Arkansas ROOT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Filter(s) Choose a filter to narrow your search.

Show only the indicators included in the plan.	
Show Spotlight Indicators Only	
apply Crosswalk Groups ▼ apply Crosswalk Filter	*
apply Activity Filter ▼	
Apply Filter Remove Filter	
0.0 A 4 1_F + 0 0.0 SB _	

Close

Comprehensive Plan Report

A detailed report showing activity of the school team's work on the improvement plan including assessments, plans, tasks, monitoring, and implementation for selected time periods.

10/25/2016

ROOT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL NCES - 50612000325

FAYETTEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

School Success Indicators

Key Indicators are shown in RED.

School Leadership and Decision Making

Establishing a team structure with specific duties and time for instructional planning

THUICATOR	1DOI - A team structure is	officially incorporated into the school governance policy.(56)
Status	Full Implementation	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

e structure is officially incorporated into the school gov

Evidence: Root Elementary School has designated weekly meetings that include all principals, teachers, and key professional staff.

Indicator ID04 - All teams prepare agendas for their meetings.(39)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: The leader of the grade level meeting is the one that is in charge with

providing the agenda.

Indicator ID07 - A Leadership Team consisting of the principal, teachers who lead the Instructional Teams, and other key professional staff meets regularly (twice a month or more for an hour

each meeting) (42)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: Root Elementary School has designated weekly meetings that include all

principals, teachers, and key professional staff.

Indicator ID08 - The Leadership Team serves as a conduit of communication to the faculty and staff.(43)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: During grade level meetings the leadership team addresses district and

school level issues, policies, procedures and the instructional needs of all

students.

Indicator ID10 - The Leadership Team regularly looks at school performance data and aggregated

classroom observation data and uses that data to make decisions about school improvement

and professional development needs.(45)

Status Tasks completed: 0 of 4 (0%)

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Limited Development 10/29/2015

Index: 9 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)

Priority Score: 3 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)

Opportunity Score: 3 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) Describe current level of development: The Leadership Team will meet each Monday to discuss data in to discuss improvements and professional development needs. Plan Assigned to: Jennifer Lavender How it will look when fully met: The students are primarily engaged in tier 1 behaviors. Teachers are fully empowered and students are receiving instruction with fidelity. Target Date: 05/31/2017 Tasks: To establish essential skills writing in PLC process. Assigned to: Synetra Morris Added date: 09/21/2016 Target Completion Date: 05/31/2017 Comments: 2. Teachers will use Google Docs to keep data updated throughout the school year. Assigned to: Synetra Morris Added date: 09/14/2016 Target Completion Date: 05/31/2017 Comments: What other data do we need to add to the google docs data page? What data is successful in Google Docs, what data is not successful in Google Docs? 3. To establish essential skills in math through PLC process. Assigned to: Synetra Morris Added date: 09/21/2016 Target Completion Date: 05/31/2017 Comments: 4. To establish essential skills in behavior through PLC process. Assigned to: Jennifer Lavender Added date: 09/21/2016 Target Completion Date: 05/31/2017 Comments: **Implement** Percent Task Complete: Tasks completed: 0 of 4 (0%) Indicator ID11 - Teachers are organized into grade-level, grade-level cluster, or subject-area Instructional Teams.(46) Status Full Implementation Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Assessment Evidence: Our professional learning teams are organized by grade level and support staff. Indicator ID13 - Instructional Teams meet for blocks of time (4 to 6 hour blocks, once a month; whole days before and after the school year) sufficient to develop and refine units of instruction and review student learning data.(48) Status Full Implementation **Assessment** Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Evidence: Teachers meet twice a week to develop and refine instruction as well as review student learning. School Leadership and Decision Making Focusing the principal's role on building leadership capacity, achieving learning goals, and improving

rocusing the principal's role on building leadership capacity, achieving learning goals, and improving instruction

Indicator IE05 - The principal participates actively with the school's teams. (56)

Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015	
	Evidence:	The principals are at each grade level meeting to discuss student data, and current issues and concerns.	
Indicator	IE06 - The principal keeps a focus on instructional improvement and student learning outcon (57)		
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015	
	Evidence:	The principal conducts grade level meetings twice a month to focus on instructional improvement and student learning outcomes.	
Indicator	IE07 - The principal monitors cur	riculum and classroom instruction regularly.(58)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015	
	Evidence:	Both principals do periodic walk-throughs through implementation of TESS with formal and informal observations in every classroom.	
Indicator	IE08 - The principal spends at lea improve instruction, including cla	st 50% of his/her time working directly with teachers to assroom observations.(59)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015	
	Evidence:	Through periodic walk-throughs, observations, and conferencing with teachers, the principal gives suggestions for improving instruction and classroom management.	
Indicator	IE09 - The principal challenges at correction of them.(60)	nd monitors unsound teaching practices and supports the	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015	
	Evidence:	The principal works with individual teachers and teams to help improve instruction and build balanced instruction to utilize instructional time to the fullest.	
Indicator	IE10 - The principal celebrates in student learning outcomes.(61)	dividual, team, and school successes, especially related to	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015	
	Evidence:	During grade level meetings the principals celebrate individual, team and school successes. As a school we have assemblies to recognize student achievement, we also celebrate student behavior.	
Indicator		nt opportunities for staff and parents to voice constructive and suggestions for improvement.(64)	
Status	Full Implementation		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015	
	Evidence:	At grade level meetings and monthly PTO executive board meetings the principal offers opportunities for staff and parents to voice their concerns as well as discuss ideas for improving the school.	
School Leade	ership and Decision Making		
Aligning clas	sroom observations with evaluatio	n criteria and professional development	
Indicator		orts from classroom observations, showing aggregate areas of provement without revealing the identity of individual	

Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Through constant observation the principal observes and monitors teachers strengths and weaknesses and shares the results by giving

Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development:

Evidence:

Status

		feedback on how to improve and achieve higher results			
Indicator		ews the principal's summary reports of classroom observations lanning professional development.(66)			
Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015			
	Evidence:	Based on the principals observations, the leadership plans work th weekly grade level meetings and after school staff meetings how t teachers improve on instruction and classroom management.			
Indicator	IF03 - Professional development f indicators of effective teaching a	or teachers includes observations by the principal related to d classroom management.(67)			
Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015			
	Evidence:	Through TESS and periodic walk-throughs the principal develops professional development based on trends that are observed.			
Indicator	IF04 - Professional development for teachers includes observations by peers related to indicators of effective teaching and classroom management.(68)				
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 3 (0%)				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited Development 10/29/2015			
	Index:	9 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)			
	Priority Score:	3 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)			
	Opportunity Score:	3 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished w current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires c in current policy and budget conditions)			
	Describe current level of development:	Teachers will have district and school based opportunities to observe other teachers to improve on effective teaching and classroom management.			
Plan	Assigned to:	Kristen Scott			
	How it will look when fully met:	Teachers received a new resource in the area of writing for this school year. The resource bought is the Units of Study by Lucy Calkins. Along with receiving this resource, our school has set goals in writing. Teacher will have the opportunity to visit these classrooms to see the writing workshop model in action. The teachers will debrief during grade level meetings. We hope to implement the observation at least 1 time this school year with the intention of doing the observations in more areas in the upcoming years.			
	Target Date:	Another area that we have set goals in is Math. Teachers are contingetting trained the CGI and ECM strategies. The quarter time math coach, along with district personnel, are planning for teachers to vimodel classroom for math instruction. This will allow teachers to such what they have learned in their professional development put into practice in the classroom setting.	n isit		
	Tasks:				
	1. 1. Training and conversation in	writing.			
	Assigned to:	Synetra Morris			
	Added date:	09/16/2016			
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017			
	Comments:	 How do we cover classrooms so that teachers can go and obser another? What do teachers want to see from one another? 	rve one		
	2. 2. Training and conversation in math.				
	Assigned to:	Synetra Morris			
	Added date:	09/21/2016			

