## LAWTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS <br> 2019-2020 SCHOOLWIDE PLAN (SWP) REVIEW DOCUMENTATION \& SIGNATURE ASSURANCE

## SCHOOL

$\qquad$ DATE $\qquad$ TIME

11:00 AM

October 2019 (Due 10/31/2019) Review Purpose: OSTP Analysis, Annual Program Review, \& Update SWPMarch 2020 (Due 3/27/2020) Review Purpose: Parent and Family Engagement Policy \& School/Parent Compac May 2020 (Due 5/11/2020) Review Purpose: Annual Program Review, SMART Goals, \& Update SWF Other SWP Meeting (as needed throughout the school year

Oklahoma Nine Essential Elements of Effective Schools ~ 88 Indicators School Site Averages

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Indicator } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ |  | $\quad$ Elements \& Indicators |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Rating Avg. |  |  |
| (Scores range $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ |  |  |$)$


| Indicator <br> Number | Elements \& Indicators - (Continued) | Rating Avg. <br> (Scores range 1-4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESSENTIAL ELEMENT 5: Learning Environment - Student, Family, and Community Support <br> *The school/district leadership team works with families and community groups to remove barriers to learning in an effort to meet the intellectual, social, career, and developmental needs of students. <br> *The school leader sets high expectations for all students to learn high-level content. The school leader keeps everyone informed and focused on student achievement. The school leader includes parents as partners in education and creates a structure for parent and educator collaboration. |  | Element 5 Average: |
|  |  | 3.58 |
| 5.1 | Families and communities are active partners. | 3.34 |
| 5.2 | All students have access to all curriculum. | 3.68 |
| 5.3 | School provides organizational structure. | 3.64 |
| 5.4 | Student instructional assistance is provided outside of the classroom | 3.57 |
| 5.5 | The school/district maintains an accurate recordkeeping system. | 3.68 |
| ESSENTIAL ELEMENT 6: Learning Environment - Professional Growth, Development, \& Evaluation <br> *The school/district leadership team functions as an effective learning community and supports a climate conducive to performance excellence. <br> *The school leader provides opportunities for research based, results-driven professional development for staff and implements performance evaluation procedures in order to improve teaching and learning. |  | Element 6 Average: |
|  |  | 3.61 |
| 6.1 | Long-term professional development plans are written. | 3.57 |
| 6.2 | There is a plan to build instructional capacity with on-going professional development. | 3.57 |
| 6.3 | Professional development is aligned with student performance goals. | 3.64 |
| 6.4 | School improvement goals are connected to student learning goals. | 3.59 |
| 6.5 | Professional development is on-going and job-embedded. | 3.59 |
| 6.6 | Professional development is aligned to analysis of test data. | 3.52 |
| 6.7 | The school has a clearly defined teacher evaluation process. | 3.73 |
| 6.8 | The district and site leaders provide sufficient professional development resources. | 3.64 |
| 6.9 | Teacher evaluations and professional development plans are used effectively. | 3.66 |
| 6.10 | The evaluation process meets or exceeds statutes. | 3.63 |
| 6.11 | The needs of instructional leaders are addressed. | 3.57 |
| 6.12 | District and site leaders provide evaluation follow-up and support. | 3.57 |
| ESSENTIAL ELEMENT 7: Efficiency - Leadership <br> *The school/district leadership team provides instructional decisions focusing on support for teaching and learning, organizational direction, and high performance expectations. The school/district leadership team creates a learning culture and develops leadership capacity. <br> *The school leader creates both a focused mission to improve student achievement and a vision of how to implement the critical elements necessary for the alignment of curriculum, assessment, and instructional practices. |  | Element 7 Average: |
