MCNAIR MIDDLE SCHOOL

Filter(s) Choose a filter to narrow your search.

Show only the indicators included in the plan. Show Spotlight Indicators Only	
apply Crosswalk Groups ▼ apply Crosswalk Filter	
apply Activity Filter ▼	
Apply Filter Remove Filter	
%	

Comprehensive lan eport

A detailed report showing activity of the school team's work on the improvement plan including assessments, plans, tasks, monitoring, and implementation for selected time periods.

10/25/2016

MCNAIR MIDDLE SCHOOL NCES - 50612000856

FAYETTEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

School Success Indicators

Key Indicators are shown in RED.

Cabaal Laadayahiy ayd Dasisiay Maki

School Leade	rship and Decision Making			
Establishing	a team structure with specific duti	es and time 1	for instructional planning	
Indicator	ID01 - A team structure is official	ly incorporated into the school governance policy.(36)		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Im	plementation 10/27/2015	
	Evidence:	An established	An established team provides multiple levels of support for the building.	
Indicator	ID04 - All teams prepare agendas	for their me	for their meetings.(39)	
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Im	plementation 10/27/2015	
	Evidence:	An agenda is	kept for each meeting.	
Indicator Status		ng of the principal, teachers who lead the Instructional I staff meets regularly (twice a month or more for an hour		
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited Development 10/27/2015		
	Objective Met - 09/20/2016			
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	Office team meetings are held twice a month. RTI meetings are held once a month.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Michelle Hayv	vard	
	How it will look when fully met:	Leadership team will start meeting twice a month to discuss bu focus areas and goals.		
	Target Date:	08/04/2015		
	Tasks:			
	 Leadership Team will be formed 	1.		
	Assigned to:	Michelle Hayv	vard	

Added date: 09/20/2016 Target Completion Date: 03/19/2015 Comments: Leadership Team was created. Task Completed: 05/20/2016 **Implement** Percent Task Complete: Objective Met: 9/20/2016 Experience: 9/20/2016 McNair established a leadership team. Sustain: 9/20/2016 Leadership team will continue to meet on a monthly basis. Evidence: Leadership team meeting notes will continue to be stored and shared in Google Docs and uploaded into Indistar. Indicator ID10 - The Leadership Team regularly looks at school performance data and aggregated classroom observation data and uses that data to make decisions about school improvement and professional development needs.(45) Status Tasks completed: 0 of 2 (0%) Level of Development: Initial: Limited Development 09/16/2016 Assessment 9 Index: (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) 3 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) Priority Score: 3 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within Opportunity Score: current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) Describe current level of development: The Leadership Team meets regularly and looks at school performance data to improve school performance in ACT ASPIRE writing and math scores. Classroom observation data lead to better assessment of how to best help students get to ACT ASPIRE ready or exceeding. Plan Ted Whitehead Assigned to: How it will look when fully met: With the help of all teachers at McNair, teachers are using writing across the curriculum to help students to focus more on effective writing. The school performance data and aggregated classroom observation data will help make sure that more students are achieving the desired scores. Target Date: 05/01/2017 Tasks: 1. Learning labs, Interventionists, common planning in departments per grade, and PLC's are helping to analyze data and improve school performance data. Most teachers are using writing within their specified subject area to help students to be more accustomed to writing in all areas. Assigned to: Katy Seiftriz Added date: 09/16/2016 Target Completion Date: 10/28/2016 Comments: Learning labs, Interventionists, Math Lab, common planning and PLC's are being used daily / weekly to make sure that the data shall improve. With the help of interim test scores and final test scores will show how well these added helpers and planning times help our ACT ASPIRE scores. 2. ACT aspire scores will be used to close the achievement gap between socioeconomic levels. Assigned to: Ted Whitehead Added date: 09/21/2016 Target Completion Date: 10/28/2016 Comments: Implement Percent Task Complete: Tasks completed: 0 of 2 (0%)

