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## Competitive Sale Proves Itself <br> (Summary provided by placement agent, Brandis Tallman LLC)

|  | BBVA Compass [2] | Branch Banking \& Trust | California Bank \& Trust | Capital One | National Bank [3] | CoBiz Public Financial | Opus Bank [4] | Pacific Western Bank | Sterling <br> National Bank | $\begin{gathered} \text { Texas Capital } \\ \text { Bank } \end{gathered}$ | Umpqua <br> Bank [5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate: | 3.57\% | 3.52\% | 3.52\% | 3.77\% | 3.70\% | 3.59\% | 3.41\% | 3.70\% | 3.691\% | 4.16\% | 3.65\% |
| Rate Lock Terms: | 30 days before closing Rate is locked upon formal credit approva | Rate locked through closing | Rate locked through closing | Rate locked through closing | Rate locked through closing | Rate locked 30 days prior to closing | Rate locked through closing | Rate locked through closing | Rate locked until April 29, 2018 | Rate locked through closing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate locked } \\ & 3 \text { weeks prior to } \\ & \text { closing } \end{aligned}$ |
| Title Insurance: | Required | Required | Required | None required pending title search | Required | None required pending title search | Required | Required | Required | Required | Required |
| Prepayment <br> Provisions: | Par call after year 10, but District can opt for a par call after 18 months at a premium of 72 bps to the proposed rate | Callable @ par any payment date after 18 months. One time partial prepayment is available at a minimum of $\$ 250,000$. | Callable in whole or in part anytime @ par with 30 days notice | Callable after 18 months then @ 103 thru year 5 , 102 thru year 7 , 101 thru year 8 , par thru final maturity. Elgible for partial call AID once per calendar year, in a minimum of $\$ 500 \mathrm{k}$ \& max of $\$ 2$ million | Callable in whole or in part on any date @ par with 30 days notice | Callable any date after May 1, 2020 @ 103\% May 1, 2021 @ 102\% May 1, 2022 @ 101\% May 1, 2023 @ par | 5\% in years 1-5 <br> $4 \%$ in years 6-10 <br> $3 \%$ in years 11-12 <br> $2 \%$ in years $13-14$ <br> $1 \%$ in year 15 <br> or callable on or after year 10 at par | Callable in part or whole on any date @ par with 30 day notice | One time ability to prepay in whole or in part from months 18-24 @ par Years 3-5 @ 102\% Years 6-10 @ 101\% Years 11-15 @ par | Callable @ par in 5 years | $3 \%$ in years 1-3 <br> $2 \%$ in years 4-6 <br> $1 \%$ in years 7-9 <br> par in year 10 <br> (Can prepay up to 20\% <br> annually with no penalty) |
| Costs: [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank Counsel | \$7,500 | \$10,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,000 | \$12,500 | \$10,000 | Approx. $\$ 7,000$ | \$7,500 | \$12,500 |
| COI Processing Fee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lender Rep Fee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  | \$0 |
| Proposal Expiration: | 4/15/2018 | 4/13/2018 |  | 4/5/2018 | 4/3/2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Estimated Closing Date: April 26, 2018
Approximate Par Amount: $\$ 3,790,000$
Average Life: 9.5 years
Final Maturity : $5 / 1 / 2033$
California Bank \& Trust Average Annual Debt Service: $\$ 367,000$
Opus Bank Average Annual Debt Service: $\mathbf{3 6 9 , 5 0 0}$ without utilizing draw down structure
[1] Additionally, financial advisor, bond counsel, placement agent, paying agent/COI custodian, CDIAC, non-profit counterparty and miscellaneous fees apply to all proposals.
[2] The pricing is conditioned upon the opportunity to bid on ancillary financial services in good faith.
[3] PPFCC non-profit counterparty fee waived if selected as lender
(4) Up to $10 \%$ of principal can be prepaid everry year without prepayment penalty or limitation as long as such prepayment is not through refinancing. If District wants flexibility to prepay the lease through rant fund ing, bank can allow that at any time withouth prepayment penalty with $1 \%$ up-front origination fee (fee is included in estimated Average Annual Debt Service). All such payments would be applied against the back end of amort schedule

If the project schedule allows, the lease will be funded in monthly draws over an 18 -month Project Period into a construction account at the bank. At the end of the project period the District will have the option
[5] Rates include a relationship discount that assumes the District will establish a deposit reationship with Bank of least $\$ 500,000$ within a LAIF similar account Remaining unfunded commitment will be funded to be used for future project costs.
(5) Rates include a relationship discount that assumes the District will establish a deposit relationship with Bank of at least $\$ 500,000$ within a LAIF similar account

