

Arizona Auditor General

Arizona School District Spending Report

Fiscal Year 2018

This document provides a text-based representation of the numbers and other information presented in the graphics on Hayden-Winkelman Unified School District's summary pages. All data is for fiscal year 2018 unless otherwise noted.

Descriptive information

District name: Hayden-Winkelman Unified School District
County: Gila
Operational efficiency peer group: 6
Transportation efficiency peer group: T-9
Student achievement peer group: 6
Legislative district(s): 8
District size: Small
Location: Rural
Students attending: 246
Number of schools: 2

Operational Efficiency

Pie chart showing spending by operational area

Instruction: 46.5%
Peer average instruction: 48.3%
Administration: 12.9%
Plant operations: 19.2%
Food service: 4.6%
Transportation: 6.1%
Student support: 6.3%
Instruction support: 4.4%

Table showing efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area—Administration

District administration cost per pupil: \$1,932
Peer average administration cost per pupil: \$1,744
District's administration cost per pupil relative to peer average: High
State average administration cost per pupil: \$860
District students per administrative position: 35
Peer average students per administrative position: 43
State average students per administrative position: 66
District's overall administration measure relative to peer average: High

Operational area—Plant operations

District plant operations cost per square foot: \$4.24

Peer average plant operations cost per square foot: \$5.27

District's plant operations cost per square foot relative to peer average: Very Low

State average plant operations cost per square foot: \$6.34

District plant operations square footage per student: 682

Peer average plant operations square footage per student: 321

District's plant operations square footage per student relative to peer average: Very High

State average plant operations square footage per student: 156

District's overall plant operations measures relative to peer average: Low

Operational area—Food service

District food service cost per meal: \$3.99

Peer average food service cost per meal: \$3.96

District's food service cost per meal relative to peer average: Comparable

State average food service cost per meal: \$3.02

District's overall food service measure relative to peer average: Comparable

Operational area—Transportation

District transportation cost per mile: \$3.36

Peer average transportation cost per mile: \$2.62

District's transportation cost per mile relative to peer average: Very High

State average transportation cost per mile: \$4.05

District transportation cost per rider: \$1,599

Peer average transportation cost per rider: \$1,457

District's transportation cost per rider relative to peer average: High

State average transportation cost per rider: \$1,301

District's overall transportation measures relative to peer average: Very High

Table showing per pupil spending

Operational spending

District instruction per pupil spending: \$6,988

Peer average instruction per pupil spending: \$5,556

State average instruction per pupil spending: \$4,480

District administration per pupil spending: \$1,932

Peer average administration per pupil spending: \$1,744

State average administration per pupil spending: \$860

District plant operations per pupil spending: \$2,893

Peer average plant operations per pupil spending: \$1,706

State average plant operations per pupil spending: \$988

District food service per pupil spending: \$695

Peer average food service per pupil spending: \$546

State average food service per pupil spending: \$425

District transportation per pupil spending: \$910

Peer average transportation per pupil spending: \$592

State average transportation per pupil spending: \$388

District student support per pupil spending: \$950
Peer average student support per pupil spending: \$672
State average student support per pupil spending: \$693
District instruction support per pupil spending: \$665
Peer average instruction support per pupil spending: \$393
State average instruction support per pupil spending: \$462
District total operational per pupil spending: \$15,033
Peer average total operational per pupil spending: \$11,209
State average total operational per pupil spending: \$8,296

Nonoperational spending

District land and buildings per pupil spending: \$121
Peer average land and buildings per pupil spending: \$1,077
State average land and buildings per pupil spending: \$827
District equipment per pupil spending: \$472
Peer average equipment per pupil spending: \$601
State average equipment per pupil spending: \$409
District interest per pupil spending: \$12
Peer average interest per pupil spending: \$162
State average interest per pupil spending: \$228
District other per pupil spending: \$36
Peer average other per pupil spending: \$60
State average other per pupil spending: \$169
District total nonoperational per pupil spending: \$641
Peer average total nonoperational per pupil spending: \$1,900
State average total nonoperational per pupil spending: \$1,633

Spending totals

District total per pupil spending: \$15,674
Peer average total per pupil spending: \$13,109
State average total per pupil spending: \$9,929

Student achievement, student and teacher measures, and revenues

Bar chart showing Arizona Department of Education-assigned school letter grades

A letter grade: 0 schools, representing 0% of all district schools that received a letter grade
B letter grade: 0 schools, representing 0% of all district schools that received a letter grade
C letter grade: 2 schools, representing 100% of all district schools that received a letter grade
D letter grade: 0 schools, representing 0% of all district schools that received a letter grade
F letter grade: 0 schools, representing 0% of all district schools that received a letter grade

Bar chart showing the percentages of students who passed State assessments

District percentage of students who passed the State Math assessment: 18%
Peer average percentage of students who passed the State Math assessment: 32%
State average percentage of students who passed the State Math assessment: 40%
District percentage of students who passed the State English Language Arts assessment: 24%
Peer average percentage of students who passed the State English Language Arts assessment: 33%