School Leadership and Decision Making

Aligning class Indicator		n criteria and professional development was the principal's summary reports of classroom observations		
	and takes them into account in p	planning professional development.(66)		
Status	Objective Met 9/21/2016			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: No development or Implementation 10/27/2015		
		Objective Met - 09/21/2016		
		Will include in plan		
	Index:	6 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	2 (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	3 (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	Classroom observations are completed building wide. Feedback could be used in leadership team meetings to prepare for needed professional development.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Ted Whitehead		
	How it will look when fully met:	Teacher Evaluation results will be discussed at leadership team meetings Professional Development plans will be based on areas os weakness represented. This will be then presented in faculty meetings.		
	Target Date:	02/08/2016		
	Tasks:			
	1. Classroom Observations will be	used for PD.		
	Assigned to:	Ted Whitehead		
	Added date:	09/20/2016		
	Target Completion Date:	02/26/2016		
	Comments:			
	Task Completed:	05/02/2016		
Implement	Percent Task Complete:			
	Objective Met:	9/21/2016		
	Experience:	9/21/2016 This was met with Teacher Evaluations and Professional Development for the new school year was heavily discussed while compiling Teacher Evaluation data.		
	Sustain:	9/21/2016 Making sure that Teacher Evaluations and Professional Development are linked.		
	Evidence:	9/21/2016 The Professional Development meetings this year were reflective of what we learned from compiling data from Teacher Evaluation data.		
Indicator	IF06 - Teachers are required to m classroom observations.(70)	ake individual professional development plans based on		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/27/2015		
	Evidence:	Teachers are provided feedback from evaluator.		
Indicator	professional development.(3984)	f high quality, ongoing, job-embedded, and differentiated		
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/27/2015		
	Evidence:	Professional Development is provided for all staff members at McNair Middle School. Administration supports staff in outside professional development searches.		
School Leade	ership and Decision Making			

Indicator	IH01 - The school monitors progre strategies related to school impro	ess of the extended learning time programs and other overnent.(3981)		
Status	Full Implementation		·	
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Im	plementation 10/27/2015	
	Evidence:	Praise and Polish, RTI, and Impact Teams closely monitor the progress of programs and strategies that relate to school improvement.		
School Leade	rship and Decision Making			
Ensuring Hig	h Quality Staff - Recruitment, Eval	uation, and F	Retention	
Indicator	IIO1 - The school works collaborate teachers to support school improve		ne district to recruit and retain highly-qualified 2)	
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Implementation 10/27/2015		
	Evidence:	Building princ	ipal ensures to recruit and retain highly qualified teachers.	
Curriculum, A	Assessment, and Instructional Plan	ning		
Engaging tea	chers in aligning instruction with s	standards an	d benchmarks	
Indicator	grade level.(88)	lop standards	s-aligned units of instruction for each subject and	
Status	Full Implementation			
Assessment	Level of Development:		plementation 10/27/2015	
	Evidence:	CIAA curriculum units of study for all content areas are fully impler and held accountable for.		
Assessing stu	Assessment, and Instructional Plan udent learning frequently with star TIDO2 - The school tests each stur	ndards-based		
Assessing stu Indicator Status	udent learning frequently with star IID02 - The school tests each stud standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016	ndards-based dent at least	assessments 3 times each year to determine progress toward	
Assessing stu Indicator Status	udent learning frequently with star IID02 - The school tests each stud standards-based objectives.(100)	ndards-based dent at least		
Assessing stu Indicator Status	udent learning frequently with star IID02 - The school tests each stud standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016	n dards-based dent at least Initial: Limited	3 times each year to determine progress toward	
Assessing stu Indicator Status	udent learning frequently with star IID02 - The school tests each stud standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016	n dards-based dent at least Initial: Limited	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015	
Assessing stu Indicator Status	udent learning frequently with star IID02 - The school tests each study standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development:	n dards-based dent at least Initial: Limited	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016	
Assessing stu Indicator Status	IID02 - The school tests each student learning frequently with start IID02 - The school tests each student standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index:	n dards-based dent at least Initial: Limited Objective M	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
Assessing stu Indicator Status	IID02 - The school tests each sturn standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score:	Initial: Limited Objective M 9 3 Currently the	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IID02 - The school tests each sturn standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score:	Initial: Limited Objective M 9 3 3 Currently the	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research tion, content area formative assessment implementation.	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IIDO2 - The school tests each student learning frequently with start IIDO2 - The school tests each student standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development:	Initial: Limited Objective M Gurrently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research tion, content area formative assessment implementation.	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IID02 - The school tests each sturstandards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development: Assigned to:	Initial: Limited Objective M Gurrently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research cion, content area formative assessment implementation. So the assessed three time a year to determine progress. will be discussed at faculty curriculum meetings and	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IID02 - The school tests each student learning frequently with start IID02 - The school tests each student standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development: Assigned to: How it will look when fully met:	Initial: Limited Objective M Gurrently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results teachers will I	3 times each year to determine progress toward d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research cion, content area formative assessment implementation. So the assessed three time a year to determine progress, will be discussed at faculty curriculum meetings and	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IID02 - The school tests each stude standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development: Assigned to: How it will look when fully met: Target Date:	Initial: Limited Objective M Gurrently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results teachers will I 05/02/2016	d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score × Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research tion, content area formative assessment implementation. So the assessed three time a year to determine progress, will be discussed at faculty curriculum meetings and monitor and reflect on teaching according to student results.	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IID02 - The school tests each sturstandards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development: Assigned to: How it will look when fully met: Target Date: Tasks:	Initial: Limited Objective M Gurrently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results teachers will I 05/02/2016	d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research ition, content area formative assessment implementation. So the assessed three time a year to determine progress. Will be discussed at faculty curriculum meetings and monitor and reflect on teaching according to student results of the progress.	
Assessing stu Indicator Status	IID02 - The school tests each student learning frequently with start IID02 - The school tests each student standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development: Assigned to: How it will look when fully met: Target Date: Tasks: 1. Students are tested three times	Initial: Limited Objective M Gurrently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results teachers will i 05/02/2016	d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research ition, content area formative assessment implementation. So the assessed three time a year to determine progress. Will be discussed at faculty curriculum meetings and monitor and reflect on teaching according to student results of the progress.	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IID02 - The school tests each sturstandards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development: Assigned to: How it will look when fully met: Target Date: Tasks: 1. Students are tested three times Assigned to:	Initial: Limited Objective M 9 3 3 Currently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results teachers will I 05/02/2016 a year to deter Kaitlin Gibbins	d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research iton, content area formative assessment implementation. So the assessed three time a year to determine progress. Will be discussed at faculty curriculum meetings and monitor and reflect on teaching according to student results.	
Assessing stu Indicator Status Assessment	IID02 - The school tests each student learning frequently with start IID02 - The school tests each student standards-based objectives.(100) Objective Met 9/21/2016 Level of Development: Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score: Opportunity Score: Describe current level of development: Assigned to: How it will look when fully met: Target Date: Tasks: 1. Students are tested three times Assigned to: Added date:	Initial: Limited Objective M Gurrently the based instruct Kaitlin Gibbins Students will I These results teachers will I 05/02/2016 a year to deter Kaitlin Gibbins O9/21/2016	d Development 10/27/2015 et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions) following is being implemented: Tier I instruction, research ition, content area formative assessment implementation. So the assessed three time a year to determine progress. Will be discussed at faculty curriculum meetings and monitor and reflect on teaching according to student results of the progress.	