## Competitive Sale Proves Itself <br> (Summary provided by placement agent, Brandis Tallman LLC)



Estimated Closing Date: April 26, 2018
Approximate Par Amount: $\$ 3,790,000$
Average Life: 9.5 years
Final Maturity: $5 / 1 / 2033$
California Bank \& Trust Average Annual Debt Service: $\$ 367,000$
Opus Bank Average Annual Debt Service: $\mathbf{3 6 9 , 5 0 0}$ without utilizing draw down structure
$\checkmark$ The top two bids were very close; with a lower average annual debt service from California Bank \& Trust (\$367,000 compared to \$369,500 from Opus Bank) due to lower costs of issuance

## Zooming in on the Details



## Terms from Opus Bank More Favorable

[1] Additionally, financial advisor, bond counsel, placement agent, paying agent/COI custodian, CDIAC, non-profit counterparty and miscellaneous fees apply to all proposals.
[2] The pricing is conditioned upon the opportunity to bid on ancillary financial services in good faith.
4] Up to $10 \%$ of principatearाbe prepaid every year without prepayment penalty or limitation as long as such prepayment is not through refinancing. If District wants flexibility to prepay
to cancel any portion of the remaining unfunded commitment without penalty, with the cancelled portion applied against the back end of the amort schedule. Remaining unfunded commitment will be funded to be used for future project costs.
(5) Retesinclude a relationship discount that assumes the District will establish a deposit relationship with Bank of at least $\$ 500,000$ within a LAlF similar account
"Up to $10 \%$ of principal can be prepaid every year without prepayment penalty or limitation as long as such prepayment is not through refinancing. If District wants flexibility to prepay the lease through grant funding, bank can allow that at any time without prepayment penalty with $1 \%$ upfront origination fee (fee is included in estimated Average Annual Debt Service). All such payments would be applied against the back end of amort schedule. If the project schedule allows, the lease will be funded in monthly draws over an 18-month Project Period into a construction account at the bank. At the end of the project period the District will have the option to cancel any portion of the remaining unfunded commitment without penalty, with the cancelled portion applied against the back end of the amort schedule. Remaining unfunded commitment will be funded to be used for future project costs."

After discussing with District staff and factoring in a potential "drawdown" schedule, it was determined that Opus Bank provided the bid with the lowest total cost to the District.

## Actual Results Near Estimates


$\checkmark$ Origination fee of $1 \%$ (or $\$ 38,283$ ) allows for prepayment of outstanding balance when State funding is received, without penalty

## Drawing Funds as Needed Reduces Expected Total Repayment


$\checkmark$ If no State funds are received, District's total obligation will be just over $\$ 5$ million, and for most years, an annual cost of just under \$370,000.