|  |  | 3.56 |
| 7.1 | The school and district leadership team has developed a shared vision. | 3.50 |
| 7.2 | Leadership decisions are collaborative and data-driven. | 3.60 |
| 7.3 | The leaders develop a professional development plan focused on effective skills. | 3.52 |
| 7.4 | The leadership team disaggregates data. | 3.59 |
| 7.5 | The leadership team provides access to curriculum and data. | 3.66 |
| 7.6 | The school leadership maximizes time effectiveness. | 3.50 |
| 7.7 | The school \& district leadership team provides resources, monitors progress \& removes barriers to learning. | 3.57 |
| 7.8 | The school and district leaders ensure a safe and effective learning environment. | 3.52 |
| 7.9 | The site and district leadership team develops effective school policies. | 3.48 |
| 7.10 | School policies have an intentional focus on student academic performance. | 3.59 |
| 7.11 | The leadership team has skills in academic performance, learning environment, and efficiency. | 3.59 |
| ESSENTIAL ELEMENT 8: Efficiency - Organizational Structure \& Resources <br> *The school/district leadership is organized to maximize use of all available resources to support high quality performance of students and staff. <br> *The school leader acquires and uses resources wisely for the purpose of student achievement. The school leader uses and organize time in innovative ways to meet the goals and objectives of school improvement. |  | Element 8 Average: |
|  |  | 3.58 |
| 8.1 | The school/district maximizes organization of resources for achievement. | 3.55 |
| 8.2 | Master schedule provides all students access to all curriculum. | 3.61 |
| 8.3 | Staffing is based on student needs. | 3.50 |
| 8.4 | The staff use of instructional time is efficient in order to maximize learning. | 3.66 |
| 8.5 | Vertical and horizontal team planning is focused on the improvement plan. | 3.34 |
| 8.6 | Schedule is aligned with student learning needs. | 3.59 |
| 8.7 | Resources are used equitably. | 3.66 |
| 8.8 | Budget for discretionary funds is directed by an assessment of needs. | 3.59 |
| 8.9 | Funds are aligned with school goals. | 3.64 |
| 8.10 | State and federal funds are allocated to align with school goals and data needs. | 3.70 |
| ESSENTIAL ELEMENT 9: Efficiency - Comprehensive \& Effective Planning <br> *The school/district leadership team develops, implements, and evaluates a comprehensive school improvement plan that communicates a clear purpose, direction, and action plan focused on teaching and learning. <br> *The school leader uses data to initiate and continue improvement in school and classroom practices and student achievement. |  | Element 9 Average: |
|  |  | 3.61 |
| 9.1 | A collaborative process is used that engages the entire school community. | 3.55 |
| 9.2 | The planning process involves collecting, managing, and analyzing data. | 3.73 |
| 9.3 | Data is used for school improvement planning. | 3.73 |
| 9.4 | Plans reflect research-based expectations for learning. | 3.68 |
| 9.5 | The school/district analyzes student learning needs. | 3.64 |
| 9.6 | Desired learning results are defined. | 3.64 |
| 9.7 | Data is used to determine strengths and limitations. | 3.68 |
| 9.8 | School goals are defined. | 3.61 |
| 9.9 | School improvement action steps are aligned with goals and objectives. | 3.64 |
| 9.10 | The plan identifies resources, timelines, and personnel responsibility. | 3.60 |
| 9.11 | A process is established to effectively evaluate plan. | 3.50 |
| 9.12 | The plan is aligned with mission, beliefs, school profile, and desired results. | 3.63 |
| 9.13 | The plan is implemented as developed. | 3.56 |
| 9.14 | Evaluate the degree of student learning set by the plan. | 3.52 |
| 9.15 | Evaluate degree of student performance specified in the plan. | 3.50 |
| 9.16 | There is evidence to sustain the commitment to continuous improvement. | 3.59 |

