



Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2021 - 2022 School Report



**Rome City
Rome High School**

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal | Dr. Eric L. Holland |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principal | Michael Branson |
| Team Member # 3 | Associate Principal | Misty Tucker |
| Team Member # 4 | Assistant Principal | Dr. Kisha Thompson |
| Team Member # 5 | Assistant Principal | John Fricks |
| Team Member # 6 | Assistant Principal | Open |
| Team Member # 7 | Assistant Principal | Stephen McClure |

Additional Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | World Language Department Head | Desiree Jones |
| Team Member # 2 | ESOL Department Head | Dulce Morales |
| Team Member # 3 | History Department Head | Connie Morrow |
| Team Member # 4 | Physical Education Department Head | Joey Powers |
| Team Member # 5 | Fine Arts Department Head | Chad Hannah |
| Team Member # 6 | CTAE Department Head | Col. Seaborn Whatley |
| Team Member # 7 | SPED Department Head | Farrah Davis |
| Team Member # 8 | Science Department Head | Shanna O'Hara |
| Team Member # 9 | ELA Department Head | Amanda Howell |
| Team Member # 10 | Math Department Head | Karen Ehler |

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Counseling Department Representative | Melissa A. Holland |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Parent Representative #1 | Toni Blanchard |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Parent Representative #2 | Susie Garrett |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Student Representative #1 | Nebra Askew |
| Stakeholder # 5 | Student Representative #2 | Anna Garrett |
| Stakeholder # 6 | Teacher Representative #1 | Lori Davis |
| Stakeholder # 7 | Teacher Representative #2 | Jamie Branson |
| Stakeholder # 8 | Teacher Representative #3 | Tami Price |

| | |
|--|--|
| How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process? | The team will invite and incorporate feedback from all stakeholders. They will be invited to meetings in regards to the CNA and SIP throughout the year to maintain an evaluative process. |
|--|--|

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Coherent Instruction Data

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | <p>A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process. These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. | |
| 2. Operational | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards. These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> <p>Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |

| Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 2. Operational | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 2. Operational | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |

| Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.</p> <p>The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.</p> <p>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 6 -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation. | |

| Leadership Standard 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.</p> <p>School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.</p> <p>In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.</p> | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.</p> | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Professional Capacity Data

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks). Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. | |
| 2. Operational | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs. Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning. Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | |
| 2. Operational | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p> | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p> | |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p> | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students. | |
| School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff | | |
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.</p> <p>The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p> | ✓ |
| 2. Operational | <p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.</p> | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

| | |
|--|--|
| What perception data did you use? [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan] | Title I Parent Engagement & Equity survey data, Needs assessment data, Student climate survey data, Parent climate survey data, staff climate survey data |
| What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?") | We need to keep working on parent engagement. We need to improve representation among the parents of our students in various subgroups such as SWD and English Learners. Our climate scores are high which shows that we are perceived as safe and positive. |
| What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops) | Feedback from parent trainings, TKES/LKES summatives, meeting sign-in sheets, meeting agendas, PBIS documentation, master schedules, mentor training, SST documentation, collaborative planning agendas and sign-in sheets, professional learning documentation |
| What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?") | Although we have very positive practices in place, there is room for improvement. Data demonstrates that we need to work on consistency in regards to curriculum, streamlining of procedures, vertical and horizontal alignment between us and the middle school, and a focus on addressing disparities in subgroup achievement. |