Target Completion Date: 05/31/2017 Comments: 3. 3. Training and conversation in behavior. Assigned to: Synetra Morris Added date: 09/21/2016 Target Completion Date: 05/31/2017 Comments: **Implement** Percent Task Complete: Tasks completed: 0 of 3 (0%) Indicator IF05 - Professional development for teachers includes self-assessment related to indicators of effective teaching and classroom management.(69) Status Full Implementation Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Assessment Evidence: Teachers have the opportunity to self-assess and reflect on teaching during grade level meetings and team meetings. Teachers also selfassess and reflect for TESS. Indicator IF06 - Teachers are required to make individual professional development plans based on classroom observations.(70) Status Full Implementation Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Assessment Level of Development: Evidence: Teachers have the opportunity to self reflect and develop a plan to strengthen instructional and classroom management through TESS. IFO7 - Professional development of individual teachers includes an emphasis on indicators of Indicator effective teaching.(71) Status **Full Implementation** Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Assessment Evidence: At the beginning of the school year teachers have the opportunity to participate in professional development based on teacher needs. Indicator IF08 - Professional development for the whole faculty includes assessment of strengths and areas in need of improvement from classroom observations of indicators of effective teaching. (72) Status Full Implementation Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Evidence: The faculty and staff work together week to observe and discuss observations and assessments of strengths and areas of improvement for effective teaching. Indicator IF10 - The principal plans opportunities for teachers to share their strengths with other teachers.(74) Status **Full Implementation** Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Evidence: At grade level meetings teachers are given the opportunity to share strengths with other teachers. Teachers also have the opportunity to lead various professional development meetings. Indicator IF11 - The school provides all staff high quality, ongoing, job-embedded, and differentiated professional development.(3984) Status Full Implementation Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 Evidence: All staff are provided with high quality, differentiated professional development based on observations, walk-throughs, and staff discussion. School Leadership and Decision Making Expanded time for student learning and teacher collaboration Indicator IH01 - The school monitors progress of the extended learning time programs and other

strategies related to school improvement.(3981)

	Full Implementation	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015
	Evidence:	The school monitors extended learning time programs through progress monitoring students twice a month as well as discussing student growth during team meetings that may include the interventionist.
School Leade	rship and Decision Making	
Ensuring Hig	h Quality Staff - Recruitment	, Evaluation, and Retention
Indicator	IIO1 - The school works coll teachers to support school	aboratively with the district to recruit and retain highly-qualified improvement.(3982)
Status	Full Implementation	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015
	Evidence:	Through the new district wide initiative our school with work to actively recruit and retain high-qualified teachers to support school improvement
Curriculum, A	Assessment, and Instruction	al Planning
Engaging tea	chers in aligning instruction	with standards and benchmarks
Indicator	IIA01 - Instructional Teams grade level.(88)	develop standards-aligned units of instruction for each subject and
Status	Full Implementation	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015
	Evidence:	Teachers work together weekly at team meetings to develop and implement standard based instruction in all subject areas.
Indicator	IIA02 - Units of instruction	include standards-based objectives and criteria for mastery.(89)
Status	Full Implementation	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015
	Evidence:	Teachers work together to develop and implement objectives and create formative assessment and rubrics to determine student achievement of the standards.
Curriculum, /	Assessment, and Instruction	al Planning
Engaging tea	chers in assessing and monit	toring student mastery
Indicator	IIB01 - Units of instruction based objectives.(91)	include pre-/post-tests to assess student mastery of standards-
Status	Full Implementation	
	Level of Development:	
Assessment	Level of Development.	Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015
Assessment	Evidence:	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to
	Evidence:	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teache instruction. post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and
Indicator	Evidence: IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and p	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teache instruction. Post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and
Indicator Status	Evidence: IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and psubject covered by the unit	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teache instruction. post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and
Indicator Status	Evidence: IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and psubject covered by the unit Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence:	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teache instruction. Post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and of instruction.(92) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 All formative and summative assessments are based on the standards of each unit.
Indicator Status Assessment	Evidence: IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and presubject covered by the unit Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIB03 - Unit pre-test and positions are presented in the content of the content	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teache instruction. Post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and of instruction.(92) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 All formative and summative assessments are based on the standards of
Indicator Status Assessment Indicator	Evidence: IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and psubject covered by the unit Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIB03 - Unit pre-test and pofull Implementation	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teache instruction. Post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and of instruction.(92) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 All formative and summative assessments are based on the standards of each unit. Post-test results are reviewed by the Instructional Team.(93)
Indicator Status Assessment Indicator Status	Evidence: IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and presubject covered by the unit Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIB03 - Unit pre-test and positions are presented in the content of the content	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teache instruction. Post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and of instruction.(92) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 All formative and summative assessments are based on the standards of each unit.
Status Assessment Indicator	Evidence: IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and psubject covered by the unit Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIB03 - Unit pre-test and pofull Implementation	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teached instruction. Post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and of instruction.(92) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 All formative and summative assessments are based on the standards or each unit. Post-test results are reviewed by the Instructional Team.(93) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 At grade levels teachers discuss pre and post test results with the
Indicator Status Assessment Indicator Status	IIB02 - Unit pre-tests and possible covered by the unit Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIB03 - Unit pre-test and possible full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: Evidence: IIB04 - Teachers individuality	Teachers use frequent formative and summative assessments to determine areas of student growth and achievement and to guide teached instruction. Post-tests are administered to all students in the grade level and of instruction. (92) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 All formative and summative assessments are based on the standards of each unit. Post-test results are reviewed by the Instructional Team. (93) Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015 At grade levels teachers discuss pre and post test results with the instructional team to determine further instructional needs and growth of