Implement	Percent Task Complete:				
	Objective Met:	9/21/2016			
	Experience:	9/21/2016 Testing each task was acco	student three times during a semester was difficult but the omplished.		
	Sustain:	9/21/2016 Students are pre-tested, interim tested and post tested each year so we can make sure that we are reaching all of the state required objectives.			
	Evidence:	9/21/2016 Students are are reaching	being tested three times each year to make sure that we all objectives.		
Indicator	IID08 - Instructional Teams use s the curriculum and instructional	student learning data to assess strengths and weaknesses of strategies.(106)			
Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limite	d Development 09/16/2016		
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	have commor instructional s	nighest priority at McNair. The core teachers in each grade in planning time to help with improving curriculum and their strategies in their subject area. These planning times help that all students are getting the highest level of instruction.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Michelle Hayward			
riaii	How it will look when fully met:	Student data will be used to help increase the rigor of curriculum and of instructional strategies. The common planning times and PLC's help have all faculty accountable in helping to determine the best curriculum and instructional strategies to help increase performance scores.			
	Target Date:	10/28/2016			
	Tasks:				
	1. PLC's meet twice weekly to help assess curriculum and in helping increase student learning data. These PLC's are using research and data to improve their curriculum and increasing the rigor of their instructional strategies.				
	Assigned to:	Ted Whitehea	ad		
	Added date:	09/16/2016			
	Target Completion Date:	10/28/2016			
	Comments:	PLC meetings and common planning are helping with increasing student performance data and the changing of curriculum and instructional strategies.			
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eted: 0 of 1 (0%)		
Classroom In	struction				
Expecting an	d monitoring sound instruction in	a variety of r	nodes		
Indicator	IIIA01 - All teachers are guided b and assessment.(110)	y a documen	t that aligns standards, curriculum, instruction,		
Status	Full Implementation				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Full Im	plementation 10/27/2015		
	Evidence:		mplemented into McNair Middle School. Curriculum neld to a high standard with accountability for all content		
Classroom In	struction				
Expecting an	d monitoring sound classroom mar	nagement			

