

Redistricting of Board Single Member Districts – Terrell ISD

October 12, 2021



WALSH GALLEGOS
TREVINO KYLE & ROBINSON P.C.

- ❑ Redistricting is the process by which the geographical boundaries of elective districts (e.g., single-member districts) are periodically redrawn in response to changes in population.



Why Must We Redistrict?

- ❑ Texas Education Code Section 11.052(i) requires a school board to re-divide single member districts “if the census data indicates that the population of the most populous district exceeds the population of the least populous district by more than 10 percent.”
- ❑ In Terrell ISD, while 2 trustees are elected at-large, 5 trustees are elected from single member districts (SMDs).
- ❑ Because of the state law referred to above, the Board must undergo redistricting if the 2020 census data shows that the population of the most populous SMD exceeds the population of the least populous SMD by more than 10%.

When?

- ❑ Not later than the 90th day before the date of the first regular trustee election at which trustees may officially recognize and act on the last preceding federal census, the board shall re-divide the district into the appropriate number of trustee districts if the census data indicates that the population of the most populous district exceeds the population of the least populous district by more than 10 percent. Texas Education Code § 11.052(i).
- ❑ The Board is required to hold May elections. See Board Policy BBB (LOCAL).
- ❑ Therefore, redistricting for May elections will first be implemented at the May 7, 2022 elections, and the Board must redistrict by February 6, 2022.

When Will Census Data Useful for Redistricting Be Available?


- ❑ COVID-19 caused operational delays in the release of 2020 U.S. Census data in 2021.
- ❑ The first release of census data occurred in mid-August. This data provides first look at such things as:
 - Race and ethnicity
 - Voting-age population
 - People living in nursing home, prisons, colleges, etc.

What Are the Steps in this Process?

- ☐ Understand legal requirements
- ☐ Establish redistricting criteria
- ☐ Conduct demographic analysis of the census data
- ☐ Obtain trustee and community input
- ☐ Draw and redraw alternative plans
- ☐ Obtain public input/comment on plans
- ☐ Adopt a new or revised plan

Data Needed for Redistricting is at the Block Level

- ❑ **Census block:** The smallest unit of census geography for which population data are counted and reported. Census blocks are delineated by the Census Bureau and are generally bounded by physical features such as roads, creeks, or shorelines, but also may be bounded by non-visible features such as city, county, school district, or voting precinct boundaries.
- ❑ **Census block group:** A subdivision of a census tract composed of a group of contiguous census blocks.
- ❑ **Census tract:** A unit of census geography delineated by local committees in accordance with census bureau guidelines for the purpose of collecting and presenting decennial census data. Census tracts are made up of block groups. Their boundaries generally follow visible features, though in some circumstances their boundaries may follow governmental unit boundaries or other non-visible features. In general, census tracts contain between 1,000 and 8,000 inhabitants, with an average population of 4,000.



What Questions Should Census Data Be Used to Answer?




Are SMDs well-balanced?

Any retrogression or reduction of voting strength of a racial or ethnic minority since last Census?

Do SMDs comply with redistricting criteria and principles?

The Current Law for Redistricting

Areas of Law that Impact Analyzing Demographics...



The Relevant Law

1. One Person, One Vote

- ❑ SMDs must be drawn with substantially equal population
- ❑ Exact equality of population not required (ideal district population)
- ❑ Avoid overpopulated and under populated districts

2. The Voting Rights Act (VRA)


- ❑ Section 2 of the VRA prohibits a voting qualification, prerequisite, standard, practice or procedure that results in the denial or abridgment of any U.S. citizen's right to vote on account of race, color or status as a member of a language minority group.
- ❑ **KEY QUESTION:** Does the voting qualification, prerequisite, standard, practice or procedure reduce the opportunity of members of a protected minority group to participate in the political process and to elect representatives of their choice?
- ❑ Section 2 of the VRA focuses on a redistricting plan's **effect** on protected minority groups rather than on the intent of the governing body that enacted the plan.