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: Based on the pre and post tests teachers individualize instructional for

students needs and improvement.

Indicator IIB05 - All teachers re-teach based on post-test results.(95)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: All teachers use post-test results to review the standards that have not

been mastered to guide their reteach instruction.

Curriculum, Assessment, and Instructional Planning

Engaging teachers in differentiating and aligning learning activities

Indicator IIC01 - Units of instruction include specific learning activities aligned to objectives. (96)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: Teachers plan and develop units of instruction that include specific

learning activities aligned to objectives.

Indicator IIC03 - Materials for standards-aligned learning activities are well-organized, labeled, and stored

for convenient use by teachers.(98)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: Teachers create a safe and organized physical environment for all

students to utilize the transition and handling of supplies. Teachers also organize, label, and store technology in the most convenient way.

Curriculum, Assessment, and Instructional Planning

Assessing student learning frequently with standards-based assessments

Indicator IID02 - The school tests each student at least 3 times each year to determine progress toward

standards-based objectives.(100)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: Students are assessed in both literacy and math to determine individual

growth toward standards-based objectives at multiple times of the year.

Indicator IID03 - Teachers receive timely reports of results from standardized and objectives-based tests.

(101)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: Teachers receive reports, scores, and results from standardized and

objectives-based tests in a timely manner.

Indicator IID06 - Yearly learning goals are set for the school by the Leadership Team, utilizing student

learning data.(104)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: During preschool inservice, teachers collaborate to determine the

learning goals for the current school year by looking at student data. Then throughout the school year, teachers constantly evaluate the

effectiveness of the goals and adjust according to need.

Indicator IID07 - The Leadership Team monitors school-level student learning data.(105)

Status Full Implementation

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Full Implementation 10/29/2015

Evidence: During weekly grade level meetings, teachers collaborate with the

leadership team to review student learning data and the implications on

their teaching.

Indicator IID08 - Instructional Teams use student learning data to assess strengths and weaknesses of

the curriculum and instructional strategies.(106)

Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 3 (0%)					
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited	Development 10/29/2015			
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)			
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)			
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)			
	Describe current level of development:		g with administration, encore teachers, counselor and other nthly to discuss student data in order to align instruction n.			
Plan	Assigned to:	Mallory Alders	son			
	How it will look when fully met:	development t	and staff will represent Root in all professional rainings in the content area of placement or choice. This responsiblie for bringing back information to their teams intent area.			
	Target Date:	05/31/2016				
	Tasks:					
	1. 1. Teachers will discuss what is content, literacy, and math.	working and w	hat isn't working in curriculum. This includes: writing,			
	Assigned to:	Mallory Alders	son			
	Added date:	09/16/2016				
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017				
	Comments:	 Which content did each grade level sign up for? What is status of social studies curriculum? 				
			representative for district trainings and meetings. This nation to their team about that specific content area.			
	Assigned to:	Mallory Alders	on			
	Added date:	09/21/2016				
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017				
	Comments:					
	3. Teachers will become the train-the-trainer for the information accessed.					
	Assigned to:	Mallory Alders	son			
	Added date:	09/21/2016				
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017				
	Comments:					
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks comple	eted: 0 of 3 (0%)			
Indicator	IID10 - Instructional Teams use s instructional support or enhancer		ing data to identify students in need of			
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 3 (0%)					
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited	l Development 09/14/2016			
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)			
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)			
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)			
	Describe current level of development:	will meet regu	eams along with teachers, encore, and other support staff llarly to identify needs of students, as well as monitor.			
Plan	Assigned to:	Emilie Jacobus	5			
	How it will look when fully met:					