| | |
|---|--|
| What achievement data did you use? | MAP, GMA, AP, SAT, ACT, SRI, EOPA, Accuplacer |
| What does your achievement data tell you? | While we are seeing growth in most areas, we need to continue to provide focus on our SWD and EL subgroups. Furthermore, our data demonstrates that we excel in meeting the needs of our AP and Honors students but need to continue to develop our intervention programs to meet the needs of our bottom 25%. |
| What demographic data did you use? | PowerSchool, ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic, disability, English competency |
| What does the demographic data tell you? | Our demographics continue to become more diverse. The number of students identified as English Learners continues to grow as well the percentage of students who live in poverty also continues to increase. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| | |
|--|---|
| Coherent Instructional: Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | As a school, we consistently analyze the data in order to make informed decisions with our students best interest in mind. We review the rigor and relevance of our instructional practices and we are always looking to train and support our faculty in the implementation of new initiatives and programs. One area that we admit a continued need of growth is in regards to our co-teaching model. We gathered information from teachers about a continued need for growth and we will tend to it in the following year. |
| Effective Leadership: Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | As a school, we have presented multiple opportunities for our faculty to take ownership through small leadership roles. We host a strong leadership team and work with our COLA-H model to develop buy-in within our faculty. Furthermore, we reach out to faculty on a regular basis about "growing our own" to be the leaders of tomorrow. |
| Professional Capacity: Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | As a school, we are trending in the right direction in regards to training the future of RHS. We complete all system wide training with fidelity and also look to implement meaningful training of our own, such as Mindset Foundations training. Furthermore, we constantly seek opportunities to differentiate the professional development of our staff such that all faculty are setting goals and receiving training that meets their individual needs. |
| Family and Community Engagement: Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | Processes seem to be effective and are becoming more streamlined with the creation of a district family engagement position. The coordinator, school social worker, parent mentors, and school counselors provide families with important links to resources in the community and encourage their ongoing participation in their children's' academic careers. Current community partnerships are thriving and there is ongoing evaluation to meet emerging needs. |

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| | |
|--|---|
| Supportive Learning Environment: Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | RHS provides multiple opportunities for at-risk students to perform as expected and to graduate on time. We consistently analyze multiple data platforms in order to make decisions about the needs of our students. We utilize a graduation tracker that is updated every 9-weeks to see where our at-risk students are and to plan a course of action. One area of growth we will work on is a more streamlined monitoring system in regards to RTI, 504, and SPED. |
|--|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| Demographic and Financial: Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | We are experiencing an overall growth in our student population that is difficult to match. All areas of our population are growing (EL, SWD, ED, and other subgroups). |
|--|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| Student Achievement: Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | We are constantly analyzing our achievement data to make decisions that are best for our students. We see consistent struggles with our SWD and EL subgroups in all Georgia Milestones assessments. However, we also demonstrate an ability to produce distinguished leveled learners in all areas. |
|--|---|

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

| |
|---|
| Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the Identifying Need webinar for additional information and guidance. |
|---|

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Strengths | Through a new manual and more streamlined efforts, we believe that our SWD students are being better served than they ever have before. As well, we afford multiple learning opportunities to our ED students to experience technologically relevant learning experiences that might not be afforded to them without the school. As a school, we have worked hard to be intentional with our hires such that our faculty and staff equally represent our student body. Thus, multiple new hires are bilingual and provide services to our EL families that they never experienced before. |
|------------------|---|

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

| | |
|------------|--|
| Challenges | With continued growth in our EL population, we are constantly needing to adapt in order to meet their needs. While we are on the right track, we still feel that this is a need for additional support and growth. |
|------------|--|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Overarching Need | Improve ELA achievement. |
| How severe is the need? | Unknown |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | No Change |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | Unranked |

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 2

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Overarching Need | Improve Mathematics achievement. |
| How severe is the need? | Unknown |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | No Change |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | Unranked |

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 3

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| Overarching Need | Improve Science achievement. |
| How severe is the need? | Unknown |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | No Change |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |

Overarching Need # 3

| | |
|----------------|----------|
| Priority Order | Unranked |
|----------------|----------|

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 4

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Overarching Need | Improve Social Studies achievement. |
| How severe is the need? | Unknown |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | No Change |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | Unranked |

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - Improve ELA achievement.

Root Cause # 1

| | |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed | Literacy is a consistent struggle within the community and system. |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | No |
| Impacted Programs | School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Root Cause # 2

| | |
|--|--|
| Root Causes to be Addressed | Our EL population is growing by large numbers. |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | No |
| Impacted Programs | School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Improve Mathematics achievement.**Root Cause # 1**

| | |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed | Foundational mathematics ability are inconsistent. |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Improve Science achievement.**Root Cause # 1**

| | |
|--|--|
| Root Causes to be Addressed | Limitations in content level literacy. |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - Improve Social Studies achievement.