2. The Voting Rights Act (VRA)

- ❑ Types of VRA Section 2 Challenges:
 - **“Cracking:”** Members of a concentrated minority group that are divided between two or more districts who contend that the arrangement violates Section 2 by depriving the group of the ability to elect the candidate of their choice that they would have if left united in a single district.
 - **“Packing” or “Stacking:”** Minority group members are placed into one or more districts in concentrations clearly in excess of the amount needed to elect the candidate of their choice preventing the group from winning elections in other districts in which the excess minority voters could have been located.

3. *Shaw v. Reno*

- ❑ 1993 U.S. Supreme Court case that limits way in which race may be considered
- ❑ Under *Shaw*, generally, race may not be a predominant factor over traditional redistricting principles
- ❑ Note, statements by trustees, bizarrely shaped and gerrymandered districts can be evidence of impermissible consideration of race



3. Consideration of Race

- ❑ Race can be a predominant consideration when conditions meet a standard test for permissible consideration of race under 14th Amendment (strict scrutiny test) of the U.S. Constitution
- ❑ “Strict scrutiny” requires showing that racial factors were to:
 - Further a compelling state interest (preventing discrimination); AND
 - Use of these factors was narrowly tailored (only to the extent necessary) to accomplish the compelling interest
- ❑ Meeting the requirements of the Federal Voting Rights Act (VRA), 42 U.S.C. §1973c, can be a compelling state interest.

4. State Law

❑ SUBSTANTIVE REQUIREMENTS

- SMDs must be compact and contiguous.
- SMDs must be as “nearly as practicable of equal population.”

Texas Education Code § 11.052 (f)

State Law Procedural Requirements

- ❑ At least 70% of trustee positions must be SMDs in an SMD system; meaning, at least five (5) for a seven-member board.
- ❑ A SMD cannot cross a county precinct in Districts with an ADA of 150,000 or more students.
- ❑ Must redistrict if data shows existing districts have a population deviation of more than 10% between the most populous and least populous.

Texas Education Code § 11.052 (a), (f), (i)

Notice of Board Member Districts

❑ PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

A school district that changes its boundaries or the boundaries of the districts used to elect its members shall not later than the 30th day after the date the change is adopted:

- Notify the voter registrar of the county in which the area subject to the change is located of the adopted change; and
- Provide the voter registrar with a map of an adopted boundary change in a format that is compatible with the mapping format used by the registrar's office.

Tex. Elec. Code § 42.0615

State Law on Transition to New SMD Plan

- ❑ All positions are up for election after a redistricting
- ❑ Alternatively, incumbent trustees may serve the remainder of their terms and the new plan is phased-in in the order the Board determines.

Texas Education Code § § 11.052 (h), 11.053

Criteria for Drawing SMDs

STATUTORY

Total deviation between largest and
smallest district at or within 10%

Tex. Educ. Code § 11.052(i)

Near-equal population

Tex. Educ. Code § 11.052(f),(i)


Compact and contiguous

Tex. Educ. Code § 11.052(f)

Avoid splitting county election precincts

Tex. Educ. Code § 11.052(f) *

* Not required of Terrell ISD



More Criteria and Other Considerations

FEDERAL

Avoid retrogression of minority voting rights

Make decisions on basis other than race whenever possible to narrowly tailor racial considerations to retrogression issues

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Use easily identifiable boundaries when possible

Maintain communities of interest and keep neighborhoods together

Preserve existing SMD boundaries to a degree possible

Use/account for voting precincts and/or polling places

Preserve incumbent-constituency relations

Public Input

- ❑ Essential to the process
- ❑ Various ways to receive public input and information
- ❑ Redistricting advisory committee
 - Post meetings of RAC
 - Allow for Public Comment at RAC Meetings

2020 Census Data

Terrell Independent School District

Board of Trustee 2010 Analysis

2010 CENSUS TOTALS - CURRENT DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

Trustee District	TOTAL POPULATION						TOTAL POPULATION PERCENTAGES					
	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
1	3,988	12	140	577	131	4,848	82.3%	0.2%	2.9%	11.9%	2.7%	100.0%
2	1,680	27	1,729	1,298	91	4,825	34.8%	0.6%	35.8%	26.9%	1.9%	100.0%
3	2,109	33	1,903	873	86	5,004	42.1%	0.7%	38.0%	17.4%	1.7%	100.0%
4	2,901	32	590	1,650	68	5,241	55.4%	0.6%	11.3%	31.5%	1.3%	100.0%
5	3,242	121	416	1,094	94	4,967	65.3%	2.4%	8.4%	22.0%	1.9%	100.0%
TOTALS	13,920	225	4,778	5,492	470	24,885	55.9%	0.9%	19.2%	22.1%	1.9%	100.0%
5 DIST AVG						4,977	8.6%Percent Differential *					