		Instructional Teams are using data from RTI meetings monthly to drive support and enhance instruction across grade levels. Google document are shared and consistently updated to show data.
	Target Date:	05/31/2017
	Tasks:	
	1. 1. All teachers will use their g	oogle document to keep data up to date throughout the school year.
	Assigned to:	Emilie Jacobus
	Added date:	09/16/2016
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2016
	Comments:	1. Do you want me to send out the google doc data spreadsheet that I created?
		ng with teachers and support staff will work together to discuss student students in need of instructional support and enhancement.
	Assigned to:	Emilie Jacobus
	Added date:	09/21/2016
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017
	Comments:	
		ng with teachers and support staff will work together on Data Days to level. The school will hold data days at the beginning, middle, and end of
	Assigned to:	Emilie Jacobus
	Added date:	09/21/2016
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017
	Comments:	
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks completed: 0 of 3 (0%)
Classroom In	struction	
Expecting an	d monitoring sound instruction in	n a variety of modes
		by a document that aligns standards, curriculum, instruction,
Indicator	and assessment.(110)	
	Full Implementation	
Status	• •	Initial: Full Implementation 09/29/2015
Status	Full Implementation	Teachers use the common core standards, district scope and sequence
Status Assessment	Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIIA07 - All teachers differentiate	
Status Assessment Indicator	Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIIA07 - All teachers differentiate	Teachers use the common core standards, district scope and sequence and district created units to create weekly lesson plans. te assignments (individualize instruction) in response to
Indicator Status Assessment Indicator Status Assessment	Full Implementation Level of Development: Evidence: IIIA07 - All teachers differentiatindividual student performance	Teachers use the common core standards, district scope and sequence and district created units to create weekly lesson plans. te assignments (individualize instruction) in response to

Indicator IIIA32 - All teachers interact managerially with students (reinforcing rules, procedures).(141)

Status Tasks completed: 0 of 2 (0%)

Assessment Level of Development: Initial: Limited Development 09/16/2016

Index: 9 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)

Priority Score: 3 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)

Opportunity Score: 3 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes

in current policy and budget conditions)

Describe current level of development: Teachers, Leadership and support staff work together to fully implement

Positive Behavior Support System.

Plan Assigned to: Jennifer Lavender

	How it will look when fully met:		ol wide will use the school wide positive behavior support times. All staff will also maintain consistency of school wide		
	Target Date:	05/31/2017			
	Tasks:				
	1. 1. All staff are consistently using	g behavior too	ls		
	Assigned to:	Jennifer Lave	ender		
	Added date:	09/16/2016			
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2016			
	Comments:				
	2. 2. All staff maintains necessary	documentation	1.		
	Assigned to:	Jennifer Lave	ender		
	Added date:	09/21/2016			
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017			
	Comments:				
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks comp	leted: 0 of 2 (0%)		
Indicator	IIIA35 - Students are engaged an	d on task.(1	44)		
Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Ir	mplementation 09/16/2016		
	Evidence:	Teachers are	using behavior tools and PBS to engage all students.		
Classroom In	struction				
Expecting an	d monitoring sound classroom mar	nagement			
Indicator	based, individual, homework, for		ional modes (whole-class, small group, computer- 60)		
Status ^	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)	T:1: -1. 1 ::1.			
Assessment	Level of Development:		ed Development 09/14/2016		
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:		ong with instructional teams, encore, and support staff will er to implement a variety of instructional modes.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Jennifer Sand	din		
	How it will look when fully met:	1. Teachers , along with instructional teams, encore and support will a variety of instructional modes to best benefit students based on assessment.			
	Target Date:	05/31/2017			
	Tasks:				
	1. 1. Teachers will use district derived math blocks in order to cover a variety of instructional modes.				
	Assigned to:	Jennifer Sand	dlin		
	Added date:	09/16/2016			
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017			
	Comments:				
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks comp	leted: 0 of 1 (0%)		

Provide a tiered system of instructional and behavioral supports and interventions

