

**KERMIT INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**School FIRST Annual Financial Management Report**  
**2016-2017**  
(with 2015-2016 Financial Data)

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

RATING YEAR



Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2015-2016 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: <b>KERMIT ISD(248901)</b>		Publication Level 1: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM	
Status: <b>Passed</b>		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM	
Rating: B = Above Standard		Last Updated: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM	
District Score: 86		Passing Score: 60	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:42 PM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
2.A	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM	Yes
2.B	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance (s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM	Yes
3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM	Yes
4			Yes

	<u>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM	
5	<u>Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	<u>Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM	6
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM	10
8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM	10
9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:45 PM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	5/18/2017 12:40:31 PM	0
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:45 PM	10
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	6/21/2017 8:34:24 PM	10
13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:46 PM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:46 PM	10
15	<u>Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?</u>	3/28/2017 12:36:47 PM	10

		86 Weighted Sum
		1 Multiplier Sum
		86 Score

## DETERMINATION OF RATING

<b>A.</b>	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is <b>F for Substandard Achievement</b> regardless of points earned.	
<b>B.</b>	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)	
	<b>A = Superior</b>	90-100
	<b>B = Above Standard</b>	80-89
	<b>C = Meets Standard</b>	60-79
	<b>F = Substandard Achievement</b>	<60
<b>No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.</b>		

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

[Help](#)[Home](#)[Log Out](#)

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 1

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:42 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
Date Received	2016/11/23
$\leq$ Due Date (Fiscal Year End + Deadline in Days After Fiscal Year End)	2017/02/28

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the audit report was on time or filed within 30 days of the deadline.

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

[Help](#)[Home](#)[Log Out](#)

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 2.A

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
Unmodified Opinion	true

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the district received an unmodified opinion in the AFR.

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

[Help](#)[Home](#)[Log Out](#)

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 2.B

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
Not Weak Internal Controls	false 

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the external auditor reported no material weaknesses in the audit report.

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

[Help](#)[Home](#)[Log Out](#)

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 3

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
Not Default Disclosures	false 

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if there were no disclosures in the annual financial report and/or other sources of information concerning default on debt agreements.

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

[Help](#)[Home](#)[Log Out](#)

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 4

<b>Name:</b>	<b>KERMIT ISD (248901)</b>
<b>Indicator:</b>	<b>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</b>
<b>Status</b>	Passed
<b>Last Updated:</b>	3/28/2017 12:36:43 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
Timely Payments to Government Agencies	true 

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the district made timely payments to the TRS, TWC, IRS, and other government agencies.

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE [TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY](#)  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 5

<b>Name:</b>	KERMIT ISD (248901)
<b>Indicator:</b>	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)
<b>Status</b>	Passed
<b>Last Updated:</b>	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value	
(		
(		
2015-2016 Total Membership	1,458	?
- 2011-2012 Total Membership	1,258	?
)		
/ 2011-2012 Total Membership	1,258	?
>= Threshold for Five-Year Percent Change in Students	0.1	?
)		
Or		
(		
(		
Total Unrestricted Net Position Balance	5,055,413	?
+ Accretion of Interest for Capital Appreciation Bonds	0	?
+ Net Pension Liability	3,621,471	?
)		
> 0		
)		
<b>Mathematical Breakdown: 0.159 &gt;= 0.1 Or 8,676,884 &gt; 0</b>		

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if EITHER of the following CONDITIONS is TRUE:  
1. The District's Five-Year Percent Change in Students was 10% or MORE.  
---- OR ----

2. The sum of Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets, Accretion of Interest for Capital Appreciation Bonds, and Net Pension Liability, as applicable, was GREATER THAN ZERO.

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE [TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY](#)

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 6

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	6
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value	
(		
(		
Cash and Equivalents	2,774,197	
+ Current Investments	0	
)		
/		
(		
Total Expenditures	14,666,247	
- Facilities Acquisition and Construction	0	
)		
)		
* 365		
Mathematical Breakdown: 69.0417		

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS					
10	8	6	4	2	0
>=90	<90 >=75	<74 >=60	<60 >=45	<45 >=30	<30

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

---

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 7

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value	
Current Assets	6,404,852	?
/ Current Liabilities	1,782,461	?
Mathematical Breakdown: 3.5933		

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS					
10	8	6	4	2	0
$\geq 3.00$	$<3.00 \geq 2.50$	$<2.50 \geq 2.00$	$<2.00 \geq 1.50$	$<1.50 \geq 1.00$	$<1.00$

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

[Help](#)
[Home](#)
[Log Out](#)



<b>Name:</b>	<b>KERMIT ISD (248901)</b>
<b>Indicator:</b>	<b>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</b>
<b>Result/Points</b>	10
<b>Last Updated:</b>	3/28/2017 12:36:44 PM

Field	Value
(	
(	
Long Term Liabilities	27,740,851
- Net Pension Liability	3,621,471
)	
/ Total Assets	38,093,954
<= 1	
)	
Or	
(	
(	
2016 Total Students	1,458
- 2012 Total Students	1,258
)	
/ 2012 Total Students	1,258
>= Threshold for Five-Year Percent Change in Students	0.1
)	