Trustee District	VOTING AGE POPULATION (VAP) PERCENTAGES					
	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
1	85.5%	0.3%	2.8%	9.2%	2.3%	100.0%
2	38.5%	0.7%	37.9%	21.8%	1.1%	100.0%
3	46.5%	0.7%	37.6%	14.1%	1.2%	100.0%
4	61.6%	0.5%	11.1%	25.7%	1.1%	100.0%
5	72.2%	2.5%	7.2%	17.1%	1.0%	100.0%
TOTALS	61.2%	0.9%	19.0%	17.4%	1.3%	100.0%

VAP: Voting Age
Population

SOURCE: Total Population and Voting Age Population summarized from 2020 Census PL 94-171 Redistricting Data at the block level.

Citizen Voting Age Population data was extrapolated from 2010 Block Group Data using the 2015-2019 American Community Survey

Trustee District	2010 Housing Totals			
	Total Housing Units	Total Households	Percent Occupied	Household Size
1	1,975	1,812	91.7%	2.68
2	1,860	1,651	88.8%	2.92
3	1,993	1,756	88.1%	2.85
4	1,954	1,752	89.7%	2.99
5	1,991	1,835	92.2%	2.71
TOTALS	9,773	8,806	90.1%	2.83

VAP: Voting Age Population

SOURCE: Total Population and Voting Age Population summarized from 2010 & 2020 Census PL 94-171 Redistricting Data at the block level.

Terrell Independent School District

Board of Trustee 2020 Analysis

2020 CENSUS TOTALS - CURRENT DISTRICT BOUNDARIES												
Trustee District	TOTAL POPULATION						TOTAL POPULATION PERCENTAGES					
	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
1	3,855	20	165	1,484	246	5,770	66.8%	0.3%	2.9%	25.7%	4.3%	100.0%
2	1,631	26	1,610	1,807	196	5,270	30.9%	0.5%	30.6%	34.3%	3.7%	100.0%
3	1,992	38	2,120	1,320	180	5,650	35.3%	0.7%	37.5%	23.4%	3.2%	100.0%
4	2,808	55	675	2,267	333	6,138	45.7%	0.9%	11.0%	36.9%	5.4%	100.0%
5	3,090	106	368	1,639	229	5,432	56.9%	2.0%	6.8%	30.2%	4.2%	100.0%
TOTALS	13,376	245	4,938	8,517	1,184	28,260	47.3%	0.9%	17.5%	30.1%	4.2%	100.0%
5 DIST AVG						5,652	16.5%Percent Differential *					

Trustee District	VOTING AGE POPULATION (VAP) PERCENTAGES						CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION (CVAP) PERCENTAGES					
	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
1	72.5%	0.4%	2.9%	20.5%	3.7%	100.0%	85.6%	0.0%	4.0%	8.6%	0.0%	98.2%
2	34.6%	0.6%	32.5%	29.1%	3.2%	100.0%	47.3%	0.5%	34.3%	17.2%	0.0%	99.3%
3	39.9%	0.8%	37.6%	19.2%	2.5%	100.0%	53.6%	0.0%	36.6%	7.4%	0.0%	97.5%
4	51.6%	1.0%	10.9%	31.5%	5.1%	100.0%	62.2%	0.1%	13.9%	19.1%	0.0%	95.2%
5	61.9%	2.4%	7.1%	25.4%	3.3%	100.0%	69.9%	2.6%	5.8%	20.9%	0.0%	99.2%
TOTALS	52.7%	1.0%	17.7%	25.1%	3.6%	100.0%	64.0%	0.7%	18.4%	14.8%	0.0%	97.9%

VAP: Voting Age

Population

SOURCE: Total Population and Voting Age Population summarized from 2020 Census PL 94-171

Redistricting Data at the block level.

