UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAFAYETTE-OPELOUSAS DIVISION

MARILYN MARIE MONTEILH, : DOCKET NO. 65-10912

Plaintiff,

vs. : September 20, 2007

•

SCHOOL BOARD OF ST. LANDRY PARISH, :

:

Defendant. : Lafayette, Louisiana

REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE TUCKER L. MELANCON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPEARANCES: LISA M. TAYLOR

U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

601 D St. NW

Washington, DC 20580

KATHERINE W. VINCENT

United States Attorney's Office 800 Lafayette St., Ste. 2200

Lafayette, LA 70501

ADAM GERARD CASWELL Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1600

Eunice, LA 70535

REPORTED BY: LARAE BOURQUE, RPR, CRR

United States Court Reporter 800 Lafayette Street, Ste. 3103

Lafayette, Louisiana 70501

PROCEEDINGS

(Call to order of the court.)

THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.

You know, it's a pretty auspicious way for me to start something, but I'm going to ask the two ladies that didn't have the respect for the Court when the Judge came in to never, ever not stand again or they will be permanently barred from this courtroom or they might be held in contempt of court. It's not about Tucker Melancon. It's about a United States District Court, ladies. Nobody, nobody is above the law. Please don't forget that.

Like I say, I apologize for that, but there's a certain amount of decorum that need be held and it's certainly not in the spirit of which I called this meeting here today as I hope will be evident from what I'm about to say.

The matter before the Court is a status conference that was set by order dated September 14th, 2007, which is Record Document 168. First of all, I'd like to tell everybody good morning and to go ahead and thank you for being here on relatively short notice.

Our conference today will not proceed as the previous status conferences that we've had in the past with the lawyers making reports and asking and answering questions and school board members asking questions or making comments.

Today's conference will be from the Judge's perspective

of where it is that we are in this 42-year-old school
desegregation case and how we will proceed going forward now that
the board has selected a permanent superintendent.

I also intend to make a few comments and observations about events that have occurred since our last quarterly status conference on March the 15th, 2007. For that reason I would request that Mr. Caswell secure and that Superintendent Nassif place on the school board website a transcript of this status conference in order for anyone who chooses to to read what the judge had to say about the case and give them the opportunity and ability to do so.

Now, with that, I would ask, first of all, that the lawyers involved in the proceeding identify themselves for record purposes.

MS. TAYLOR: Good morning, Your Honor. Lisa Taylor representing the United States with an office in D.C. In addition to myself today, I do have Ms. Ann Deardorff who is a paralegal specialist for St. Landry Parish.

MS. VINCENT: And, Your Honor, also for the United States, Katherine Vincent from the U.S. Attorney's Office.

THE COURT: And I see -- paralegal you said is Ms. --

MS. TAYLOR: Ms. Ann Deardorff, Your Honor,

D-E-A-R-D-O-R-F-F.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. CASWELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Gerard Caswell

```
1
      representing the St. Landry Parish School Board.
 2
                THE COURT: All right. And I note for the record that
     Mr. Marion Overton White is not here. He contacted my chambers.
3
 4
      I think he's on his way, but running late.
 5
               Now, with that, I would ask that the members of the
 6
      school board -- and I'd like to start first with the president,
7
      then the vice-president and then we can go down in whatever order
8
     we find the rest of them after that -- to identify themselves for
9
     the record.
10
               MS. EAGLIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Elinor Nacoste
11
     Eaglin representing District 2, President of the Board.
12
               MR. MILLER: Good morning, Your Honor. John Miller,
13
     Vice-President, District 3.
14
               MR. FRUGE: Good morning, Your Honor. Harry Fruge
      representing District 13.
15
               MR. RICHARD: Scott Richard, District 9.
16
17
               MS. FRANK: Good morning. Josie Frank, District 12.
18
               MR. CARRIERE: Ronald Carriere, Board Member,
19
     District 6.
20
               MR. DEVILLE: Dillard Deville, District 4.
21
               MR. YOUNG: Roger Young, District 11.
22
               MR. BOSS: Kyle Boss, District 8.
23
               MR. WYBLE: Huey Wyble, District 7.
24
               MR. RICHARD: Quincy Richard, District 10.
25
               MR. STANDBERRY: Anthony Standberry, District 1.
```

1 MR. BUDDEN: Marx Budden, District 5.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

And now I'd like to have the superintendent introduce himself and those of his senior level staff that are here as required by the order setting the conference.

SUPERINTENDENT NASSIF: Good morning, Your Honor.

Thank you for having us here today. My name is Michael Nassif.

I am the Superintendent of Schools for the St. Landry Parish

School Board.

With me today we have Mr. Aaron Washington, Director of Special Education. Next to him is Mr. Randy Manuel, Director of Finance. Mr. Clifton Carmon, Director of Federal Programs and Title I. Mr. Rusty Moody, Assistant Superintendent of Operation. Mr. Joseph Cassimere, Assistant Superintendent of Instruction. Mr. Edward Brown, Supervisor of Child Welfare and Attendance. And in the audience we have Mr. Joe Guillory who works in special ed also.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.

Now, let me start by saying, first of all, congratulations to Mr. Nassif. And I want the school board members to know and those of you here to know that I've had the opportunity to meet with Superintendent Nassif on several occasions since he was appointed interim superintendent as we continued to work on issues in this case.

Quite frankly, I am impressed with him. I think you

board members are to be congratulated. I believe -- and we will all know for sure soon enough -- you have selected a strong leader.