**Mathematical Breakdown: 0.6332 <= 1 Or 0.159 >= 0.1**

DETERMINATION OF POINTS				

10	8	6	4	2	0
$\leq 0.60$	$> 0.60 \leq 0.70$	$> 0.70 \leq 0.80$	$> 0.80 \leq 0.90$	$> 0.90 \leq 1.00$	$> 1.00$

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE [TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY](#)

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 9

<b>Name:</b>	KERMIT ISD (248901)
<b>Indicator:</b>	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?
<b>Result/Points</b>	10
<b>Last Updated:</b>	3/28/2017 12:36:45 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value	
(		
Total Revenue	14,013,184	?
/		
(		
Total Expenditures	14,666,247	?
- Facilities Acquisition and Construction	0	?
)		
- 1		
)		
>= 0		
Or		
(		
(		
Cash and Equivalents	2,774,197	?
+ Current Investments	0	?
)		
/		
(		
Total Expenditures	14,666,247	?
- Facilities Acquisition and Construction	0	?
)		
)		
* 365		
>= Acceptable Days Cash on Hand	60	?
Mathematical Breakdown: -0.0445 >= 0 Or 69.0417 >= 60		

**RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE****DETERMINATION OF POINTS**

<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>
<b><math>\geq 0\%</math></b>	<b><math>&lt; 0\%</math></b>

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 10

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	0
Last Updated:	5/18/2017 12:40:31 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
(	
Total Revenues (in the General Fund and Debt Service Fund)	16,074,980
- Total Expenditures (in the General Fund and Debt Service Fund)	16,741,385
+Debt Service function codes 71, 72, and 73 (in the General Fund and Debt Service Fund)	2,075,138
+Fund Code 599 (Ending Debt Service fund balance)	539,494
+Function Code 81	0
)	
/ Debt Service function codes 71, 72, and 73 (in the General Fund and Debt Service Fund)	2,075,138
<b>Mathematical Breakdown: 0.9388</b>	

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

#### DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
>=1.20	<1.20 >=1.15	<1.15 >=1.10	<1.10 >=1.05	<1.05 >=1.00	<1.00

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out

FIRST

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 11

<b>Name:</b>	KERMIT ISD (248901)
<b>Indicator:</b>	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)
<b>Result/Points</b>	10
<b>Last Updated:</b>	3/28/2017 12:36:45 PM

### FORMULA

<b>Field</b>	<b>Value</b>	
District Administrative Cost Ratio	0.0993	
And		
ADA	1,368	
Or		
Sparse	false	

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

#### DETERMINATION OF POINTS

ADA Size	10	8	6	4	2	0
10,000 and Above	<= 0.0855	> 0.0855 <= 0.1105	> 0.1105 <= 0.1355	> 0.1355 <= 0.1605	> 0.1605 <= 0.1855	> 0.1855
5,000 to 9,999	<= 0.1000	> 0.1000 <= 0.1250	> 0.1250 <= 0.1500	> 0.1500 <= 0.1750	> 0.1750 <= 0.2000	> 0.2000
1,000 to 4,999	<= 0.1151	> 0.1151 <= 0.1401	> 0.1401 <= 0.1651	> 0.1651 <= 0.1901	> 0.1901 <= 0.2151	> 0.2151
500 to 999	<= 0.1311					> 0.2311

		> 0.1311 <= 0.1561	> 0.1561 <= 0.1811	> 0.1811 <= 0.2061	> 0.2061 <= 0.2311	
Less than 500	<= 0.2404	> 0.2404 <= 0.2654	> 0.2654 <= 0.2904	> 0.2904 <= 0.3154	> 0.3154 <= 0.3404	> 0.3404
Sparse	<= 0.3364	> 0.3364 <= 0.3614	> 0.3614 <= 0.3864	> 0.3864 <= 0.4114	> 0.4114 <= 0.4364	> 0.4364

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 12

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	6/21/2017 8:34:24 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value	
(		
2015-2016 Total Enrollment	1,461	?
/ 2015-2016 Number of FTE Staff	215.4315	?
)		
/		
(		
2013-2014 Total Enrollment	1,438	?
/ 2013-2014 Number of FTE Staff	220.4333	?
)		
- 1		
> Threshold for Three-Year Percent Change in Ratio	-0.15	?
Or		
2015-2016 Total Enrollment	1,461	?
- 2013-2014 Total Enrollment	1,438	?
> 0		
Mathematical Breakdown: $0.0396 > -0.15$ Or $23 > 0$		

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS	
10	0
Yes	No

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE [TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY](#)  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District



Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 13

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:46 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value	
Sum of Differences	34	
/ Denominator	14,666,241	
< Acceptable Level of Variance	.03	
Mathematical Breakdown: $0 < 0.03$		

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS	
10	0
< 3%	>= 3%

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

[Help](#)[Home](#)[Log Out](#)

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 14

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:46 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
Not Material Non-Compliance	false

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS	
10	0
Yes	No

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0

User: Gayle Fuqua  
User Role: District

[Help](#)[Home](#)[Log Out](#)

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 15

Name:	KERMIT ISD (248901)
Indicator:	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/28/2017 12:36:47 PM

### FORMULA

Field	Value
No Adjusted Repayment Schedule	true

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS	
10	0
Yes	No

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

FIRST 4.5.9.0