

**Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School -
School Strategic Plan - Rev 1**

- * Please identify all planning team members, including team members' titles and email addresses. The plan shall be developed in consultation with teachers, principals, administrators, other appropriate school personnel, and LSIC members.

LSIC

Michaela Fox

Jacob Yocum

Kelsey Hickman

Heather Phares

Bryan Groves

Mya Hough

Natalie White

Brandon Payne

Jennifer Prince

John Putnam

Tamara Hough

Tina White

Carol Jarvis

Michelle Clowser

Dennis Fitzpatrick

School Leadership Team

Brandon Payne

Heather Phares

Jacob Yocum

Lora Chapman

Daniel Reed

Shelly Mason

Melissa Jones
Morgan Allen
Michaela Fox
John Putnam

School Strategic Plan Core Beliefs / Mission

**Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School -
School Strategic Plan - Rev 1**

* **What are the core beliefs guiding continuous improvement? Utilizing the core beliefs state the mission demonstrating support for all learners.**

GCHS Vision Statement

Upon completion of a defined program of study, students will be prepared to succeed as lifelong learners in the work force.

GCHS Mission Statement
Educating for the future, training for a career, developing a community.

Core Beliefs

Gilmer County High School guides student learning by providing engaging classrooms, teaching values and skills in a 21st Century world, and how to find information to solve problems. All teachers believe that every student can learn and achieve their personal goals, providing they take the time and effort to work.

School Strategic Plan - Demographic Data

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Demographic Data

Student Groups	State (2021-22)	County (2021-22)	School (2021-22)	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
All	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Status						
Economically Disadvantaged	49.98	46.56	46.56	47.53	47.53	47.53
English Learners	0.75	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Care	1.46	2.75	2.75	2.91	2.91	2.91
Homeless	3.18	0.75	0.75	0.90	0.90	0.90
Military Connected	0.29	0.13	0.13	0.22	0.22	0.22
Students with Disabilities	19.28	20.65	20.65	18.83	18.83	18.83
Race						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.08	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	0.63	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	4.05	0.63	0.63	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hispanic or Latino Native	2.10	0.50	0.50	0.90	0.90	0.90

Multi-Racial	4.06	1.38	1.57
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.04	--	--
White	89.05	97.50	96.64
Gender			
Female	48.32	47.43	47.53
Male	51.68	52.57	52.47

Additional Data Sources, including subgroup data (Low SES, English Learners, Homeless, Foster Care, Students with Disabilities, Military Connected, Race, and Gender):

* In the text box below, summarize the other (locally obtained) demographic data and results that have been reviewed and will be part of decision making (i.e. LEA collected demographic data, school counselor data collection, quantitative/qualitative survey results, homeless identification/support methods, EL screener data and supports the EL students, methods of stakeholder communication and involvement, staff/parent trainings, results of parent and family engagement opportunities, enrollment/transient/out of area transfers, retention data, related staff/parent trainings, etc.). This information is to be updated annually. Examples of relevant data sources and sample outline for the needs assessment can be found [here](#), under Strategic Planning Tool Resources.

At Gilmer County High, we have nearly 50% of students in the Low SES category. Nearly 20% are special education/students with disabilities. The percentage is slightly lower than the state average. Racial data indicates primarily white with very little diversity. Gender data indicates more slightly more males than female (51.68% vs. 48.32%)

The percentage of GCHS in virtual education includes 12.5%. Slightly more boys than girls are in virtual education.

Approximately 4% of students are taking a course for credit recovery. Slightly more boys are taking credit recovery courses than girls.

Demographic Needs Assessment Summary:

- * After review of all identified data results, provide the updated **root cause analysis** (Why does the data look the way that it does?) in the following text box. This summary would also include practices/strategies that will start, stop, or continue. **This information is to be updated annually.** This section should provide the rationale to support local, state, and federal funded activities that connect to the strategic plan goals, strategies, and action steps.

Discussion among the group feels (and later needs assessment will support) that boys have more difficulties in learning, discipline, and attendance than girls. About 65% of special education students are boys. Some attention to boys achievement in these areas may be an area to consider in planning.

School Strategic Plan - Academic Data

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Rev 1

School Strategic Plan - Academic Data

Color Reference Guide

Exceeds Standard	
Meets Standard	
Partially Meets Standard	
Does Not Meet Standard	
Below Cell Size	

Due to the Assessment Waiver received by WVDE for the 2019-20 school year, there will be no Assessment data or Scorecard Ratings in the GPS data tables.