## PAT HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

2019-2020 ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW
Percentage of Students Showing Mastery on STAR Reading \& Early Literacy Assessments

| STAR Reading/Early Literature |  | derga |  |  | Gra |  |  | d Gra |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CHOC} \\ & \text { ary A } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SCE } \\ \text { (Prim } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L AVI } \\ & \text { \& Inte } \end{aligned}$ | RAGE <br> mediate) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRAND DATA | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| Reading Foundations | 13\% | 62\% |  | 33\% | 72\% |  | 57\% | 73\% |  | $34 \%$ | 69\% | \#DIV/0! | 45\% | 79\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Phonological Awareness | 13\% | 63\% |  | 39\% | 76\% |  |  | *N/A |  | 26\% | 70\% | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  |
| Print Concepts | 11\% | 62\% |  | 55\% | 83\% |  | 58\% | 77\% |  | 41\% | 74\% | \#DIV/0! |  | *N/A |  |
| Phonics \& Word Study | 14\% | 58\% |  | 27\% | 68\% |  | 57\% | 73\% |  | 33\% | 66\% | \#DIV/0! |  | /A |  |
| Fluency | 16\% | 70\% |  | 26\% | 69\% |  | 58\% | 75\% |  | 33\% | 71\% | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  |
| Reading \& Writing Process | 7\% | 56\% |  | 12\% | 56\% |  | 55\% | 59\% |  | 25\% | 57\% | \#DIV/0! | 37\% | 68\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Critical Reading \& Writing | 4\% | 49\% |  | 13\% | 58\% |  | 53\% | 63\% |  | 23\% | 57\% | \#DIV/0! | 37\% | 68\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Vocabulary | 36\% | 70\% |  | 18\% | 62\% |  | 56\% | 70\% |  | 37\% | 67\% | \#DIV/0! | 47\% | 76\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Language | *N/A |  |  | *N/A |  |  | *N/A |  |  | *N/A |  |  | *N/A |  |  |
| Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multimodal Literacies | 4\% | 48\% |  | 13\% | 58\% |  | 55\% | 69\% |  | 24\% | 58\% | \#DIV/0! | 39\% | 70\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Independent Reading | 6\% | 57\% |  | 16\% | 62\% |  | 55\% | 58\% |  | 26\% | 59\% | \#DIV/0! | 40\% | 72\% | \#DIV/0! |


| STAR Reading STRAND DATA | 3rd Grade |  |  | 4th Grade |  |  | 5th Grade |  |  | SCHOOLIntermediate Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| Reading Foundations | 50\% | 89\% |  | 60\% | 87\% |  |  | *N/A |  | 55\% | 88\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Reading \& Writing Process | 35\% | 80\% |  | 54\% | 77\% |  | 56\% | 77\% |  | 48\% | 78\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Critical Reading \& Writing | 39\% | 83\% |  | 56\% | 78\% |  | 54\% | 74\% |  | 50\% | 78\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Vocabulary | 50\% | 88\% |  | 59\% | 83\% |  | 63\% | 81\% |  | 57\% | 84\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Language | *N/A |  |  | *N/A |  |  | *N/A |  |  | *N/A |  |  |
| Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multimodal Literacies | 43\% | 85\% |  | 59\% | 82\% |  | 60\% | 80\% |  | 54\% | 82\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Independent Reading | 38\% | 83\% |  | 59\% | 86\% |  | 68\% | 86\% |  | 55\% | 85\% | \#DIV/0! |


| STAR READING <br> OVERALL STRENGTH | BOY | MOY | EOY | STRENGTH TRENDS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | None Identified | Vocabulary |  |  |
| First Grade | None Identified | None Identified |  |  |
| Second Grade | None Identified | Vocabulary |  |  |
| Third Grade | None Identified | All Assessed Strands |  |  |
| Fourth Grade | None Identified | All Assessed Strands |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | None Identified | All Assessed Strands |  |  |
| SCHOOL | None Identified | Vocabulary |  |  |
| STAR READING |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL WEAKNESS | BOY | MOY |  |  |
| Kindergarten | All Assessed Strands | Critical Reading \& Writing |  |  |
| FFirst Grade | All Assessed Strands | Reading \& Writing Process |  |  |
| Second Grade | All Assessed Strands | Reading \& Writing Process |  |  |
| Third Grade | All Assessed Strands | None Identified |  |  |
| Fourth Grade | All Assessed Strands | None Identified |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | All Assessed Strands | None Identified |  |  |
| SCHOOL | All Assessed Strands | Reading \& Writing Process |  |  |

## PAT HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## 2019-2020 ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