Citizen Voting Age Population data was extrapolated from 2010 Block Group Data using the 2015-2019 American Community Survey

Trustee District	2020 Housing Totals			
	Total Housing Units	Total Households	Percent Occupied	Household Size
1	2,270	2,139	94.2%	2.70
2	1,912	1,762	92.2%	2.99
3	2,159	2,032	94.1%	2.78
4	2,122	1,983	93.4%	3.10
5	2,104	1,999	95.0%	2.72
TOTALS	10,567	9,915	93.8%	2.85

VAP: Voting Age Population

SOURCE: Total Population and Voting Age Population summarized from 2010 & 2020 Census PL 94-171 Redistricting Data at the block level.

Terrell Independent School District

Board of Trustee Single Member District: 2010 TO 2020 Change Analysis

2010 to 2020 CHANGE IN DEMOGRAPHICS

Trustee District	TOTAL POPULATION						TOTAL POPULATION PERCENTAGES					
	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
1	-133	8	25	907	115	922	-15.4%	0.1%	0.0%	13.8%	1.6%	0.0%
2	-49	-1	-119	509	105	445	-3.9%	-0.1%	-5.3%	7.4%	1.8%	0.0%
3	-117	5	217	447	94	646	-6.9%	0.0%	-0.5%	5.9%	1.5%	0.0%
4	-93	23	85	617	265	897	-9.6%	0.3%	-0.3%	5.5%	4.1%	0.0%
5	-152	-15	-48	545	135	465	-8.4%	-0.5%	-1.6%	8.1%	2.3%	0.0%
TOTALS	-544	20	160	3,025	714	3,375	-16.1%	0.0%	-1.7%	8.1%	2.3%	-7.5%

Trustee District	2010 TO 2020 Change In VAP PERCENTAGES					
	Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
1	-13.0%	0.1%	0.1%	11.4%	1.4%	n/a
2	-3.9%	-0.1%	-5.4%	7.3%	2.1%	n/a
3	-6.5%	0.1%	0.1%	5.1%	1.3%	n/a
4	-10.1%	0.5%	-0.2%	5.8%	3.9%	n/a
5	-10.3%	-0.1%	-0.1%	8.3%	2.2%	n/a
TOTALS	-8.6%	0.1%	-1.4%	7.6%	2.2%	N/A

VAP: Voting Age

Population

SOURCE: Total Population and Voting Age Population summarized from 2020 Census PL 94-171 Redistricting Data at the block level.


Citizen Voting Age Population data was extrapolated from 2010 Block Group Data using the 2015-2019 American Community Survey

Trustee District	2010 to 2020 Housing Changes			
	Total Housing Units	Total Households	Percent Occupied	Household Size
1	295	327	2.5%	0.02
2	52	111	3.4%	0.07
3	166	276	6.0%	-0.07
4	168	231	3.8%	0.10
5	113	164	2.8%	0.01
TOTALS	794	1,109	3.7%	0.02

VAP: Voting Age Population

SOURCE: Total Population and Voting Age Population summarized from 2010 & 2020 Census PL 94-171 Redistricting Data at the block level.

District 1 Analysis




DISTRICT 1			
Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Population Totals			
Total Anglo Population	3,988	3,855	-133
Total Asian Population	12	20	8
Total Black Population	140	165	25
Total Hispanic Population	577	1,484	907
Total Other Population	131	246	115
Total Population	4,848	5,770	922
Total Population Percentages			
Total Anglo Population	82.3%	66.8%	-15.4%
Total Asian Population	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Total Black Population	2.9%	2.9%	0.0%
Total Hispanic Population	11.9%	25.7%	13.8%
Total Other Population	2.7%	4.3%	1.6%
Total Population	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
Voting Age Population Percentages			
Total Anglo VAP	85.5%	72.5%	-13.0%
Total Asian VAP	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Total Black VAP	2.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Total Hispanic VAP	9.2%	20.5%	11.4%
Total Other VAP	2.3%	3.7%	1.4%
Total VAP	100.0%	100.0%	N/A

Citizen Voting Age Population Percentages

Total Anglo CVAP	85.6%
Total Asian CVAP	0.0%
Total Black CVAP	4.0%
Total Hispanic CVAP	8.6%
Total Other CVAP	0.0%
Total CVAP	98.2%

Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Total Housing Units	1,975	2,270	295
Total Households	1,812	2,139	327
% Occupied	91.7%	90.3%	-1.4%
Household Size	2.68	2.70	0.02

District 2 Analysis




DISTRICT 2			
Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Population Totals			
Total Anglo Population	1,680	1,631	-49
Total Asian Population	27	26	-1
Total Black Population	1,729	1,610	-119
Total Hispanic Population	1,298	1,807	509
Total Other Population	91	196	105
Total Population	4,825	5,270	445
Total Population Percentages			
Total Anglo Population	34.8%	30.9%	-3.9%
Total Asian Population	0.6%	0.5%	-0.1%
Total Black Population	35.8%	30.6%	-5.3%
Total Hispanic Population	26.9%	34.3%	7.4%
Total Other Population	1.9%	3.7%	1.8%
Total Population	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
Voting Age Population Percentages			
Total Anglo VAP	38.5%	34.6%	-3.9%
Total Asian VAP	0.7%	0.6%	-0.1%
Total Black VAP	37.9%	32.5%	-5.4%
Total Hispanic VAP	21.8%	29.1%	7.3%
Total Other VAP	1.1%	3.2%	2.1%
Total VAP	100.0%	100.0%	N/A

Citizen Voting Age Population Percentages

Total Anglo CVAP	47.3%
Total Asian CVAP	0.5%
Total Black CVAP	34.3%
Total Hispanic CVAP	17.2%
Total Other CVAP	0.0%
Total CVAP	99.3%


Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Total Housing Units	1,860	1,912	52
Total Households	1,651	1,762	111
% Occupied	88.8%	90.3%	1.6%
Household Size	2.92	2.99	0.07

District 3 Analysis




DISTRICT 3			
Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Population Totals			
Total Anglo Population	2,109	1,992	-117
Total Asian Population	33	38	5
Total Black Population	1,903	2,120	217
Total Hispanic Population	873	1,320	447
Total Other Population	86	180	94
Total Population	5,004	5,650	646
Total Population Percentages			
Total Anglo Population	42.1%	35.3%	-6.9%
Total Asian Population	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%
Total Black Population	38.0%	37.5%	-0.5%
Total Hispanic Population	17.4%	23.4%	5.9%
Total Other Population	1.7%	3.2%	1.5%
Total Population	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
Voting Age Population Percentages			
Total Anglo VAP	46.5%	39.9%	-6.5%
Total Asian VAP	0.7%	0.8%	0.1%
Total Black VAP	37.6%	37.6%	0.1%
Total Hispanic VAP	14.1%	19.2%	5.1%
Total Other VAP	1.2%	2.5%	1.3%
Total VAP	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
Citizen Voting Age Population Percentages			
Total Anglo CVAP	53.6%		
Total Asian CVAP	0.0%		
Total Black CVAP	36.6%		
Total Hispanic CVAP	7.4%		
Total Other CVAP	0.0%		
Total CVAP	97.5%		
Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Total Housing Units	1,993	2,159	166
Total Households	1,756	2,032	276
% Occupied	88.1%	90.3%	2.2%
Household Size	2.85	2.78	-0.07

District 4 Analysis



DISTRICT 4			
Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Population Totals			
Total Anglo Population	2,901	2,808	-93
Total Asian Population	32	55	23
Total Black Population	590	675	85
Total Hispanic Population	1,650	2,267	617
Total Other Population	68	333	265
Total Population	5,241	6,138	897
Total Population Percentages			
Total Anglo Population	55.4%	45.7%	-9.6%
Total Asian Population	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Total Black Population	11.3%	11.0%	-0.3%
Total Hispanic Population	31.5%	36.9%	5.5%
Total Other Population	1.3%	5.4%	4.1%
Total Population	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
Voting Age Population Percentages			
Total Anglo VAP	61.6%	51.6%	-10.1%
Total Asian VAP	0.5%	1.0%	0.5%
Total Black VAP	11.1%	10.9%	-0.2%
Total Hispanic VAP	25.7%	31.5%	5.8%
Total Other VAP	1.1%	5.1%	3.9%
Total VAP	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
	Citizen Voting Age Population Percentages		
	Total Anglo CVAP	62.2%	
	Total Asian CVAP	0.1%	
	Total Black CVAP	13.9%	
	Total Hispanic CVAP	19.1%	
	Total Other CVAP	0.0%	
	Total CVAP	95.2%	
Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Total Housing Units	1,954	2,122	168
Total Households	1,752	1,983	231
% Occupied	89.7%	90.3%	0.7%
Household Size	2.99	3.10	0.10