2030 Annual English Language Arts (ELA) Goal Targets

	2017 (Base)	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031
Target	56.97	58.63	60.28	60.28	61.94	63.59	65.25	66.90	68.56	70.21	71.87	73.52	75.18	76.83	78.49

NOTE: To review subgroup target information, please visit [ZoomWV for Educators](#)

ELA Proficiency

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	Scorecard Rating	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students		% of Students	% of Students
All	43.43	--	47.88		39.23	39.97
Status						
Economically Disadvantaged	37.33	--	34.82		28.97	28.71
English Learners	--	--	--		--	26.48
Foster Care	0.00	--	50.00		40.00	22.66
Homeless	66.67	--	0.00		0.00	24.12
Military Connected	--	--	100.00		100.00	54.71
Students with Disabilities	12.50	--	8.82		12.09	9.86
Race						
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	0.00		0.00	31.46
Asian	100.00	--	--		--	71.90
Black or African American	0.00	--	50.00		33.33	25.34
Hispanic or Latino Native	0.00	--	66.67		50.00	34.50
Multi-Racial	50.00	--	66.67		60.00	34.60

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	50.00
White	43.53	--	47.79	47.79	39.10	40.69
Gender						
Female	57.65	--	61.26	61.26	47.67	44.65
Male	30.00	--	36.00	36.00	31.82	35.54

ELA Academic Progress

Student Groups	School (2020-21)	2020-21 Scorecard Rating	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
All				
Status				
Economically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Foster Care				
Homeless				
Students with Disabilities				
Race				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				

	Black or African American
	Hispanic or Latino Native
	Multi-Racial
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
	White
Gender	
	Female
	Male

Reading Lexile Distribution - School (2020-21)

Grade	Average Lexile	% Below Grade Level	% Grade-Level Band to Proficiency	% Proficiency to Top of CCR Band	% Above Top of CCR Band
3					
4					
5					
6	--	--	--	--	--
7	--	--	--	--	--
8	--	--	--	--	--
11	--	--	--	--	--

Additional Data Sources, including subgroup data (Low SES, English Learners, Homeless, Foster Care,

Students with Disabilities, Military Connected, Race, and Gender):

In the text box below, summarize the locally collected ELA data results, from additional sources, that have been reviewed and will be part of decision making (i.e. intervention data, sub group performance, supplemental programs/services, benchmarks, walkthrough data, ELPAs, CA-CIAs, etc.). **This information is to be updated annually.** Examples of relevant data sources and sample outline for the needs assessment can be found [here](#), under Strategic Planning Tool Resources.

SWD are below state averages at GCHS in ELA Performance. Males perform significantly lower than females in ELA Performance. Males at GCHS perform nearly at the state average in ELA.

ELA Improvement Practices/Strategies Implemented (One Per Box)	Updated Implementation Results
Kansas Writing is used across grade levels	Scale score on GSA indicates that GCHS is above the state average.
Thinking maps/4 square writing strategies	Scale score on GSA indicates that GCHS is above the state average.
Literacy coaches to support teachers' strategies	Scale score on GSA indicates that GCHS is above the state average.

ELA Needs Assessment Summary:

* After review of all identified results, provide the updated **root cause analysis** (Why does the data look the way that it does?) in the following text box. This summary would also include practices/strategies that will start, stop, or continue. **This information is to be updated annually.** This section should provide the rationale to support local, state, and federal funded activities that connect to the strategic plan goals, strategies, and action steps.

Girls are excelling above the state average by 17%. Boys are at the state average in ELA. The success in ELA may be attributed to programs that are systematic such as Kansas Writing Strategies, thinking maps, four square, and the utilization of the literacy coach and instructional coaching.

2030 Annual Mathematics Goal Targets

	2017 (Base)	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031
Target	41.21	43.47	45.73	45.73	47.99	50.25	52.52	54.78	57.04	59.30	61.56	63.82	66.08	68.34	70.60

NOTE: To review subgroup target information, please visit [ZoomWV for Educators](#)

Mathematics Proficiency

Student Groups	School (2018-19)		School (2019-20)		School (2020-21)		Scorecard Rating		County (2020-21)		State (2020-21)	
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students								
All	24.57	--	--	25.00	--	25.00	--	25.00	--	24.94	--	28.08
Status												
Economically Disadvantaged	12.00	--	--	14.29	--	14.29	--	14.29	--	14.95	--	17.91
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	22.65
Foster Care	50.00	--	--	30.00	--	30.00	--	30.00	--	26.67	--	14.03
Homeless	33.33	--	--	0.00	--	0.00	--	0.00	--	0.00	--	14.83
Military Connected	--	--	--	100.00	--	100.00	--	100.00	--	100.00	--	45.13

	Students with Disabilities	0.00	--	5.88	12.09	8.03
Race						
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.68
Asian	0.00	--	--	--	--	68.14
Black or African American	0.00	--	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.55
Hispanic or Latino Native	0.00	--	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.02
Multi-Racial	0.00	--	33.33	40.00	40.00	22.05
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	40.00
White	25.29	--	25.66	25.31	25.31	28.80
Gender						
Female	31.76	--	29.73	26.42	26.42	26.75
Male	17.78	--	20.80	23.64	23.64	29.33
Math Academic Progress						
Student Groups	School (2020-21)	2020-21 Scorecard Rating		County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)	
		% of Students		% of Students	% of Students	
All						
Status						