Root Cause # 1

| | |
|--|--|
| Root Causes to be Addressed | Limitations in content level literacy. |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|



School Improvement Plan 2021 - 2022



**Rome City
Rome High School**

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| | |
|-------------|------------------|
| District | Rome City |
| School Name | Rome High School |
| Team Lead | Michael Branson |

| Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply) | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Consolidated funds (state/local and federal funds consolidated) - Cohort systems ONLY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 'FUND 400' - Consolidation of Federal funds only |

| Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Free/Reduced meal application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other (if selected, please describe below) |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

| | |
|---|---|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Improve ELA achievement. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Literacy is a consistent struggle within the community and system. |
| Root Cause # 2 | Our EL population is growing by large numbers. |
| Goal | The percentage of students scoring at developing learner or above on local and/or state end-of-year assessments will increase by 3% when compared to results from the most recent version of the same assessment. |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|--|--|
| Action Step | Provide targeted literacy instruction through the use of a reading enrichment course (RTI Tier 2), interventionist lead small-group interventions (RTI Tier 3), sheltered classrooms (EL), and Inclusion level co-taught classrooms (SPED). Utilize Ten Percent Time (TPT), Teachers as Advisors (TAA), and the Rome Academy of Learning and Empowerment (RAL-E) to remediate and provide opportunity for Unit Repair. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | RTI Progress Monitoring, SPED Meeting Documentation, Lesson Plans, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Position/Role Responsible | ELA Department Chair, Administrators, Classroom Teachers, Inclusion Teachers, Interventionists |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 2

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Incorporate personalized learning opportunities via technology integration in order to engage students in a differentiated learning environment. Technological resources include: NewsELA, Flocabulary, Edgenuity, Oddyseyware, IXL, USA Test Prep, BrainPop, NearPod, and Study Island. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |

Action Step # 2

| | |
|--|--|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | ELA Department Chair, Administrators, Classroom Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 3

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Action Step | Utilize multiple means of assessment preparation, implementation, and data analysis in order to prepare students for the electronic format and level of rigor involved with the Georgia Milestones EOC. Electronic assessment platforms include: MAP, Edulastic, Assesslet, Georgia Assessment Center District & School Connect Test Item Bank, mock Georgia Milestones, STEM PBL activities, and other common formative assessments. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority |

Action Step # 3

| | |
|--|---|
| Subgroups | Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Lesson Plans, Common Planning Meeting Minutes, Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | ELA Department Chair, Administrators, Classroom Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 4

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Provide multiple means of teacher support and professional development in order to foster collaboration and create an environment where highly effective practices are consistently being implemented. Resources, effective practices, and plans for support include: collaborative planning (horizontal and vertical), and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) facilitation. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless |

Action Step # 4

| | |
|--|--|
| Subgroups | English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | PLC meeting minutes, FIP module data, collaborative planning meeting minutes, periodic review |
| Position/Role Responsible | ELA Department Chair, Administrators, Classroom Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 5

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Continue to incorporate differentiated, diversified, and intentional learning practices in order to foster the expansion of literacy to all content areas. Practices include but are not limited to: Teacher-Developed Independent Reading programs, Writing Across the Genres, Culturally Diverse Texts, Marzano's High Yield Strategies, and Embedded Reading and Writing Across the Curriculum. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |

Action Step # 5

| | |
|--|--|
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Collaborative Meeting Minutes, Agendas, Instructional Rounds, TKES Observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | ELA Department Chair, Administrators, Classroom Teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

| | |
|---|---|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Improve Mathematics achievement. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Foundational mathematics ability are inconsistent. |
| Goal | The percentage of students scoring at developing learner or above on local and/or state end-of-year assessments will increase by 3% when compared to results from the most recent version of the same assessment. |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|--|---|
| Action Step | Provide targeted instruction through the use of a math support course with additional paraprofessional support (RTI Tier 2), sheltered classrooms (EL), and Inclusion level co-taught classrooms (SPED). Utilize Ten Percent Time (TPT), Teachers as Advisors (TAA), and the Rome Academy of Learning and Empowerment (RAL-E) to remediate and provide opportunity for Unit Repair. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | RTI Progress Monitoring, SPED Meeting Documentation, Lesson Plans, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators, Paraprofessional Support Person |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 2