Expecting and monitoring sound classroom management

Indicator IIIC05 - All teachers use a variety of instructional modes (whole-class, small group, computer-based, individual, homework, for example).(160)

Status	Tasks completed: 0 of 1 (0%)			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited	Development 09/16/2016	
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	Technology is	e different strategies for instructing their classes. almost on a 1-1 ratio. Teachers understand that the goal be a facilitator in their classroom leading students in gain new ways.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Kaitlin Gibbins		
	How it will look when fully met:		observations begin, the observers will see that all teachers riety of instructional modes.	
	Target Date:	10/25/2016		
	Tasks:			
	and instructional strategies.	ll be held in des	cribing the best ways to use a variety of teaching modes	
	Assigned to:	Michelle Hayw	ard	
	Added date:	09/16/2016		
	Target Completion Date:	11/25/2016		
	Comments:	Teachers are increasing their use of technology and facilitating in their classrooms. The deferring modes of instruction are helping to improve the achievement gap and improve student performance data.		
Implement	Percent Task Complete:	Tasks comple	ted: 0 of 1 (0%)	
Family Engag	ement in a School Community			
Explain and c	ommunicate the purpose and prac	tices of the so	chool community	
Indicator	students, and teachers) includes (families) can do to support their	responsibiliti students' lea	le I schools roles and expectations for parents, es (expectations) that communicate what parents rning at home (curriculum of the home, with heir curriculum of the home). (3983)	
Status	Objective Met 9/21/2016			
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited Development 10/27/2015		
	Ect of Detelopment.		Development 10/2//2015	
	Let a of Development.		et - 09/21/2016	
	Index:		et - 09/21/2016	
	Index:	Objective Mo	et - 09/21/2016 (Priority Score × Opportunity Score)	
	······································	Objective Mo	et - 09/21/2016	
	Index: Priority Score: Opportunity Score:	9 3 Currently curriand coffee talk	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score) (3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest) (3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes	

1. Curriculum nights and pod nights are scheduled at the beginning of the year so parents and students can hear about opportunities to develop the curriculum in their home and to let them know that their is literature available for them in the library.

learning through the PTA blog.

11/03/2014

Communication will be given to parents on how to support student

Assigned to: Katy Seiftriz

How it will look when fully met:

Target Date:

Tasks:

	0.11.1.1.	00/04/0046			
	Added date:	09/21/2016			
	Target Completion Date:	10/19/2016			
	Comments:				
	Task Completed:	09/17/2015			
Implement	Percent Task Complete:				
	Objective Met:	9/21/2016			
	Experience:	about curricul	invited to curriculum nights so we could explain to them um for them for their home if needed and if they need there is some available to them in our library.		
	Sustain:	9/21/2016 Letting parent available to th	s know each year and semester that these materials are lem.		
	Evidence:	9/21/2016 Each year all parents who a	teachers know that these materials are available for ask.		
High School:	Opportunity to Learn				
Ensure conte	ent mastery and graduation				
Indicator	HS04 - The school provides all stu prepare them for college and care		uidance and supports (academic, financial, etc.)		
Status	Objective Met 9/21/2016				
Assessment	Level of Development:	Initial: Limited	d Development 10/27/2015		
		Objective Met - 09/21/2016			
	Index:	9	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	3	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changin current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	tutoring progr	following is provided: Learning Lab Class and after schoo am.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Katy Seiftriz			
	How it will look when fully met:	Opportunities and updated resources will be provided for students in need including appropriate interventions.			
	Target Date:	04/04/2016			
	Tasks:				
	1. Appropriate interventions are a	ailable to stude	ents who need help.		
	Assigned to:	Katy Seiftriz			
	Added date:	09/21/2016			
	Target Completion Date: Comments:	04/21/2016			
	Task Completed:	05/26/2016			
		-			
Implement	Percent Task Complete:				
Implement	·	9/21/2016			
Implement	Percent Task Complete: Objective Met: Experience:	9/21/2016 9/21/2016 All students at to their highes			
Implement	Objective Met:	9/21/2016 All students at to their highes 9/21/2016	hat each year these students have what is needed to help		

9/21/2016 Math labs, interventionists and learning labs help in accomplishing this

Page: 1 of 1