Economically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Foster Care				
Homeless				
Students with Disabilities				
Race				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Black or African American				
Hispanic or Latino Native				
Multi-Racial				
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander				
White				
Gender				
Female				
Male				
Mathematics Performance Distribution - School (2020-21)				
Grade	Average Quantile	% Below Grade Level	% Grade-Level Band to Proficiency	% Above Top of CCR Band

3	
4	
5	
6	--
7	--
8	--
11	--

Additional Data Sources, including subgroup data (Low SES, English Learners, Homeless, Foster Care, Students with Disabilities, Military Connected, Race, and Gender):

In the text box below, summarize the locally collected Math data results, from additional sources, that have been reviewed and will be part of decision making (i.e. intervention data, sub group performance, supplemental programs/services, benchmarks, walkthrough data, ELPA21, CA-CIAs, etc.). **This information is to be updated annually.** Examples of relevant data sources and sample outline for the needs assessment can be found [here](#), under Strategic Planning Tool Resources.

Male and female trends at GCHS do not match state trends. Males are more than 8 percentage points lower than females at GCHS and 8 percentages points below the state average. Females at GCHS perform 3.5% higher than the state average in Math.

Mathematics Improvement Practices/Strategies Implemented (One Per Box)	Updated Implementation Results
Math Professional Learning Communities meet and discuss math strategies. Some members are also involved with M3T collaborative that include strategies for improving student engagement in math.	Scale score on GSA indicates that GCHS is above the state average.

IXL Math software with aligned math activities to WV content standards, individualized based on assessment

PSAT 9 data indicates that GCHS is 13 points above the state average for Grade 9 math

Mathematics Needs Assessment Summary:

* After review of all identified results, provide the updated **root cause analysis** (Why does the data look the way that it does?) in the following text box. This summary would also include practices/strategies that will start, stop, or continue. **This information is to be updated annually.** This section should provide the rationale to support local, state, and federal funded activities that connect to the strategic plan goals, strategies, and action steps.

Male and female trends at GCHS do not match state trends. Males are more than 8 percentage points lower than females at GCHS and 8 percentages points below the state average. Females at GCHS perform 3.5% higher than the state average in Math.

English Language Proficiency Assessment Results (ELPA21)

	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	County 2020-21	State 2020-21
Percent of English Learners (EL) Making Progress on all 4 Domains of ELPA21 (Reading, Writing, Speaking & Listening)	100.00	--	--	--	30.85

Detailed data by domain is available at [ZoomWV for Educators](#)

English Language Proficiency Assessment Results for the Reading Domain

ELPA21 Performance Level	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	County 2020-21	State 2020-21
Level 1	0	--	--	--	382
Level 2	0	--	--	--	257

Level 3	0	--	--	--	--	530
Level 4	0	--	--	--	--	169
Level 5	1	--	--	--	--	160

English Language Proficiency Assessment Results for the Writing Domain

ELPA21 Performance Level	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	County 2020-21	State 2020-21
Level 1	0	--	--	--	384
Level 2	0	--	--	--	268
Level 3	0	--	--	--	612
Level 4	0	--	--	--	120
Level 5	1	--	--	--	114

English Language Proficiency Assessment Results for the Speaking Domain

ELPA21 Performance Level	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	County 2020-21	State 2020-21
Level 1	0	--	--	--	265
Level 2	0	--	--	--	229
Level 3	0	--	--	--	424
Level 4	0	--	--	--	291
Level 5	1	--	--	--	289

English Language Proficiency Assessment Results for the Listening Domain

ELPA21 Performance Level	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	County 2020-21	State 2020-21
Level 1	0	--	--	--	126
Level 2	0	--	--	--	132
Level 3	0	--	--	--	451
Level 4	0	--	--	--	444
Level 5	1	--	--	--	345

Not Applicable if EL cell size is 0

EL Improvement Practices/Strategies Currently Implemented (One Practice / Strategy Per Box)	Brief Description of Success
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

EL Needs Assessment Summary:

* After review of all identified results, provide the updated **root cause analysis** (Why does the data look the way that it does?) in the following text box. This summary would also include practices/strategies that will start, stop, or continue. **This information is to be updated annually.** This section should provide the rationale to support local, state, and federal funded activities that connect to the strategic plan goals, strategies, and action steps.