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Action Step | Incorporate personalized learning opportunities via technology integration in order to engage students in a differentiated learning environment. Technological resources include: Kuta Software, Math XL, Flocabulary, Edgenuity, Oddyseyware, IXL, USA Test Prep, BrainPop, NearPod, and Study Island. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |

Action Step # 2

| | |
|--|--|
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 3

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Utilize multiple means of assessment preparation, implementation, and data analysis in order to prepare students for the electronic format and level of rigor involved with the Georgia Milestones EOC. Electronic assessment platforms include: MAP, Edulastic, Georgia Assessment Center District & School Connect Test Item Bank, mock Georgia Milestones, STEM PBL activities, and other common formative assessments. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |

Action Step # 3

| | |
|--|---|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Lesson Plans, Common Planning Meeting Minutes, Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 4

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Action Step | Provide multiple means of teacher support and professional development in order to foster collaboration and create an environment where highly effective practices are consistently being implemented. Resources, effective practices, and plans for support include: Formative Instructional Practices (FIP) module implementation, collaborative planning (horizontal and vertical), and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) facilitation. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant |

Action Step # 4

| | |
|--|---|
| Subgroups | Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | PLC meeting minutes, FIP module data, collaborative planning meeting minutes, periodic review |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 5

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Continue to incorporate differentiated, diversified, and intentional learning practices in order to foster the expansion of literacy to all content areas. Practices include but are not limited to: Interactive Journaling, Constructed Response Text Annotation, Marzano's High Yield Strategies, Higher Order Thinking and DOK Rigor, and Embedded Reading and Writing Across the Curriculum. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |

Action Step # 5

| | |
|--|--|
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Collaborative Meeting Minutes, Agendas, Instructional Rounds, TKES Observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.3 Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need

| | |
|---|---|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Improve Science achievement. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Limitations in content level literacy. |
| Goal | The percentage of students scoring at developing learner or above on local and/or state end-of-year assessments will increase by 3% when compared to results from the most recent version of the same assessment. |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|--|--|
| Action Step | Provide targeted instruction through the use of a reading enrichment course (RTI Tier 2), sheltered classrooms (EL), and Inclusion level co-taught classrooms (SPED). Utilize Ten Percent Time (TPT), Teachers as Advisors (TAA), and the Rome Academy of Learning and Empowerment (RAL-E) to remediate and provide opportunity for Unit Repair. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | RTI Progress Monitoring, SPED Meeting Documentation, Lesson Plans, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators, Interventionists |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |
|-----------------------------|---------|

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 2

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Incorporate personalized learning opportunities via technology integration in order to engage students in a differentiated learning environment. Technological resources include: NewsELA, Flocabulary, Edgenuity, Oddyseyware, IXL, USA Test Prep, BrainPop, NearPod, and Study Island. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |

Action Step # 2

| | |
|--|--|
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 3

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Action Step | Utilize multiple means of assessment preparation, implementation, and data analysis in order to prepare students for the electronic format and level of rigor involved with the Georgia Milestones EOC. Electronic assessment platforms include: Edulastic, Georgia Assessment Center District & School Connect Test Item Bank, mock Georgia Milestones, STEM PBL activities, and other common formative assessments. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |

Action Step # 3

| | |
|--|---|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Lesson Plans, Common Planning Meeting Minutes, Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 4

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Provide multiple means of teacher support and professional development in order to foster collaboration and create an environment where highly effective practices are consistently being implemented. Resources, effective practices, and plans for support include: collaborative planning (horizontal and vertical), and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) facilitation. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority |

Action Step # 4

| | |
|--|--|
| Subgroups | Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | PLC meeting minutes, collaborative planning meeting minutes, periodic review |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 5

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Continue to incorporate differentiated, diversified, and intentional learning practices in order to foster the expansion of literacy to all content areas. Practices include but are not limited to: Journal Writing, Marzano's High Yield Strategies, and Embedded Reading and Writing Across the Curriculum. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless |

Action Step # 5

| | |
|--|--|
| Subgroups | English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Collaborative Meeting Minutes, Agendas, Instructional Rounds, TKES Observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.4 Overarching Need # 4

Overarching Need

| | |
|---|---|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Improve Social Studies achievement. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Limitations in content level literacy. |
| Goal | The percentage of students scoring at developing learner or above on local and/or state end-of-year assessments will increase by 3% when compared to results from the most recent version of the same assessment. |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|--|--|
| Action Step | Provide targeted instruction through the use of a reading enrichment course (RTI Tier 2), sheltered classrooms (EL), and Inclusion level co-taught classrooms (SPED). Utilize Ten Percent Time (TPT), Teachers as Advisors (TAA), and the Rome Academy of Learning and Empowerment (RAL-E) to remediate and provide opportunity for Unit Repair. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | RTI Progress Monitoring, SPED Meeting Documentation, Lesson Plans, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |
|-----------------------------|---------|

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 2

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Incorporate personalized learning opportunities via technology integration in order to engage students in a differentiated learning environment. Technological resources include: NewsELA, Flocabulary, Edgenuity, Oddyseyware, IXL, USA Test Prep, BrainPop, NearPod, and Study Island. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |

Action Step # 2

| | |
|--|--|
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 3

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Action Step | Utilize multiple means of assessment preparation, implementation, and data analysis in order to prepare students for the electronic format and level of rigor involved with the Georgia Milestones EOC. Electronic assessment platforms include: Edulastic, Georgia Assessment Center District & School Connect Test Item Bank, mock Georgia Milestones, STEM PBL activities, and other common formative assessments. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |

Action Step # 3

| | |
|--|---|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Lesson Plans, Common Planning Meeting Minutes, Technology Based Progress Monitoring, Common Assessment Data, EOC Data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 4

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Provide multiple means of teacher support and professional development in order to foster collaboration and create an environment where highly effective practices are consistently being implemented. Resources, effective practices, and plans for support include: collaborative planning (horizontal and vertical), and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) facilitation. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority |

Action Step # 4

| | |
|--|--|
| Subgroups | Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | PLC meeting minutes, collaborative planning meeting minutes, periodic review |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 5

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Action Step | Continue to incorporate differentiated, diversified, and intentional learning practices in order to foster the expansion of literacy to all content areas. Practices include but are not limited to: Culturally Diverse Texts, Marzano's High Yield Strategies, and Embedded Reading and Writing Across the Curriculum. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title I, Part A SIG Title I, Part C Title I, Part D Title II, Part A Title III, Part A Title IV, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA McKinney-Vento Perkins N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless |

Action Step # 5

| | |
|--|--|
| Subgroups | English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation and Effectiveness | Collaborative Meeting Minutes, Agendas, Instructional Rounds, TKES Observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Department Chair, Classroom Teacher, Administrators |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.1 Stakeholders, Serving Children, PQ