District 5 Analysis



DISTRICT 5			
Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Population Totals			
Total Anglo Population	3,242	3,090	-152
Total Asian Population	121	106	-15
Total Black Population	416	368	-48
Total Hispanic Population	1,094	1,639	545
Total Other Population	94	229	135
Total Population	4,967	5,432	465
Total Population Percentages			
Total Anglo Population	65.3%	56.9%	-8.4%
Total Asian Population	2.4%	2.0%	-0.5%
Total Black Population	8.4%	6.8%	-1.6%
Total Hispanic Population	22.0%	30.2%	8.1%
Total Other Population	1.9%	4.2%	2.3%
Total Population	100.0%	100.0%	N/A
Voting Age Population Percentages			
Total Anglo VAP	72.2%	61.9%	-10.3%
Total Asian VAP	2.5%	2.4%	-0.1%
Total Black VAP	7.2%	7.1%	-0.1%
Total Hispanic VAP	17.1%	25.4%	8.3%
Total Other VAP	1.0%	3.3%	2.2%
Total VAP	100.0%	100.0%	N/A

Citizen Voting Age Population Percentages

Total Anglo CVAP	69.9%
Total Asian CVAP	2.6%
Total Black CVAP	5.8%
Total Hispanic CVAP	20.9%
Total Other CVAP	0.0%
Total CVAP	99.2%

Category	2010 Census Totals	2020 Census Totals	2010 to 2020 Change
Total Housing Units	1,991	2,104	113
Total Households	1,835	1,999	164
% Occupied	92.2%	90.3%	-1.8%
Household Size	2.71	2.72	0.01

Take Aways

- ❑ District total population increased by 3,375
- ❑ There is more than a 10% deviation between the smallest SMD by population (SMD 2) and the largest by population (SMD 4) of 16.5%
- ❑ District will need to redistrict per state law
- ❑ Voting age population for majority minority population in SMD 2 dropped slightly, and in SMD 3 stayed the same. Voting age population for majority minority population increased in SMD 4.

Critical Dates and Next Steps

Critical Dates

- ❑ December 13, 2021 Board considers and takes action to adopt new single member district map
- ❑ January 19, 2022 First date to file for place on ballot
- ❑ February 6, 2022 State deadline for New Map in Place
- ❑ February 18, 2022 Last date to file for place on ballot
- ❑ May 7, 2022 District May Election Date

RAC Meetings

- ❑ October 12, 2021
 - Address redistricting process
 - Take questions regarding process
 - Address census data and change analysis
 - Discuss current boundaries and possible changes
 - Elect chairperson and secretary
- ❑ October 26, 2021
 - Present and discuss baseline map options
 - Obtain feedback for further revisions
- ❑ November 9, 2021 and December 7, 2021
 - Present and discuss updated map

Redistricting Team

- ❑ Terrell ISD Board of Trustees
- ❑ Redistricting Advisory Committee
- ❑ Terrell ISD Administrative Staff
- ❑ Attorney:
 - Laura Rodriguez McLean, Irving, Texas
- ❑ Demographer:
 - Rocky Gardiner, Templeton Demographics and Zonda Education, Southlake, Texas

Any
1.1
Questions

**Laura Rodriguez
McLean**
lmclean@wabsa.com



WALSH GALLEGOS
TREVIÑO KYLE & ROBINSON P.C.

Crestview Towers
105 Decker Court
Suite 700
Irving, TX 75062
(214) 574-8800

© 2021

The information in this presentation was prepared by Walsh Gallegos Treviño Kyle & Robinson P.C. It is intended to be used as general information only and is not to be considered specific legal advice. If specific legal advice is sought, consult an attorney.