School Strategic Plan - High School Graduation and Student Success Data

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Rev 1

School Strategic Plan - High School Graduation and Student Success Data

Color Reference Guide

Exceeds Standard	
Meets Standard	
Partially Meets Standard	
Does Not Meet Standard	
Below Cell Size	

Not Applicable (Elementary and Middle Schools)

On Track

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	2020-21 Scorecard Rating	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students		% of Students	% of Students
All	94.05	89.81	65.00	65.00	65.00	71.96
Status						

Economically Disadvantaged	95.00	79.55	48.14	48.14	57.91
English Learners	--	--	--	--	56.29
Foster Care	100.00	--	100.00	100.00	51.97
Homeless	--	--	--	--	53.24
Military Connected	--	--	--	--	95.00
Students with Disabilities	91.67	75.00	27.77	27.77	60.89
Race					
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	46.15
Asian	--	--	--	--	87.50
Black or African American	--	100.00	--	--	57.93
Hispanic or Latino Native	--	--	--	--	64.82
Multi-Racial	--	--	100.00	100.00	63.74
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	75.00
White	94.05	89.42	64.40	64.40	72.93
Gender					
Female	95.65	93.48	77.27	77.27	75.35
Male	92.11	87.10	57.89	57.89	68.77

10th Graders with Twelve Earned Credits

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
Status	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
All	97.61	94.44	80.00	80.00	85.47
Economically Disadvantaged	100.00	86.36	66.66	66.66	76.25
English Learners	--	--	--	--	74.07
Foster Care	100.00	--	100.00	100.00	75.00
Homeless	--	--	--	--	72.43
Military Connected	--	--	--	--	97.50
Students with Disabilities	100.00	83.33	55.55	55.55	77.98
Race					
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	84.61
Asian	--	--	--	--	95.31
Black or African American	--	100.00	--	--	75.76
Hispanic or Latino Native	--	--	--	--	81.10
Multi-Racial	--	--	100.00	100.00	78.20
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	87.50
White	97.61	94.23	79.66	79.66	86.17
Gender					

Female	100.00	95.65	90.90	90.90	87.51
Male	94.73	93.54	73.68	73.68	83.56

10th Graders with two or more credits in English, Math, Science, and Social Studies

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
Status	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
Economically Disadvantaged	90.00	72.72	48.14	48.14	58.58
English Learners	--	--	--	--	57.03
Foster Care	100.00	--	100.00	100.00	52.63
Homeless	--	--	--	--	54.05
Military Connected	--	--	--	--	95.00
Students with Disabilities	83.33	66.66	27.77	27.77	62.34
Race					
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	46.15
Asian	--	--	--	--	87.50
Black or African American	--	100.00	--	--	58.63
Hispanic or Latino Native	--	--	--	--	65.61

Multi-Racial	--	--	100.00	100.00	63.74
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	75.00
White	90.47	84.61	64.40	64.40	73.41
Gender					
Female	91.30	91.30	77.27	77.27	75.72
Male	89.47	80.64	57.89	57.89	69.36

2030 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate Goal Targets

Base 2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
90.14	90.51	90.89	91.26	91.64	92.01	92.38	92.76	93.13	93.50	93.88	94.25	94.63	95.00

Graduation 4-Year Cohort

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	2020-21 Scorecard Rating	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students			
All	91.94	90.38	93.33		93.33	91.12
Status						
Economically Disadvantaged	100.00	82.76	88.00	88.00	85.42	
English Learners	--	--	--	--	91.26	

Foster Care	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Military Connected	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	100.00	50.00	87.50	87.50	87.50	82.67		
Race								
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	94.44	
Asian	--	100.00	--	--	--	--	100.00	
Black or African American	100.00	--	--	--	--	--	86.84	
Hispanic or Latino Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	88.64	
Multi-Racial	100.00	100.00	--	--	--	--	89.86	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.00	
White	91.67	90.00	93.33	93.33	93.33	91.33		
Gender								
Female	92.31	89.29	92.00	92.00	92.00	93.38		
Male	91.67	91.67	95.00	95.00	95.00	89.00		
Graduation 5-Year Cohort								
Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	2020-21 Scorecard Rating	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)		

	% of Students					
All	97.01	91.94	92.31	92.31	92.31	92.70
Status						
Economically Disadvantaged	95.92	100.00	86.21	86.21	86.21	88.05
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	96.04
Foster Care	--	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--	--
Military Connected	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	88.89	100.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	84.95
Race						
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	88.24
Asian	--	--	100.00	100.00	100.00	98.67
Black or African American	100.00	100.00	--	--	--	87.69
Hispanic or Latino Native	100.00	--	--	--	--	93.75
Multi-Racial	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	87.75
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	88.89
White	96.88	91.67	92.00	92.00	92.00	93.01
Gender						

Female	100.00	92.31	92.86	92.86	94.11
Male	93.33	91.67	91.67	91.67	91.41

Post-Secondary Achievement Data

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	2020-21 Scorecard Rating	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
All						
Status						
Economically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Foster Care						
Homeless						
Military Connected						
Students with Disabilities						
Race						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Black or African American						

Hispanic or Latino Native
Multi-Racial
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
White
Gender
Female
Male

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
All					
Status					
Economically Disadvantaged					
English Learners					
Foster Care					
Homeless					
Military Connected					
Students with Disabilities					

Race	American Indian or Alaska Native								
Asian									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino Native									
Multi-Racial									
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander									
White									
Gender									
Female									
Male									

College Readiness (Dual Credit)				
Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	County (2020-21)
All	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
Status				
Economically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				

Foster Care									
Homeless									
Military Connected									
Students with Disabilities									
Race	American Indian or Alaska Native								
Asian									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino Native									
Multi-Racial									
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander									
White									
Gender	Female								
	Male								