Required Questions

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>1 In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders) was accomplished.</p> | <p>Rome High School utilizes a variety of data sources to make decisions that will affect student learning and teacher effectiveness. Our needs assessment process includes examining student achievement data from CCRPI, state assessments (Milestones, ACCESS, etc.), local assessment data, course completion rates, graduation rate, perception data from stakeholder surveys, professional learning plans, teacher recruitment and retention data, SIP monitoring visits, and verbal input from stakeholders. A Comprehensive Needs Assessment is conducted each spring to assess the needs of the district and schools, as well as the needs of subgroups of students including Economically Disadvantaged, English Learners, Migratory, Homeless, and Special Education students. The process includes stakeholder meetings conducted at both the school and district levels. In the spring, we conducted a Stakeholder meeting that includes parents, teachers, paraprofessionals, administrators, community members, and other support staff. Additionally, a team of district leaders visits each school for quarterly impact checks to determine progress toward current year goals and to plan for the next school year. School representatives who attend impact checks include administrators, academic coaches, and teachers. In addition, stakeholder feedback is solicited during monthly principals' meetings, school-level leadership meetings, parent conferences, parent workshops, and meetings with community members such as Open Door Home, Boys' and Girls' Club, local higher education institutions, DFCS, and local businesses.</p> |
| <p>2 Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.</p> | <p>Effectiveness data indicates quality teachers are equitably distributed among RHS. Most teachers scored a level 3 summative rating on TKES. All efforts are made with each hire in every school to obtain teachers that are qualified for the position, and we provide support and professional learning to ensure that they are effective and we retain them. We are monitoring data in these areas to ensure we do not become disproportionate in any one area. We provide a mentor program to support new teachers, and we provide planning and modeling through academic coaches in every school to help ensure that they receive job-embedded professional learning. New teachers participate in yearlong professional development and are provided opportunities to observe veteran teachers and be observed by their mentors. Administrators create remediation and/or professional learning plans for teachers identified in need of support. For ineffective teachers, the academic coaches again provide modeling. District coordinators are also asked to provide additional support as needed. If we should hire an out-of field teacher in the system, resources are provided to help that teacher become in-field certified. Principals are responsible for overseeing the scheduling process at each school to ensure that Title I low-income and minority children are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers. The support of the administration, district coordinators, and mentors are given to help each teacher be successful</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>3 Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I School. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).</p> | <p>Rome High School is a Title I school. Rome High School serves students in grades 9-12. Courses are offered in all content areas, CTAE, physical education, and arts. Support classes are provided for Algebra and Geometry as one of a student's elective for those identified at-risk. An additional extended learning time will be newly implemented with the dual purpose of creating a teacher advisement time and an intervention time. Students will be divided into groups as needed for intervention, enrichment, and ACT/SAT prep during this time. Gifted students at Rome High are served through Advanced Content classes. High school English learners are served through content push-in and scheduled language acquisition courses. SWD at the high school are served through a variety of models, including inclusion, resource, and self-contained classrooms.</p> |
|---|--|

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <p>4 If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in constation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement mtiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.</p> | <p>NA</p> |
|--|-----------|

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

| | |
|--|--|
| 5 If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. | NA |
| 6 If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including: Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and Increased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills. | <p>Rome High School coordinates with Rome Middle School to offer school visits for students and parents of rising 8th grade students. Rome High School focuses on 9th grade students to help support the transition of students to the high school by providing additional support and assistance during this crucial year. Communication of curriculum and expectations are shared with parents and students before the school year begins, and teachers of 9th grade students are selected based on their success with younger high school students. Rome High School offers College Nights for parents and students to meet with college representatives and learn about applying for college and FAFSA. Additionally, seniors have access to college information through a senior webpage. AP Classes and Dual Enrollment classes have long been consistently offered at RHS to help students get a jump-start into earning college credits. This program will be expanded by working to have high school teachers certified to teach additional Dual Enrollment classes on campus. Meetings with local colleges and research has begun in order to implement this as soon as possible. Rome High School has plans to expand its Move on When Ready offerings as well as Virtual School Opportunities within the next 1-2 years. Edgenuity will be purchased for use in students recovering unit understanding and credit within the classroom to prevent course credit loss. This program additionally has a service that can allow for Virtual School opportunities. This service will be explored and researched for the best implementation.</p> |
| 7 Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students. | <p>Rome High School has implemented PBIS. As we begin our third year as PBIS district, we will enhance our PBIS implementation by analyzing SWIS behavior data and refining practices. As a district, we are adding a PBIS district coordinator role that will focus on meeting with the Rome City PBIS district leadership team, and PBIS school coaches regularly in order to review the data. Principals will also have regular meetings with the Assistant Superintendent and Title I Director to review their school improvement plan and progress towards goals. It is one of our Title II Equity Improvement area to reduce the disproportionality in ISS days for minority students. Our efforts will be focused in best practices in the classroom to ensure that what is going on in the classroom reduces the amount and frequency of students being sent out of the</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | room for misbehavior. Additionally for FY20 we have revised the JCDA school board policy on student discipline as well as our in-house procedures for administrators to help ensure consistent practices not only district-wide, but also within each building and grade band. Data will be reviewed throughout the year to ensure best practices are truly being implemented. |
|--|--|

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

| | |
|---|--|
| 8 Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan | |
|---|--|