## If State \$ Received as Projected...

| $\text { May } \frac{\text { Date }}{10,2018}$ | State Funding <br> \$0 | $\frac{\text { Draw }}{\$ 128,283}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Principal }}$ \$0 | $\underline{\text { Interest }}$ \$0 | Total Repayment | $\frac{\text { Balance }}{\$ 128,283}$ | Fiscal Year | Fiscal Year Repayment | Pre-Sale Est Repayment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun 1, 2018 | \$0 | \$1,300,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,428,283 | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aug 1, 2018 | \$0 | \$1,200,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,628,283 | 2018-19 | \$79,483 | \$161,500 |
| Oct 1, 2018 | \$0 | \$1,200,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,828,283 | 2019 -20 | \$90,589 | \$161,500 |
| Nov 1, 2018 | (\$1,171,726) | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 34,189)$ | (\$34,189) | \$2,656,557 | 2019-21 | \$40,589 | \$161,500 |
| May 1, 2019 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$45,294) | (\$45,294) | \$2,656,557 | $2020-21$ | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| Nov 1, 2019 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 45,294)$ | (\$45,294) | \$2,656,557 | 2021 -22 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| May 1, 2020 | (\$2,207,625) | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 45,294)$ | (\$45,294) | \$448,932 | 2022-23 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| Nov 1, 2020 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 7,654)$ | $(\$ 7,654)$ | \$448,932 | 2023-24 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| May 1, 2021 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$28,019) | $(\$ 7,654)$ | $(\$ 35,673)$ | \$420,913 | $2024-25$ | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| Nov 1, 2021 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 7,177)$ | $(\$ 7,177)$ | \$420,913 | $2025-26$ | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| May 1, 2022 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 28,974)$ | $(\$ 7,177)$ | $(\$ 36,151)$ | \$391,939 |  |  |  |
| Nov 1, 2022 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 6,683)$ | (\$6,683) | \$391,939 | $2026-27$ | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| May 1, 2023 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$29,962) | $(\$ 6,683)$ | $(\$ 36,645)$ | \$361,977 | 2027-28 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| Nov 1, 2023 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$6,172) | $(\$ 6,172)$ | \$361,977 | 2028-29 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| May 1, 2024 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$30,984) | (\$6,172) | $(\$ 37,156)$ | \$330,993 | 2029-30 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| Nov 1, 20.24 | \$ | \$ | \$U | (\$5, 64'3) | (\$3',64'3) | \$'З'ЗО, पу' | 2030-31 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| May 1, 2025 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$32,041) | $(\$ 5,643)$ | (\$37,684) | \$298,952 | 2030-31 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| Nov 1, 2025 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 5,097)$ | $(\$ 5,097)$ | \$298,952 | 2031-32 | \$43,327 | \$42,781 |
| May 1, 2026 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 33,133)$ | (\$5,097) | (\$38,230) | \$265,819 | 2032-33 | ¢40, ำ는 | \$42,781 |
| Nov 1, 2026 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$4,532) | (\$4,532) | \$265,819 |  | \$733,32 | \$879,159 |
| May 1, 2027 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$34,263) | (\$4,532) | $(\$ 38,795)$ | \$231,556 |  | - |  |
| Nov 1, 2027 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 3,948)$ | $(\$ 3,948)$ | \$231,556 |  |  |  |
| May 1, 2028 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$35,431) | $(\$ 3,948)$ | (\$39,379) | \$196,125 |  |  |  |
| Nov 1, 2028 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 3,344)$ | (\$3,344) | \$196,125 | 1 |  |  |
| May 1, 2029 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 36,640)$ | $(\$ 3,344)$ | $(\$ 39,983)$ | \$159,485 |  | - |  |
| Nov 1, 2029 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 2,719)$ | $(\$ 2,719)$ | \$159,485 |  |  |  |
| May 1, 2030 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$37,889) | $(\$ 2,719)$ | (\$40,608) | \$121,597 |  | me | f State |
| Nov 1, 2030 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 2,073)$ | (\$2,073) | \$121,597 |  | - |  |
| May 1, 2031 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 39,181)$ | $(\$ 2,073)$ | (\$41,254) | \$82,41F |  |  |  |
| Nov 1, 2031 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,405)$ | $(\$ 1,405)$ | \$82, 16 |  | ding | IVed as |
| May 1, 2032 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 40,517)$ | $(\$ 1,405)$ | (\$41,922) | \$41,899 |  |  |  |
| Nov 1, 2032 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$714) | (\$714) | \$41,899 |  |  |  |
| May 1, 2033 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$41,899) | (\$714) | (\$42.613) | \$0 |  | - | $\\|\\| b e$ |
| Nov 1, 2033 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4 | \$0 |  |  |  |
|  | (\$3,379,351) | \$3,828,283 | (\$448,932) | (\$284,395) | (\$733,327) |  |  | arly 1 | ess t |

$\checkmark$ If no State funds are received, District's total obligation will be just over $\$ 5$ million, and for most years, an annual cost of just under \$370,000.

## GFOA Best Practices Utilized

$\rightarrow$ Debt Management Policy
$\checkmark$ Selecting and Managing Municipal Advisors

- Selecting Bond Counsel

Selecting and Managing the Method of Sale of Municipal Bonds

- Debt Issuance Transaction Costs


## Conclusion

$\checkmark \$ 3.7$ million for facilities needs
$\checkmark$ Total expected repayment $\$ 313,960$ less than previously estimated
$\checkmark$ Finance terms favorable to District

- Drawdown feature allows District to only borrow what is needed, and when
- Prepayment terms allow application of State funding as soon as received to prepay borrowed funds


## For Reference

# Detailed Costs of Issuance <br> - March 7, 2018 Presentation 

## Detailed Costs of Issuance

MOTHER LODE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT (El Dorado County, California) 2018 Lease-Purchase Financing
Costs of Issuance

| Description | Total Costs |
| :--- | :--- |

- Quint \& Thimmig LLP, Special Counsel
Special Counsel Services and Expenses: \$22,500.00
- Government Financial Strategies inc., Financial Advisor Professional Services:
$\$ 25,850.00$
Anticipated Direct Out-of-Pocket Expenses: \$1,000.00
- Brandis Tallman LLC, Placement Agent \$15,000.00
- Nixon Peabody LLP, Lender's Counsel \$10,000.00
- Stewart Title Guaranty, Title Insurance $\$ 3,887.00$
- Public Property Financing Corp: Non-Profit Corporation \$3,500.00
- California Municipal Statistics, Property Tax Base Data \$1,100.00
- COI Administration Fee \$1,000.00
- CDIAC Fees \$820.82
- Contingency \$5,342.35
COSTS OF ISSUANCE \$90,000.17