Percentage of Students Showing Mastery on STAR Math Assessments

| STAR Math STRAND DATA | *Kindergarten |  |  | 1st Grade |  |  | 2nd Grade |  |  | SCHOOL <br> Primary Average |  |  | SCHOOL AVERAGE (Primary \& Intermediate) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| Numbers \& Operations | *N/A |  |  | 6\% | 44\% |  | 10\% | 53\% |  | 8\% | 49\% | \#DIV/0! | 11\% | 50\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Algebraic Reasoning \& Algebra |  |  |  | 7\% | 44\% |  | 4\% | 48\% |  | 6\% | 46\% | \#DIV/0! | 9\% | 48\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Geometry \& Measurement |  |  |  | 5\% | 41\% |  | 7\% | 50\% |  | 6\% | 46\% | \#DIV/0! | 8\% | 45\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Data \& Probability |  |  |  | 7\% | 41\% |  | 6\% | 46\% |  | 7\% | 44\% | \#DIV/0! | 16\% | 49\% | \#DIV/0! |


| STAR Math STRAND DATA | 3rd Grade |  |  | 4th Grade |  |  | 5th Grade |  |  | SCHOOL <br> Intermediate Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY | BOY | MOY | EOY |
| Numbers \& Operations | 14\% | 65\% |  | 16\% | 47\% |  | 11\% | 40\% |  | 14\% | 51\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Algebraic Reasoning \& Algebra | 6\% | 54\% |  | 22\% | 53\% |  | 12\% | 40\% |  | 13\% | 49\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Geometry \& Measurement | 11\% | 56\% |  | 14\% | 42\% |  | 4\% | 35\% |  | 10\% | 44\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Data \& Probability | 34\% | 70\% |  | 9\% | $37 \%$ |  | 36\% | 59\% |  | 26\% | 55\% | \#DIV/0! |


| STAR Math <br> OVERALL STRENGTH | BOY | MOY | EOY | STRENGTH TRENDS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Grade | None Identified | None Identified |  |  |
| Second Grade | None Identified | None Identified |  |  |
| Third Grade | None Identified | Data \& Probability |  |  |
| Fourth Grade | None Identified | None Identified |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | None Identified | None Identified |  |  |
| SCHOOL | None Identified | None Identified |  |  |
| STAR Math | BOY | MOY |  |  |
| OVERALL WEAKNESS | All Assessed Strands | Geometry \& Measurement |  |  |
| First Grade | All Assessed Strands | Data \& Probability |  |  |
| Second Grade | All Assessed Strands | Algebraic Reasoning |  |  |
| Third Grade | All Assessed Strands | Data \& Probability |  |  |
| Fourth Grade | All Assessed Strands | Geometry \& Measurement |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | All Assessed Strands | Geometry \& Measurement |  |  |
| SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |

## PAT HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## 2019-2020 ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

Percentage of FAY Students Showing Mastery on the OSTP ELA ~ Comparing 4 Years of Data

| OSTP ELASTRAND DATA | 3rd Grade |  |  |  | 4th Grade |  |  |  | 5th Grade |  |  |  | SCHOOL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 |
| ELA TOTAL | 44\% | 58\% | 34\% | Pending | 28\% | 64\% | 28\% | Pending | 39\% | 78\% | 27\% | Pending | 37\% | 67\% | 30\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Reading \& Writing Process | 60\% | 39\% | 50\% | Pending | 50\% | 46\% | 54\% | Pending | 55\% | 52\% | 43\% | Pending | 55\% | 46\% | 49\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Critical Reading \& Writing | 60\% | 49\% | 56\% | Pending | 66\% | 53\% | 43\% | Pending | 62\% | 66\% | 47\% | Pending | 63\% | 56\% | 49\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Vocabulary | 75\% | 49\% | 66\% | Pending | 61\% | 54\% | 39\% | Pending | 59\% | 60\% | 53\% | Pending | 65\% | 54\% | 53\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Language | 70\% | 51\% | 61\% | Pending | 68\% | 81\% | 77\% | Pending | 61\% | 73\% | 83\% | Pending | 66\% | 68\% | 74\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Research | 76\% | 57\% | 52\% | Pending | 69\% | 58\% | 52\% | Pending | 70\% | 67\% | 67\% | Pending | 72\% | 61\% | 57\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Writing Composite Score | *N/A |  |  |  | *N/A |  |  |  |  |  | 21\% | Pending | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | 21\% | \#DIV/0! |


| OSTP ELA OVERALL STRENGTH | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | STRENGTH TRENDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third Grade | Research | None Identified | None Identified |  | Language |
| Fourth Grade | None Identified | Language | Language |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | Research | Language | Language |  |  |
| SCHOOL | Research | Language | Language |  |  |
| OSTP ELA OVERALL WEAKNESS | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | WEAKNESS TRENDS |
| Third Grade | None Identified | Reading \& Writing Process | Multiple Strands |  | Reading \& Writing Process |
| Fourth Grade | Reading \& Writing Process | Reading \& Writing Process | Multiple Strands |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | Critical Reading \& Writing | Reading \& Writing Process | Multiple Strands |  |  |
| SCHOOL | Reading \& Writing Process | Reading \& Writing Process | Multiple Strands |  |  |