Career Readiness (CTE Completer and Advanced Courses)				
Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	County (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students

Additional Data Sources, including subgroup data (Low SES, English Learners, Homeless, Foster Care, Students with Disabilities, Military Connected, Race, and Gender):

In the text box below, summarize the locally collected data results, from additional sources, that have been reviewed and will be part of decision making (i.e. intervention data, sub group performance, current graduation rates, supplemental programs/services, benchmarks, walkthrough data, pass/failure rates, Grad 20/20 monitoring, etc.). **This information is to be updated annually.** Examples of relevant data sources and sample outline for the needs assessment can be found [here](#), under Strategic Planning Tool Resources.

Zoom dashboard data. Insert it here.

Improvement Practices/Strategies Implemented (One Per Box)	Updated Implementation Results
Credit recovery programming is available. Odyssey software is used by the district for this purpose.	Twenty-three credits were recovered in GCHS summer school in 2021. Talk with T. Fisher about the summer school recovery data

High School Graduation and Student Success Needs Assessment Summary:

* After review of all identified results, provide the updated **root cause analysis** (Why does the data look the way that it does?) in the following text box. This summary would also include practices/strategies that will start, stop, or continue. **This information is to be updated annually.** This section should provide the rationale to support local, state, and federal funded activities that connect to the strategic plan goals, strategies, and action steps.

SWD subgroup has a 28% of students having credits in core classes necessary to be on track for graduation. Longitudinal data shows a sharp decrease in student acquisition of core credits necessary for graduation in the "all" subgroup. Some of this decrease is attributed to SWD taking virtual school coursework and the absences and school closure caused by COVID-19.

School Strategic Plan - Attendance and Behavior Data

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Rev 1

School Strategic Plan - Attendance and Behavior Data

Color Reference Guide

Exceeds Standard	Green
Meets Standard	Light Blue
Partially Meets Standard	Yellow
Does Not Meet Standard	Maroon
Below Cell Size	Grey

Attendance - Percent of students chronically absent

Student Groups	School (2018-19)	School (2019-20)	School (2020-21)	2020-21 Scorecard Rating	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students		% of Students	% of Students
All	18.73	18.07	22.60		18.94	12.90
Status						
Economically Disadvantaged	20.97	22.11	31.86		26.26	19.25

English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	11.60
Foster Care	0.00	33.33	38.46		38.10	21.49	
Homeless	50.00	20.00	60.00		57.14	21.54	
Military Connected	--	--	0.00		0.00	4.97	
Students with Disabilities	12.77	22.22	26.76		24.50	17.37	

Race	American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	50.00	50.00	13.64
Asian	0.00	0.00	--		--	3.86
Black or African American	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	21.13
Hispanic or Latino Native	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	13.80
Multi-Racial	0.00	0.00	25.00		33.33	17.52
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--		--	9.26
White	19.25	18.58	22.84		18.91	12.37

Gender	Female	19.35	15.18	22.51	19.34	12.50
Male		18.18	20.66	22.69	18.60	13.27

Behavior - Percent of Students with No Out of School Suspensions (excluding levels 3 and 4)

Student Groups	School (2018-19)		School (2019-20)		School (2020-21)		2020-21 Scorecard Rating		County (2020-21)		State (2020-21)	
	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students	% of Students
All	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	95.50	98.57		
Status												
Economically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	92.21	98.04		
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	99.52		
Foster Care	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.00	96.35		
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.00	97.93		
Military Connected	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	99.82		
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	95.00	97.52		
Race												
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	98.97		
Asian	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	99.68		
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.00	97.16		
Hispanic or Latino Native	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.00	98.98		
Multi-Racial	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	80.00	98.17		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	98.55		

White	--	--	--	95.72	98.63
Gender					
Female	--	--	--	98.57	99.28
Male	--	--	--	92.98	97.90

Additional Data Sources, including subgroup data (Low SES, English Learners, Homeless, Foster Care, Students with Disabilities, Military Connected, Race, and Gender):

In the text box below, summarize the locally collected data results, from additional sources, that have been reviewed and will be part of decision making (i.e. intervention data-attendance and/or behavior related, sub group performance, supplemental programs/services, agency supports, school counselor data, pass/failure rates, data from positive behavior supports, Grad 20/20 monitoring, etc.). **This information is to be updated annually.** Examples of relevant data sources and sample outline for the needs assessment can be found [here](#), under Strategic Planning Tool Resources.

Include ZOOM data here

Attendance and Behavior Improvement Practices/Strategies Implemented (One Per Box)	Updated Implementation Results
Truancy Diversion actions are used as a deterrent to student absences, however during the COVID-19 pandemic some actions were softened during the 20-21 SY.	Visitation of local law enforcement and truancy diversion specialist helps increase student attendance.
Using data from ZOOM to analyze and counsel with students of highest need in the areas of attendance and discipline.	Lists are generated for identifying students at risk to provide services that make improvements in attendance and discipline.