State average percentage of students who passed the State English Language Arts assessment: 39%
District percentage of students who passed the State Science assessment: 17%
Peer average percentage of students who passed the State Science assessment: 40%
State average percentage of students who passed the State Science assessment: 51%

Table showing student and teacher measures

District attendance rate: 94%
Peer average attendance rate: 93%
State average attendance rate: 94%
District graduation rate for fiscal year 2017: 94%
Peer average graduation rate for fiscal year 2017: 85%
State average graduation rate for fiscal year 2017: 78%
District poverty rate for fiscal year 2017: 32%
Peer average poverty rate for fiscal year 2017: 29%
State average poverty rate for fiscal year 2017: 19%
District special education population: 15%
Peer average special education population: 14%
State average special education population: 12%
District students per teacher: 12.3
Peer average students per teacher: 16.2
State average students per teacher: 18.4
District average teacher salary: \$46,379
Peer districts' average teacher salary: \$46,264
State average teacher salary: \$48,951
District salary amount from Prop 301: \$6,471
Peer average salary amount from Prop 301: \$6,122
State average salary amount from Prop 301: \$6,411
District average years of teacher experience: 20.0
Peer districts' average years of teacher experience: 12.2
State average years of teacher experience: 11.4
District percentage of teachers in first 3 years of teaching: 15%
Peer average percentage of teachers in first 3 years of teaching: 20%
State average percentage of teachers in first 3 years of teaching: 19%

Table showing per pupil revenues

District per pupil revenues from federal sources: \$1,571
Peer average per pupil revenues from federal sources: \$2,405
State average per pupil revenues from federal sources: \$1,317
District per pupil revenues from State sources: \$5,235
Peer average per pupil revenues from State sources: \$4,080
State average per pupil revenues from State sources: \$4,011
District per pupil revenues from local sources: \$7,672
Peer average per pupil revenues from local sources: \$8,027
State average per pupil revenues from local sources: \$4,592

District total per pupil revenues: \$14,478
Peer average total per pupil revenues: \$14,512
State average total per pupil revenues: \$9,920

Select revenues from common sources

District per pupil revenues from equalization formula funding: \$7,094
Peer average per pupil revenues from equalization formula funding: \$7,175
State average per pupil revenues from equalization formula funding: \$5,585
District per pupil revenues from grants: \$1,682
Peer average per pupil revenues from grants: \$2,252
State average per pupil revenues from grants: \$1,241
District per pupil revenues from donations and tax credits: \$90
Peer average per pupil revenues from donations and tax credits: \$337
State average per pupil revenues from donations and tax credits: \$90

Select revenues from less common sources

District per pupil desegregation revenues: \$0
Number of peers receiving desegregation revenues: 0 of 18
District per pupil small school adjustment revenues: \$3,317
Number of peers receiving small school adjustment revenues: 5 of 18
District per pupil federal impact aid revenues: \$0
Number of peers receiving federal impact aid revenues: 3 of 18
District per pupil voter-approved levy increase revenues: \$0
Number of peers receiving voter-approved levy increase revenues: 14 of 18

Table showing District's instructional spending percentage by fiscal year

2001 instructional spending percentage: 53.3%
2002 instructional spending percentage: 54.1%
2003 instructional spending percentage: 52.9%
2004 instructional spending percentage: 51.2%
2005 instructional spending percentage: 50.3%
2006 instructional spending percentage: 52.2%
2007 instructional spending percentage: 50.7%
2008 instructional spending percentage: 51.5%
2009 instructional spending percentage: 47.4%
2010 instructional spending percentage: 48.8%
2011 instructional spending percentage: 45.7%
2012 instructional spending percentage: 46.1%
2013 instructional spending percentage: 43.8%
2014 instructional spending percentage: 45.1%
2015 instructional spending percentage: 44.7%
2016 instructional spending percentage: 43.5%
2017 instructional spending percentage: 47.2%
2018 instructional spending percentage: 46.5%

Table showing District's financial stress assessment

Overall financial stress level: Low

Change in number of district students from 2016 through 2018: Small school adjustment

Financial stress assessment for change in the number of district students: Low

Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets from 2016 through 2018: No overspending

Financial stress assessment for spending exceeded operating/capital budgets: Low

Spending increase election results from 2016 through 2018: No election held

Financial stress assessment for spending increase election results: No Measure

Operating reserve percentage and trend from 2016 through 2018: 4.8%, Steady

Financial stress assessment for operating reserve percentage and trend: Low

Years of capital reserve held from 2016 through 2018: More than 3 years

Financial stress assessment for years of capital reserve held: Low

Current financial and internal control status from 2016 through 2018: Compliant

Financial stress assessment for current financial and internal control status: Low