

**Board of Education** 

Paul Madrid Cordy Chavez Mike Archibeque Christine Suina John Gurule

### SLP EVALUATION CHECKLIST

### Speech (Articulation, Fluency, Voice, CAS) □ Screening data (child-find, SAT file) ☐ Student Case History ☐ Direct Observations x 3 ☐ Functional Communication Assessment (hearing & vision) statement about type of communicator (verbal, nonverbal, speaks in 2-word phrases, bilingual, etc) Assessment of Intelligibility of speech through speech sample (percentage) ☐ Spontaneous Speech Sample with a focus on areas of concern. ☐ *Oral Mechanism/Oral Motor* exam ☐ Stimulability assessment ☐ Standardized and/or non-standardized inventory(ies) of speech sounds/phonological processes. A pattern of assessment data, including both formal and informal measures, support the eligibility under the category of Speech and Language Impaired (SLI). Standard scores yielded by formal assessments must be statistically significant (i.e., two or more standard deviations (SD) below the mean with Standard Error of Measurement (SEM). English Language Learner (ELL) status (WIDA/ACCESS scores, statement on level) Evaluation Data analysis Significant limitations in speech abilities (articulation, fluency, voice) consistent with information proved by the test authors and other sources that support the existence of a speech impairment. Defining "significant limitations" by team: Mild, Moderate, Severe, Profound. Statement about participating in class discussion and oral presentations and/or participate in social activities. How do these characteristics of the disability manifest in the child's natural environment (e.g., home, classroom, recess, etc.)?" Identify strengths & weaknesses (subtests) □ Recommendations Write goals for IEP Re-refer back to SAT (document on Prior Written Notice)

Recommendations of activities teachers and parents can use



#### **Board of Education**

Paul Madrid Cordy Chavez Mike Archibeque Christine Suina John Gurule

## **SLP EVALUATION CHECKLIST**

# Language (expressive, receptive, pragmatic)

(e	xpressive, receptive, pragmatic)
	Screening data/previously conducted evaluation data (preschool aged children); SAT file documentation (school aged children).
	child's history, including an interview with the parent(s)/guardian(s)
	Multiple direct observations across both structured/unstructured settings and various
	times.
	Functional Communication Assessment
	Standardized and non-standardized assessments of receptive and expressive language in
	the areas of content (semantics), form (morphology and syntax), and/or use (pragmatics).
	Systematic review of individual academic achievement performance
	Analysis of spontaneous language sample
	Standardized and/or non-standardized inventory(ies) of speech sounds/phonological
	processes. A pattern of assessment data, including both formal and informal measures,
	support the eligibility under the category of SLI.
	<ul> <li>Standard scores yielded by formal assessments must be statistically significant (i.e.,</li> </ul>
	two or more standard deviations (SD) below the mean with Standard Error of
	Measurement (SEM).
	English Language Learner (ELL) status (WIDA/ACCESS scores, statement on level)
	Significant limitations in language abilities demonstrated by valid language assessment
	scores that are 2 or more standard deviations below the mean considering SEM.
	<ul> <li>Defining "significant limitations" by team: Mild, Moderate, Severe, Profound.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Statement about participating in class discussion and oral presentations</li> </ul>
	and/or participate in social activities.
	<ul> <li>How do these characteristics of the disability manifest in the child's natural</li> </ul>
	environment (e.g., home, classroom, recess, etc.)?"
	<ul><li>Identify strengths &amp; weaknesses (subtests)</li></ul>
	Recommendations

- o Write goals for IEP
- o Re-refer back to SAT (document on Prior Written Notice)
- o Recommendations of activities teachers and parents can use