## Mother Lode Union School District

## Potential Borrowing For Facilities Projects



Government Financial Strategies

Presented by Lori Raineri
March 7, 2018

## Agenda

$\checkmark$ Financing Transaction Details

- Possible Prepayment
- Notification to County Superintendent of Schools and County Auditor
- Next Steps
- For Reference


## Lease-Purchase Legal Structure



## School Site Subject to Lease

- A school site will be subject to the lease agreement.
- The site is to be determined - based on lease criteria.
- The school site will serve as collateral for the financing.
- In the event the District defaults, investors have the option to take possession of the site.
- As a practical matter, investors are counting on the District to live up to its obligation in good faith.
- Additional Safeguards:

- County Superintendent of Schools \& County Auditor Notification per Education Code 17150.1(a)
- County Department of Education Budget Review/AB 1200


## Primary Legal Documents

Board Resolution: authorizes financing within parameters and signing of documents in substantially the same form.

- Site Lease: District leases the school site to Public Property Financing Corporation of California in exchange for funds.

Facilities Lease: Public Property Financing Corporation of California leases the school site back to District in exchange for principal and interest payments over 15 years.

- Assignment Agreement: Public Property Financing Corporation of California assigns responsibility for the principal and interest payments to the bank.


## Plan B Discussed at Jan. 10 Board Meeting \$3.8 Mil. Financed $\rightarrow$ \$3.7 Mil. For Projects

Amount for Projects:
Costs of Issuance:
Total Borrowed:
Closing Date: Apr 26, 2018
Term: 15 years
Basis: annual in arrears
Call Provision: 18 months
Indicative Rate:
3.500\%
Timing Adjustment: Assumed Interest Rate:

| $3.500 \%$ |
| ---: |
| $0.750 \%$ |
| $4.250 \%$ |



## Review: <br> Estimated Debt Service Schedule

| Date | Principal | Interest | Total <br> Debt Service | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 1, 2018 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,800,000 |
| May 1, 2019 | \$0 | (\$161,500) | (\$161,500) | \$3,800,000 |
| May 1, 2020 | \$0 | (\$161,500) | (\$161,500) | \$3,800,000 |
| May 1, 2021 | (\$224,973) | (\$161,500) | (\$386,473) | \$3,575,027 |
| May 1, 2022 | (\$234,534) | (\$151,939) | (\$386,473) | \$3,340,493 |
| May 1, 2023 | (\$244,502) | (\$141,971) | (\$386,473) | \$3,095,991 |
| May 1, 2024 | (\$254,893) | (\$131,580) | (\$386,473) | \$2,841,098 |
| May 1, 2025 | (\$265,726) | (\$120,747) | (\$386,473) | \$2,575,371 |
| May 1, 2026 | (\$277,020) | (\$109,453) | (\$386,473) | \$2,298,352 |
| May 1, 2027 | (\$288,793) | $(\$ 97,680)$ | (\$386,473) | \$2,009,559 |
| May 1, 2028 | [\$301,067) | $(\$ 85,406)$ | (\$386,473) | \$1,708,492 |
| May 1, 2029 | (\$313,862) | (\$72,611) | (\$386,473) | \$1,394,630 |
| May 1, 2030 | (\$327,201) | (\$59,272) | (\$386,473) | \$1,067,429 |
| May 1, 2031 | (\$341,107) | (\$45,366) | (\$386,473) | \$726,322 |
| May 1, 2032 | (\$355,604) | $(\$ 30,869)$ | (\$386,473) | \$370,717 |
| May 1, 2033 | (\$370,717) | (\$15,755) | [\$386,473) | \$0 |

(1) Taking into account the net proceeds for projects and the repayments, the All-In True Interest Cost $=4.61 \%$.
(2) Balance shown is amount after principal payment during fiscal year. It is currently planned that the lease purchase would be callable, in whole or in part, without penalty, on any date on or after November 1, 2019.

