Number of Students in Group	8	
	Target Score on Post Assessment (%)	90	
	Number of Students for Exceptional Attainment (4)	8	
	Number of Students for Full Attainment (3)	6-7	
	Number of Students for Partial Attainment (2)	4-5	
		Contract Contract	
	Strategies for attaining 5	5GO:	

## Questions?