## PAT HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL <br> 2019-2020 ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

Percentage of FAY Students Showing Mastery on the OSTP Math ~ Comparing 3 Years of Data

| OSTP MathSTRAND DATA | 3rd Grade |  |  |  | 4th Grade |  |  |  | 5th Grade |  |  |  | SCHOOL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 |
| MATH TOTAL | 48\% | 58\% | 37\% | Pending | 34\% | 61\% | 15\% | Pending | 37\% | 84\% | 41\% | Pending | 40\% | 68\% | 31\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Numbers \& Operations | 76\% | 34\% | 57\% | Pending | 47\% | 31\% | 36\% | Pending | 51\% | 38\% | 51\% | Pending | 58\% | 34\% | 48\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Algebraic Reasoning \& Algebra | 82\% | 61\% | 58\% | Pending | 67\% | 46\% | 53\% | Pending | 49\% | 70\% | 71\% | Pending | 66\% | 59\% | 61\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Geometry \& Measurement | 66\% | 42\% | 52\% | Pending | 42\% | 41\% | 37\% | Pending | 61\% | 45\% | 57\% | Pending | 56\% | 43\% | 49\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Data \& Probability | 66\% | 51\% | 71\% | Pending | 72\% | 66\% | 76\% | Pending | 80\% | 79\% | 68\% | Pending | 73\% | 65\% | 72\% | \#DIV/0! |


| OSTP Math OVERALL STRENGTH | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | STRENGTH TRENDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third Grade | Algebraic Reasoning | None Identified | Data \& Probability |  | Data \& Probability |
| Fourth Grade | Data \& Probability | None Identified | Data \& Probability |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | Data \& Probability | Data \& Probability | Algebraic Reasoning |  |  |
| SCHOOL | Data \& Probability | None Identified | Data \& Probability |  |  |
| OSTP Math OVERALL WEAKNESS | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | WEAKNESS TRENDS |
| Third Grade | None Identified | Number \& Operations | Multiple Strands |  | Numbers \& Operations, Geometry \& Measurement |
| Fourth Grade | Geometry \& Measurement | Number \& Operations | Multiple Strands |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | Algebraic Reasoning | Number \& Operations | Multiple Strands |  |  |
| SCHOOL | None Identified | Number \& Operations | Multiple Strands |  |  |

PAT HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2019-2020 ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

Percentage of FAY Students Showing Mastery on the OSTP ELA~Comparing 4 Years of Data by SUBGROUPS