## Review:

Planned Prepayment from State Funding

- The District submitted projects in November 2013 for a total of \$1,171,726
- Currently estimated to receive funds within one year
- The District submitted projects in January 2018 for a total of \$2,207,625
- Currently estimated to receive funds in late 2019
$\checkmark \$ 3,379,351$ estimated to be received prior to the May 1, 2020 payment
- Will be used to prepay as much as possible of the borrowed funds, reducing the ongoing debt service


## Review:

## If State \$ Are Received as Projected

| Date | State Funding | Principal | Interest | Debt Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 1, 2018 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| May 1, 2019 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$161,500) | (\$161,500) |
| May 1, 2020 | (\$3,379,351) | \$0 | (\$161,500) | (\$161,500) |
| May 1, 2021 | \$0 | $(\$ 24,904)$ | (\$17,878) | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2022 | \$0 | $(\$ 25,962)$ | $(\$ 16,819)$ | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2023 | \$0 | $(\$ 27,066)$ | (\$15,716) | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2024 | \$0 | (\$28,216) | (\$14,565) | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2025 | \$0 | $(\$ 29,415)$ | $(\$ 13,366)$ | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2026 | \$0 | $(\$ 30,665)$ | $(\$ 12,116)$ | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2027 | \$0 | $(\$ 31,969)$ | (\$10,813) | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2028 | \$0 | (\$33,327) | $(\$ 9,454)$ | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2029 | \$0 | $(\$ 34,744)$ | $(\$ 8,038)$ | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2030 | \$0 | (\$36,220) | (\$6,561) | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2031 | \$0 | $(\$ 37,760)$ | $(\$ 5,022)$ | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2032 | \$0 | (\$39,364) | $(\$ 3,417)$ | (\$42,781) |
| May 1, 2033 | \$0 | (\$41,037) | (\$1,744) | (\$42,781) |
|  | (\$3,379,351) | (\$420,649) | (\$458,510) | (\$879,159) |

Balance
\$3,800,000 \$3,800,000 \$420,649 \$395,745 \$369,783 \$342,717 \$314,501 \$285,086 \$254,421 \$222,452 \$189,125 \$154,382 \$118,161 \$80,402 \$41,037

- As presented on January 10, 2018
- Estimated State funding amounts and timing from SchoolWorks
$\checkmark$ If no State funds are received, District's total obligation will be just under $\$ 5.5$ million, and for most years, an annual cost of just under \$400,000.


## County Superintendent of Schools \& County Auditor Notification

- Pursuant to Education Code 17150.1(a), school districts are required to notify the county superintendent of schools and county auditor at least 30 days before certain types of debt are approved by the governing board.
- Types of debt that require notification include:
- Lease-purchase
- Any other debt
- secured by real property
- not subject to voter approval
- County superintendent of schools and county auditor have 15 days to:
- Review the information provided
- Determine whether the debt is affordable
- Comment publicly (if desired) to the governing board of the district
- Should the Board wish to proceed, we will work with staff to notify and communicate with the county superintendent of schools and county auditor, including providing a debt notification report.


## Questions Addressed in Notification Report

1) Why is the district borrowing?
2) What will the district's annual obligation be, including debt service payments and administrative costs?
3) What is the risk that the annual obligation will vary from year to year and by how much?
4) What are the planned repayment sources?
5) What is the likelihood the planned repayment sources will be sufficient?
6) What is the cost of funds and is this reasonable?


## Next Steps

$\rightarrow$ Today's Board meeting

- Information only
- No later than March 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
- Notice \& information provided to El Dorado County Superintendent of Schools and El Dorado County Auditor-Controller (Ed. Code 17150.1)
$\checkmark$ April 11 th Board meeting
- Board considers adoption of resolution authorizing financing
- April 26th
- Closing - proceeds deposited with County Treasury
$\rightarrow$ May $16^{\text {th }}$ Board meeting
- Sale results presented to Board
- Ongoing administration



## Any Questions?



## For Reference

- Estimated Costs of Issuance Detail for Potential Lease- Purchase

SchoolWorks January 10, 2018 Board Presentation
-Government Financial Strategies January 10, 2018 Board Presentation

## Estimated Costs of Issuance Detail

| Description | Total Costs |
| :--- | :--- |

- Quint \& Thimmig LLP, Special Counsel

Special Counsel Services and Expenses:
\$22,500.00

- Government Financial Strategies inc., Financial Advisor Professional Services:
\$25,850.00
Anticipated Direct Out-of-Pocket Expenses:
$\bullet$ $\qquad$ Placement Agent
\$15,000.00
$\bullet$ $\qquad$ Lender's Counsel
\$12,500.00
- First American Title Insurance Company, Title Insurance
$\$ 4,500.00$
- Public Property Financing Corp: Non-Profit Corporation $\$ 3,500.00$
- California Municipal Statistics, Property Tax Base Data
\$1,250.00
- CDIAC Fees
\$1,172.50
- Contingency
\$12,727.50

