

User: Public

User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2014-2015 CDN: 061802 Select An Option

Home

Help Exit

2014-2015 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2014 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail

Indicator Detail Summary

Determination of Ratings

Size-Dependent Indicators

Name: NORTH TEXAS COLLEGIATE ACADEMY

(061802)

Publication Level 0: 8/4/2015 4:57:29

Status: PASSED

Publication Level 1: 8/7/2015

11:57:43 AM

11:57:43 AM

Rating: Pass

Publication Level 2: 8/7/2015

Charter School Score: 30

Passing Score: 16

Last Updated: 8/7/2015 11:57:43 AM

Options

Print

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.texas.gov The <u>Texas Education Agency</u>

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095 Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2012

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above. CSSF 1.4.0.15



User: Public User Role: Public

Rating Year: 2014-2015 CDN: 061802 Select An Option Help
Home Exit

2014-2015 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2014 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail

Indicator Detail Summary

Determination of Ratings

Size-Dependent Indicators

| NORTH TEXAS COLLEGIATE ACADEMY(061802) | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------------------|---|------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Status | | Indicator Num | Indicator Description | Updated | Score | | | |
| Р | †1 | 1 | Was the complete annual financial and compliance report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA on or before the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively? | 8/4/2015 4:57:40 PM | YES | | | |
| Р | †1 | 2 | Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion, and the external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion. | 8/4/2015 4:57:40 PM | YES | | | |
| Р | +1 | 3 | Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? If the charter school was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the charter school is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. | 8/4/2015 4:57:40 PM | YES | | | |

| Р | +1 | 4 | Was the total net asset balance in the statement of financial position for the charter school greater than zero? (If the charter school's five-year percent change in students was a 10 percent increase or more, then the charter school passes this indicator). (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have a 10 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 10 percent increase in 5 years.) | 8/4/2015 4:57:40 PM | YES |
|--|--|--|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| STATE COLOR OF PROFILE TRACES AND ASSOCIATION OF PROFILE TRACES AND ASSOCIATION OF TRACES AND AS | CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE O | 5 | Was the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal to or below the threshold ratio as specified by TEA? | 8/4/2015 4:57:40 PM | 10 |
| | | 6 | Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in an aggregate variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses? | 8/4/2015 4:57:40 PM | 10 |
| | ная даневыченной муста стана выполняться | 7 | Was the AFR free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliances for local, state, or federal funds? The AICPA defines material weakness and the external independent auditor determines if there are any instances of material weakness. | 8/4/2015 4:57:40 PM | 10 |
| | | | | | 30 Weighted Sum |
| | | NOS SPENINGO | | | 1 Multiplier Sum |
| | Anticological designation of the control of the con | | | | 30 Score |

Options

†1: must pass 1-4

Print

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2012