

Meeting Information

Topic:	Long Range Facilities Planning Committee (LRFPC)
Date:	3/19/18
Time:	6:30 pm
Location:	BFA Multi-purpose room

Attendance

Name	Role
Mike Bruso	Committee Chair, School Board
Scott Mitchell	Committee Member, School Board
Karin Hernandez	Committee Member
Alice Scannell	Committee Member
Robbie Rodimer	Committee Member
Terry Briant	Committee Member
Rachelle LeVau	Committee Member
Nick Hibbard	Committee Member
Matthew King	Committee Member
Kathy Larssor	Committee Member
Stephanie Lynch	Committee Member
Joby Gallagher	Committee Member
Fred Griffin	Committee Member, School Faculty
Tom Walsh	Committee Member, School Administration
John Tague	Committee Member, School Administration
Elaine Carpenter	Public
Ned Kirsch	Superintendent

Minutes

Agenda Item	Notes
Introductions and Committee Charge	<p>Meeting was called to order by Mike Bruso at 6:45 and the committee members introduced themselves and their interest in being on this committee</p> <p>The charge from the school board was conveyed to the group which is to “Determine the facility requirements that support current and future educational and physical safety of our students, staff and community for the next 25 years, while also taking into consideration the needs of the school budget.”</p>
LRFPC History	<p>Rachelle walked the committee through past work and the original design that was brought to the public. Including, steps such as moving 50% of budget surplus’ into the Capitol improvement fund, engaging an architect for preliminary consultation and design.</p> <p>John stated that the needs of the school revolve around 5 things in the order of;</p>

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Safety 2. Accessibility 3. Opportunities 4. Efficiencies 5. Dependencies <p>Nick inquired of an engineering estimate was done. Mike said it had not as this would not happen until funding was secured.</p> <p>Joby asked if the school was ADA compliant, Tom indicated that in many areas the school is not.</p> <p>Karin asked about the sprinklers and safety. Rachelle answered that if nothing is done that is not an issue. However, once you start changing the layout and interior of the building everything needs to be brought up to current code.</p> <p>Alice had a question as to why the community voted no in such large numbers. This was answered by several members of the committee and while it was understood that the \$16M seemed excessive to the taxpayers there was also an issue of communication. While there were several attempts to engage the public, there are more ways that this committee will explore in the future to communicate the mission and plan to the taxpayers. Scott also stated he would distribute the informational video and slide show from the community information forums that were held.</p> <p>Robbie asked to see the itemized list that were part of the previous plan. John supplied the committee with copies of the estimate from the architect</p>
Timeline	<p>The question was asked what the timeline the School Board was looking at for a new plan. Mike stated that he would like to look at the building as it is now. Review the previous plan with the committee and generate a priority list of things that need to be done. To allow for different options. Scott asked how that would work if we presented the community with several different options. Mike said that as the previous ballot had the budget and a special article for the Bathrooms and Bleachers we could offer different articles with different options.</p> <p>For a timeline Mike said he would like to have meetings every other week and go over the needs of the building, beginning with a tour of the building on the next meeting.</p> <p>Scott said the goal is to have something for the community to vote on by Town Meeting day 2019.</p>
Next Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Tour Building ● Discuss observed needs of the building ● Explore vision of the school for the future