<p>Grad 2020 was a state funded grant program to improve student attendance. Gift cards were provided as incentives to help improve attendance.</p>	<p>Having a drawing for an iPad helps bring attendance to faithful and better attendance.</p>	<p>This was a positive reinforcement and students are excited to have these drawings.</p>
<p>Students are given free attendance into ballgames with perfect attendance.</p>	<p>Less than 20 students typically win this honor but it is effective to encourage good attendance.</p>	
<p>Attendance and Behavior Needs Assessment Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * After review of all identified results, provide the updated root cause analysis (Why does the data look the way that it does?) in the following text box. This summary would also include practices/strategies that will start, stop, or continue. This information is to be updated annually. This section should provide the rationale to support local, state, and federal funded activities that connect to the strategic plan goals, strategies, and action steps. <p>COVID has had a negative effect in multiple ways upon student attendance rates. Some students were sick and quarantined. Some were kept home by parents in fear of COVID. Days of closure due to COVID also set a lower expectation for school attendance. Those strategies currently in place will continue for attendance.</p>		

School Strategic Plan - Educator Effectiveness Data

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Educator Effectiveness Data

Evaluation Data

Performance Level	School (2020-21)	County (2020-21)	State (2020-21)
	% of Teachers	% of Teachers	% of Teachers
Distinguished	2.38	10.13	13.24
Accomplished	92.86	84.81	83.32
Emerging	-	2.53	3.32
Unsatisfactory	4.76	2.53	0.12

Additional Data Sources, including results:

* In the text box below, summarize the locally collected data results, from additional sources, that have been reviewed and will be part of decision making (i.e. retention rates, areas of need, teacher attendance rates, professional learning opportunities, educator supports, walkthrough data, culture/climate surveys, student/parent feedback, etc.). **This information is to be updated annually.** Examples of relevant data sources and sample outline for the needs assessment can be found [here](#), under Strategic Planning Tool Resources.

Standards Based Instruction

Standards Based Instruction(Based on 56 walkthroughs)

1.Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment are aligned to standards

Selected	%
45	80.4 %

2. Student work demands expectation	41	73.2 %
3. Formative assessment processes are utilized to measure student performance	34	60.7 %
4. Instructional time is utilized efficiently and effectively	43	76.8 %

According to walk through data, instruction is based on standards (80.4%). Student work generally demands high expectations from students. Formative assessment could be utilized in greater frequency and instructional time could be utilized more effectively. Conversations will be held with teachers in professional development sessions around these topics and discussed in school leadership team meetings.

Educator Effectiveness Needs Assessment Summary:

- * After review of all identified results, provide the updated **root cause analysis** (Why does the data look the way that it does?) which includes connections between educator effectiveness and student academic success results identified within the other comprehensive needs assessment summaries. For this needs assessment section, consider results from recruitment and retention efforts, most recent professional development opportunities-participant feedback, and district monitoring of implementation effectiveness, school-home connections, strategies for working with various learners and subgroups, etc. Identify what practices/strategies will start, stop, or continue. **This information is to be updated annually.** This section should provide the rationale to support local, state, and federal funded activities that connect to the strategic plan goals, strategies, and action steps.

Teachers at GCHS receive lower distinguished ratings than the state average but higher accomplished ratings than state averages.

- * For educator growth, what professional learning opportunities will be provided to improve student academic and success outcomes? These professional learning opportunities should connect to the priorities identified in the current comprehensive needs assessment and the strategic plan activities.

APL strategies provided specific strategies to improve student engagement and improve classroom discipline. GCHS provided professional development in technology, schoology, TEAMS, standards-based instruction, STREAM, flipgrid, and EdPuzzle.

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Rev 1

Plan Items

1 Math Goal

Description:

80% of students in grades 6-12 will show growth utilizing the Star State Performance report (grades 6-8) or Star ACT/SAT Readiness report (grades 9-12) by the end of the 2022-2023 school year.

PM 1.1 Formative Assessment

Description:

To improve mathematics scores across all grade levels, there will be a concerted system-wide effort to identify and remediate weaknesses in math using various data sources including STAR, IXL, and interims as benchmark data tools. Students will be benchmarked a minimum of three times in the academic year (beginning, middle, and end of semester).

S 1.1.1 Formative Assessment Data Review

Description:

During PLC time, teachers will review all formative assessments including STAR, IXL, and interim math data and create intervention plans to remediate deficiencies.

AS 1.1.1.1 Support for Benchmark Assessments

Description:

Math teachers will review formative assessment data at PLC meetings within the department to identify deficiencies that appear to be department-wide and address those needs through SAT, tutoring, and other supports. Math teachers will share out math data to other departments.

Person Responsible:

Math Department Teachers

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

Funding Application	Grant	Notes	Amount
Other	Other	after school tutoring 4 tutors and ESL	\$11,000.00

[AS] 1.1.1.2 Provide additional support for math.