| OSTP ELA DATA | 3rd Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SCHOOL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by SUBGROUP | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| \# of Students in SubGroup \& \% of SubGroup Scoring At or Above Proficient | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \% of Sub-Group Scoring At or Above Proficient |  |  |  |
| Ethnicity Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 2 | 50\% | 7 | 14\% | 4 | 25\% |  |  | 3 | 67\% | 3 | 67\% | 6 | 17\% |  |  | 5 | 0\% | 5 | 20\% | 2 | 0\% |  |  | 39\% | 34\% | 14\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Black/African American | 12 | 42\% | 18 | 17\% | 21 | 19\% |  |  | 19 | 16\% | 10 | 50\% | 16 | 31\% |  |  | 10 | 10\% | 20 | 15\% | 16 | 25\% |  |  | 23\% | 27\% | 25\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Asian |  |  |  |  | 1 | 100\% |  |  | 1 | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Hispanic/Latino | 12 | 58\% | 15 | 7\% | 16 | 56\% |  |  | 25 | 24\% | 15 | 33\% | 12 | 8\% |  |  | 11 | 36\% | 18 | 39\% | 17 | 41\% |  |  | 39\% | 26\% | 35\% | \#DIV/0! |
| White | 23 | 39\% | 21 | 19\% | 26 | 31\% |  |  | 19 | 47\% | 21 | 19\% | 13 | 38\% |  |  | 21 | 67\% | 24 | 38\% | 19 | 16\% |  |  | 51\% | 25\% | 28\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 1 | 0\% |  |  | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0\% |  |  | 1 | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Two or More Races | 12 | 42\% | 6 | 33\% | 13 | 46\% |  |  | 7 | 14\% | 9 | 22\% | 7 | 43\% |  |  | 5 | 40\% | 5 | 40\% | 8 | 38\% |  |  | 32\% | 32\% | 42\% | \#DIV/0! |
| No Ethnicity Info Provided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0\% |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! | 0\% | 0\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Economically Disadvantaged Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 52 | 44\% | 61 | 18\% | 75 | 35\% |  |  | 69 | 26\% | 49 | 29\% | 52 | 23\% |  |  | 46 | 37\% | 65 | 31\% | 54 | 24\% |  |  | 36\% | 26\% | 27\% | \#DIV/0! |
| NOT Economically Disadvantaged | 10 | 40\% | 6 | 0\% | 7 | 57\% |  |  | 5 | 60\% | 10 | 40\% | 5 | 60\% |  |  | 8 | 50\% | 8 | 38\% | 9 | 44\% |  |  | 50\% | 26\% | 54\% | \#DIV/0! |
| ELL Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 4 | 25\% | 11 | 9\% | 14 | 64\% |  |  | 6 | 0\% | 5 | 0\% | 5 | 0\% |  |  | 2 | 0\% | 7 | 0\% | 2 | 0\% |  |  | 8\% | 3\% | 21\% | \#DIV/0! |
| NOT ELL | 58 | 45\% | 56 | 18\% | 68 | 31\% |  |  | 68 | 31\% | 54 | 33\% | 52 | 29\% |  |  | 52 | 40\% | 66 | 35\% | 61 | 28\% |  |  | 39\% | 29\% | 29\% | \#DIV/0! |
| IEP Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IEP | 21 | 19\% | 25 | 8\% | 20 | 15\% |  |  | 19 | 32\% | 22 | 14\% | 21 | 10\% |  |  | 17 | 6\% | 18 | 28\% | 21 | 19\% |  |  | 19\% | 17\% | 15\% | \#DIV/0! |
| NOT IEP | 41 | 56\% | 42 | 21\% | 62 | 44\% |  |  | 55 | 27\% | 37 | 41\% | 36 | 36\% |  |  | 37 | 54\% | 55 | 33\% | 42 | 31\% |  |  | 46\% | 32\% | 37\% | \#DIV/0! |
| OSTP ELA SUBGROUP(S) OF LEAST CONCERN | $2017$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  | School SUBGROUPStrength Trends |  |  |  |
| Third Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fifth Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OSTP ELA SUBGROUP(S) OF GREATEST CONCERN | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  | School SUBGROUP <br> Weakness Trends |  |  |  |
| Third Grade | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Two or More Races, Eco. Dis., IEP |  |  |  |  |  | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis.,ELL, IEP |  |  |  |  |  | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Two or More Races, Eco. Dis., ELL, IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis., IEP |  |  |  |
| Fourth Grade | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis.,IEP |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {IEP }}^{\text {Black/AA, Hispanic/LLatino, White, Eco. Dis., }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis.,IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis.,IEP |  |  |  |  |  | Ilack/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis., |  |  |  |  |  | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis.,IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOL | ${ }_{\text {IEP }}^{\text {Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, white, Eco. DIIs., }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, white, Eco. Dis.,ELL, IEP |  |  |  |  |  | Black/AA, Hispanic/Latino, White, Eco. Dis.,IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PAT HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

2019-2020 ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

Percentage of FAY Students Showing Mastery on the OSTP Math ~ Comparing 4 Years of Data by SUBGROUPS