Indicator

Chahara	evidence-based instruction aligne		ructional system that allows teachers to deliver individual needs of students across all tiers.(5194)			
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 2 (0%) Level of Development:	Initial: Limit	ed Development 09/16/2016			
Assessment	•					
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)			
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)			
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)			
	Describe current level of development:		collect data to measure individual student needs but will ngthening instruction that is delivered in a tiered instructional			
Plan	Assigned to:	Synetra Morris				
	How it will look when fully met:	Teachers are using data to implement a tiered instructional system in their classroom to meet the needs of all students. Instructional Teams are using data from RTI meetings monthly to drive, support and enhance instruction across grade levels. Google documents are shared and consistently updated to show data.				
	Target Date:	05/31/2017				
	Tasks:					
	 Teachers will discuss how to us students in content areas. 	e data to impl	ement a tiered instructional system to meet the needs of all			
	Assigned to:	Synetra Morris				
	Added date:	09/16/2016				
	Target Completion Date: 05/31/2017					
	Comments:					
	2. Teachers will implement a tiered instructional system to meet the needs of all students in content areas.					
	Assigned to:	Synetra Mor	ris			
	Added date:	09/21/2016				
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2017				
	Comments:					
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks comp	oleted: 0 of 2 (0%)			
Family Engag	gement in a School Community					
Explain and	communicate the purpose and prac	tices of the	school community			
Indicator	students, and teachers) includes (families) can do to support their	responsibili students' k	Fitle I schools roles and expectations for parents, ties (expectations) that communicate what parents earning at home (curriculum of the home, with o their curriculum of the home). (3983)			
Status	Objective Met 9/16/2016					
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limit	ed Development 10/29/2015			
		Objective I	Met - 09/16/2016			
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)			
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)			
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)			
	Describe current level of development:	communicati	nip team will work together to improve parent/school ion to support student learning at home through resources, ings, and conferences.			
Plan	Assigned to:	Synetra Mor	ris			

	How it will look when fully met:	variety of reso their child be n behavioral stra are available, a with focusing o curriculum nigh semester. This	focus on the parent resource center by adding more of a surces for parents to keep and/or borrow in order to help more successful. Some of these resources might focus on ategies, financial resources available, health resources that and various instructional resources and strategies. Along on the resource center, we may look at providing another not to communicate with parents expectations of the spring is would include testing information, and instructional are being taught in the classroom.	
	Target Date:			
	Tasks:			
	 1. The school will work to add r 2. The school will work to change 3. The school will discuss impleme 	the information	on the TV monitor.	
	Assigned to:	Jennifer Laven	der	
	Added date:	11/02/2015		
	Target Completion Date:	04/01/2016		
	Comments:	What resources need to be taken out of the resource center? What resources need to be added? What can we put on the TV monitor?		
	Task Completed:	05/31/2016	e pac on the 14 montest.	
	,		ed to display current and upcoming events.	
	Assigned to:	Kristen Scott		
	Added date:	09/14/2016		
	Target Completion Date:	05/31/2016		
	Comments:	1. How do we 2. What do we	update the tv screen? want to be displayed on the screen? lo we want to update?	
	Task Completed:	04/30/2015	to we want to update:	
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	- 1, 1,		
	Objective Met:	9/16/2016		
	Experience:	9/16/2016 The leadership	team was able to create a new and easy way to keep ed on upcoming events.	
	Sustain:		o continue to keep the monitor up to date.	
	Evidence:	9/16/2016 The tv monitor	outside of the office us up to date and running.	
ļ <u>-</u>	Opportunity to Learn			
Ensure conte	ent mastery and graduation			
Indicator	prepare them for college and care		uidance and supports (academic, financial, etc.) to	
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited	Development 10/29/2015	
	Index:	3	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	1	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:		continue to work towards learning how to fully implement within all curriculum areas and grade levels.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Kristen Scott		
	How it will look when fully met:			

		When this objective is FULLY met, all students will have access to either 1:1 or 1:2 technology resources. In the upper grade levels, students will be doing a majority of tasks through the Google Classroom. Teachers will be interacting with students and students will be interacting with other students through this resource as well. Technology resources, both hardware and digital, will be integrated throughout all special classes (encore). Lower grades will be laying the foundational skills that students will need in order to complete digital tasks in the future.
	Target Date:	04/07/2017
	Tasks:	
		n Google classroom in order to fully implement. District/School requirements chers to know what is expected. Teachers will also need access to andards for digital literacy.
	Assigned to:	Spencer Pineda
	Added date:	11/02/2015
	Target Completion Date:	04/07/2017
	Comments:	 3rd grade teachers need google classroom training. Encore teachers could benefit from google classroom training as well.
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)

Page: 1 of 1