Description:

Students will be recommended by teachers/SAT committee for tutoring. Teachers will be employed for after-school tutoring to provide extra time, extra help for students who are having difficulty in math. Additionally, math teachers may use other time during the day to engage students in math remediation. After school buses are budgeted to increase after school tutoring for students.

Person Responsible:

SAT Team

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.1.3 Real World Math Application

Description:

All content teachers, who integrate math into their curriculum, (such as physics using various algebraic expressions to solve a trajectory problem) will develop professional goals that work to help support the math application. Data will be provided by the math teachers that provide a snapshot of weak skill sets with various groups of students.

Person Responsible:

Individual Teachers

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.14 Tier II System of Support

Description:

GCHS will focus on providing intervention support within the classroom to provided opportunities for students that struggle within the content.

Person Responsible:

Math Teachers

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.15 Advisory

Description:

Students will focus on creating goals, during advisory, that are based on various data sources (Livegrades, disciplines, STAR, IXL etc.). The structure will be provided to advisory teachers to ensure advisory time's potential is maximized.

Person Responsible:

Brandon Payne

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.1.6 Attendance

Description:

Certificates will be presented to students to have perfect attendance and faithful attendance.
The attendance recognition will be published on our FB page, school website, and the school paper.

Person Responsible:

John Putnam

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.1.7 IXL

Description:

Grades 9-12 will utilize IXL to provide weekly practice concerning mathematical skills to ensure proficiency.

Person Responsible:

Math Teachers

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:
6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.1.8 Scheduling Extra Time for Math 7/8

Description:

Mr. Reed to schedule Math applications for students in grades 7/8 to provide extra time for math instruction to occur.

Person Responsible:

Daniel Reed

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.1.9 Math Labs

Description:

Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II labs will be kept in the schedule to ensure mastery of content for students grades 9-12.

Person Responsible:

Daniel Reed

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

[AS] 1.1.1.10 6th Grade Math Teacher

Description:	Maintain 6th-grade teacher assignment to put a certified math teacher in place to teach all math content for 6th grade.	
Person Responsible:	Daniel Reed	
Estimated Begin Date:	8/8/2022	
Estimated Completion Date:	6/3/2023	
Description:	[AS] 1.1.11 PBIS Implementation Grades 6-8	
PBIS component will be maintained in grades 6-8		
Person Responsible:	John Putnam	
Estimated Begin Date:	8/8/2022	
Estimated Completion Date:	6/3/2023	
Description:	[AS] 1.1.12 Incentive for students to enroll in higher math courses	
Trigonometry will receive a weighted value in a student's GPA and will be included as an honors course designation.		
Person Responsible:	John Putnam	

Estimated Begin Date:

6/1/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

7/29/2022

[AS] 1.1.13 Middle School Math Enrichment/Remediation

Description:

Each 7th and 8th grade student will receive an additional 9 weeks of Math Enrichment and Remediation for the 2022-23 school year by rescheduling a math teacher from teaching health to teaching math.

Person Responsible:

John Putnam

Estimated Begin Date:

6/1/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/1/2023

[AS] 1.1.14 Algebra I for 8th Grade

Description:

Algebra I will be offered to high achieving 8th grade students based on summative and benchmark test scores and classroom grades. This will help increase the opportunities for the cohort to be able to take higher math courses in their junior and senior years.

Person Responsible:

Brandon Payne

Estimated Begin Date:

6/1/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

			6/2/2023
AS	1.1.15 Standards Deep Dive for Math Teachers	Description:	Math teachers will receive professional development on unpacking their math standards under the support and direction of the district Curriculum Director.
		Person Responsible:	Shelly Mason
		Estimated Begin Date:	9/9/2022
		Estimated Completion Date:	6/2/2023
ELA	2 ELA Goal	Description:	80% of students grades 6-12 will show growth in citing strong and thorough evidence to support analysis of what the literary/informative text says explicitly as well as inferences are drawn from the literary/informative text utilizing STAR, IXL, and classroom assessment data.
PM	2.1 Formative Assessment	Description:	Teachers will review all formative assessments including STAR, IXL, and interim ELA data, and create intervention plans to remediate deficiencies
S	2.1.1 Formative Assessment Data review	Description:	

During PLC time, teachers will review all formative assessments including STAR, IXL, and interim ELA data and create intervention plans to remediate deficiencies.

[AS] 2.1.1.1 Provide additional support for ELA

Description:

SAT committee/Teachers will identify students who need support in ELA and be recommended for tutoring. Teachers will be hired to provide after school support for students who are struggling with ELA. Additionally, teachers may elect to use other time during the instructional day to help students with ELA. After school busses have been budgeted to increase participation.

Person Responsible:

SAT Committee

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2023

Funding Application	Grant	Notes	Amount
Step 7a	Step 7a	Four after school tutors for high school and ESL	\$11,000.00

[AS] 2.1.1.2 Support for Benchmark Assessments

Description:

Teachers will review STAR benchmark data at PLC meetings within the department and identify deficiencies that appear to be department-wide. These deficiencies will be communicated to other departments to provide support where aligned with the curriculum.