I was also impressed to see the public support that was generated on Mr. Nassif's behalf at the meeting when the school board approved his contract. It was most notable to me that all three teacher organizations, no small accomplishment in and of itself, enthusiastically supported the new superintendent.

Over the past six and one half years since I began active management of this case, you have all come -- all become familiar with what has come to be known in the law as the Green factors, the six areas in which a school system must achieve unitary status before a school system may be declared unitary. Those six areas are extracurricular, transportation, personnel, quality of education, facilities, and student assignment.

Now, in this case the St. Landry Parish School System has met or partially met extracurricular and transportation.

They actually have met those. On personnel, they have been partially met, and the areas in which personnel have been met are in the areas of teachers or of faculty. Remaining personnel issues are for principals and support staff.

Extracurricular and transportation have been met as I said. These two Green factors are generally thought of as the easiest Green factors to achieve. Personnel is generally considered a moderately difficult factor to achieve. The Green

factors that remain are quality of education, student assignment and facilities.

Quality of education is generally thought of as moderately difficult while student assignment and facilities are generally thought of as the most difficult to achieve. In the case of the St. Landry Parish School System, because of the geography of the parish and the location of the existing facilities, student assignment and facilities are inextricably intertwined.

As to quality of education, the biggest remaining issue to be resolved to achieve unitary status under this factor is the disproportionality between African-American and Caucasian students with special needs.

Superintendent Nassif has attempted to address that issue as part of the reorganization plan that he has submitted to the school board for approval. I hope that that plan will be acted on quickly by the board in order for us to move forward on this Green factor as expeditiously as possible.

Now, I am loath to do as I did in the past, for good but possibly unrealistic reasons, make a prediction as to when the remaining Green factors might be met. As I said, these factors generally are deemed the most difficult to achieve, student assignment and facilities.

Superintendent Nassif in his brief tenure since being appointed interim superintendent with the able assistance of

counsel has gone a long way in establishing the good faith element with the Court that is an absolute requirement before any school system in a school desegregation case such as this may be declared unitary.

He and the staff he has chosen or will choose to assist him to address the remaining issues have the hard part of this case before them. Then it will be the school board's turn to demonstrate its good faith in implementing the recommendations of the superintendent that should be educationally sound, but must be -- and I repeat for emphasis -- must be constitutional.

At this juncture in this case with the heavy lifting that remains to occur, I do not believe that reinstating regular quarterly status conferences is the best use of school board members', Superintendent Nassif's and his staff's, the lawyers' or the Court's time, and future status conferences will not be rescheduled at this time. Rest assured that Superintendent Nassif and staff as he directs and the lawyers will be working on the case and the judge will be at their disposal when they deem it necessary or advisable.

At previous quarterly status conferences I have provided each school board member with copies of Louisiana Revised Statute 17:81 and 17:95 concerning the authority and the duties of the school board and the authorities and the duties of the superintendent under Louisiana law. I do not mean to belabor that point, I truly don't, but I urge each school board member

most sincerely to remember that the school board's job is to set policy and to hire a superintendent to run the system implementing the policies that the board has adopted; that you have hired in Superintendent Nassif by a twelve to one vote a professional and an educator to run the system.

Please -- and I say that with great effort and respect for each of the 13 of you and the offices that the public has entrusted you to hold for the next three and a half years -- let Superintendent Nassif run the system. Don't try to micromanage him.

As I said earlier, I set this status conference after I read the newspaper account of and talked to several people who actually attended your September 13th meeting. I realize that while I tend to be an optimist, a glass half full type of person, one school board meeting did not heal the divisiveness that existed on the school board since this board assumed office in January, but it was a start, a really good start.

I commit to each of the 13 of you, members of the school board, to the citizens of St. Landry Parish and to the children who are the school system's students to devote my full attention and energy consistent with my oath of office to assist the lawyers in the case, Superintendent Nassif and the school board to remove the federal yoke, again, consistent with my oath of office, from the neck of the St. Landry Parish School System as soon as possible.

With this hope for healing and new spirit in mind, looking to the future, not the past, with trust that the divisions that have existed on the school board can be resolved by people of good faith quickly and as completely as possible, I am going to request that further investigation into the matter of Josie G. Frank be discontinued and that Chief Judge Richard T. Haik, Sr., dismiss with prejudice the criminal contempt charges that were lodged against her. May the creator of us all guide each one of us as we we hold and have been entrusted with to the best of our

attempt to move this case to conclusion in the relative positions abilities.

With that, we are in recess, but before the school board members leave, I would like to have the opportunity to step down from the bench so that I may shake each one of your hands.

We are in recess.

(Proceedings adjourned.)

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
3	LAFAYETTE-OPELOUSAS DIVISION
4	
5	MARILYN MARIE MONTEILH :
6	vs. DOCKET NUMBER 65-10912
7	SCHOOL BOARD OF ST. LANDRY PARISH :
8	
9	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
10	I, LaRae E. Bourque, Official Court Reporter for the
11	United States District Court, Western District of Louisiana,
12	do hereby certify that the foregoing 10 pages are a true and
13	accurate transcript of the proceedings had in this matter,
14	as hereabove set forth, and that I have no interest of any
15	nature whatsoever regarding the ultimate disposition of this
16	litigation.
17	I further certify that the transcript fees and format
18	comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial
19	Conference of the United States.
20	
21	
22	LARAE E. BOURQUE, RPR, CRR
23	Official Court Reporter
24	
25	