Person Responsible:

ELA Department Teachers

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2021

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2022

Funding Application	Grant	Notes	Amount
Consolidated	Title II Part A	Stipends for meeting in addition to calendar PLCs	\$1,000.00

[AS] 2.1.1.3 Supplemental Materials

Description:

Purchase supplemental content-related reading materials for teachers to use in the classroom. Faculty Senate voted to set aside monies for extra reading materials for all content areas at every grade level. Levy funds will also be used to support this.

Person Responsible:

Teachers

Estimated Begin Date:

8/8/2021

Estimated Completion Date:

6/3/2022

Funding Application	Grant	Notes	Amount
Other	Other	Faculty Senate funding to provide reading material	\$500.00

[AS] 2.1.1.4 Advisory

	Description: Students will focus on creating goals that are based on various data sources (Livegrades, disciplines, STAR, IXL etc.). The structure will be provided to advisory teachers to ensure advisory time's potential is maximized.
Person Responsible:	Brandon Payne
Estimated Begin Date:	8/8/2022
Estimated Completion Date:	6/3/2023

	Description: A certificate will be provided at an awards assembly to students who have perfect and faithful attendance.
Person Responsible:	Brandon Payne
Estimated Begin Date:	8/8/2022
Estimated Completion Date:	6/3/2023

	Description: Strengthen the business management and administration CTE pathway by making more room in the teacher's schedule for BCAl, accounting, and personal finance, courses to be taught each year. By eliminating a graduation requirement of BCAl, this action step will be attainable.
Person Responsible:	Brandon Payne

Person Responsible:	Brandon Payne
Estimated Begin Date:	8/8/2022
Estimated Completion Date:	6/3/2023

[AS] 2.1.1.7 IXL
Description:
Grades 9-12 will utilize IXL to provide weekly practice concerning ELA skills to ensure proficiency.
Person Responsible:
Brandon Payne
Estimated Begin Date:
8/8/2021
Estimated Completion Date:
6/3/2022

[AS] 2.1.1.8 Literacy Support for ELA Teachers
Description:
District Literacy Coach will be at GCHS weekly to work on TIDE, Kansas Writing and Thinking Maps.
Person Responsible:
Cherri West
Estimated Begin Date:
6/1/2022

Estimated Completion Date:

6/2/2023

Title I Schoolwide

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Rev 1

Not Applicable

Required Items [Expand All] [Collapse All]	Component Met
1) Opportunities for all children including subgroups Address strategies to create opportunities for all children including subgroups Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) Activities that strengthen a well-rounded educational program Address strategies that strengthen a well-rounded educational program Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) Increase the quality and amount of learning time Address strategies that increase the quality and amount of learning time Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum Address strategies that provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>

<p>5) Address the needs of at-risk learners</p> <p>Address strategies that address the needs of at-risk learners that may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Student support services - Broadening secondary school options (CTE, AP, IB, Dual- Enrollment) - PBIS - Professional development and teacher recruitment - Preschool transition <p>Explanation</p>	
<p>6) Parent and family engagement</p> <p>Address strategies that increase the parent and family engagement</p> <p>Explanation</p>	

**Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School -
School Strategic Plan - Rev 1** Not Applicable

Required Items [Expand All] [Collapse All]	Component Met
1) Identify students to be served Address strategies to identify students to be served Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) Opportunities for all children including subgroups Address strategies to create opportunities for all children including subgroups Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) Activities that strengthen a well-rounded educational program Address strategies that strengthen a well-rounded educational program Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) Increase the quality and amount of learning time Address strategies that increase the quality and amount of learning time Explanation	<input type="checkbox"/>

<p>5) Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum</p> <p>Address strategies that provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum</p> <p>Explanation</p>	<p>6) Address the needs of at-risk learners</p> <p>Address strategies that address the needs of at-risk learners that may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Student support services - Broadening secondary school options (CTE, AP, IB, Dual- Enrollment) - PBIS - Professional development and teacher recruitment - Preschool transition <p>Explanation</p>	<p>7) Parent and family engagement</p> <p>Address strategies that increase the parent and family engagement</p> <p>Explanation</p>	<p>8) Coordination of program</p> <p>Address strategies that coordinate program services</p> <p>Explanation</p>	<p>9) Minimize pull-out instruction</p> <p>Address strategies that minimize pull-out instruction</p> <p>Explanation</p>	<p>10) Review progress of children served under the program</p>
---	---	--	---	---	--

Address strategies to review the progress of children served under the program

Explanation

School Strategic Plan Related Documents

**Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School -
School Strategic Plan - Rev 1**

Required Documents

This page is currently not accepting Related Documents.

School Strategic Plan Checklist

Gilmer County Schools (022) Public District - FY 2023 - Gilmer County High School (022-501) Public School - School Strategic Plan - Rev 1

Checklist Description ([Collapse All](#) [Expand All](#))