| OSTP MATH DATA | 3rd Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SCHOOL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by SUBGROUP | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| \# of Students in SubGroup \& \% of SubGroup Scoring At or Above Proficient | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \% of Sub-Group Scoring At or Above Proficient |  |  |  |
| Ethnicity Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 2 | 50\% | 7 | 10\% | 4 | 25\% |  |  | 3 | 67\% | 3 | 0\% | 6 | 0\% |  |  | 5 | 20\% | 5 | 0\% | 2 | 50\% |  |  | 46\% | 3\% | 25\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Black/African American | 12 | 42\% | 18 | 11\% | 21 | 24\% |  |  | 19 | 16\% | 10 | 30\% | 16 | 13\% |  |  | 10 | 20\% | 20 | 10\% | 16 | 25\% |  |  | 26\% | 17\% | 21\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Asian |  |  |  |  | 1 | 100\% |  |  | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Hispanic/Latino | 12 | 67\% | 15 | 13\% | 16 | 56\% |  |  | 25 | 32\% | 15 | 20\% | 12 | 8\% |  |  | 11 | 27\% | 18 | 44\% | 17 | 53\% |  |  | 42\% | 26\% | 39\% | \#DIV/0! |
| White | 23 | 48\% | 21 | 29\% | 26 | 31\% |  |  | 19 | 47\% | 21 | 24\% | 13 | 23\% |  |  | 21 | 52\% | 24 | 50\% | 19 | 37\% |  |  | 49\% | 34\% | 30\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 1 | 100\% |  |  | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0\% |  |  | 1 | 100\% |  |  | 50\% | 100\% | 100\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Two or More Races | 12 | 33\% | 6 | 33\% | 13 | 38\% |  |  | 7 | 29\% | 9 | 33\% | 7 | 29\% |  |  | 5 | 40\% | 5 | 60\% | 8 | 50\% |  |  | 34\% | 42\% | 39\% | \#DIV/0! |
| No Ethnicity Info Provided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0\% |  |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! | 0\% | 0\% | \#DIV/0! |
| Economically Disadvantaged Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 52 | 48\% | 61 | 20\% | 76 | 36\% |  |  | 69 | 33\% | 49 | 24\% | 52 | 12\% |  |  | 46 | 35\% | 65 | 34\% | 54 | 39\% |  |  | 39\% | 26\% | 29\% | \#DIV/0! |
| NOT Economically Disadvantaged | 10 | 50\% | 6 | 0\% | 7 | 43\% |  |  | 5 | 40\% | 10 | 30\% | 5 | 40\% |  |  | 8 | 50\% | 8 | 50\% | 9 | 56\% |  |  | 47\% | 27\% | 46\% | \#DIV/0! |
| ELL Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 4 | 100\% | 11 | 18\% | 14 | 50\% |  |  | 6 | 17\% | 5 | 20\% | 5 | 20\% |  |  | 2 | 50\% | 7 | 29\% | 2 | 50\% |  |  | 56\% | 22\% | 40\% | \#DIV/0! |
| NOT ELL | 58 | 45\% | 56 | 18\% | 68 | 34\% |  |  | 68 | 35\% | 54 | 26\% | 52 | 13\% |  |  | 52 | 37\% | 66 | 36\% | 61 | 41\% |  |  | 39\% | 27\% | 29\% | \#DIV/0! |
| IEP Sub-Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IEP | 21 | 38\% | 25 | 8\% | 20 | 15\% |  |  | 19 | 37\% | 22 | 14\% | 21 | 5\% |  |  | 17 | 18\% | 18 | 22\% | 21 | 24\% |  |  | 31\% | 15\% | 15\% | \#DIV/0! |
| NOT IEP | 41 | 54\% | 42 | 24\% | 62 | 44\% |  |  | 55 | 33\% | 37 | 32\% | 36 | 19\% |  |  | 37 | 46\% | 55 | 40\% | 42 | 50\% |  |  | 44\% | 32\% | 38\% | \#DIV/0! |
| OSTP MATH SUBGROUP(S) OF LEAST CONCERN | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  | School SUBGROUPStrength Trends |  |  |  |
| Third Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fifth Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OSTP MATH SUBGROUP(S) OF GREATEST CONCERN | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  | School SUBGROUP <br> Weakness Trends |  |  |  |
| Third Grade | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, White, Two or More Races, IEP |  |  |  |  |  | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino. White, ELL, IEP |  |  |  |  |  | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino. White, Two or More Races, IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, White |  |  |  |
| Fourth Grade | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, White, Economically Disadvantaged, ELL |  |  |  |  |  | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, White, Economically Disadvantaged, IEP |  |  |  |  |  | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino. White, Economically Disadvanteged, IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fifth Grade | White, Economically Disadvantaged, ELLBlack/African American, Hispanic/Latino. |  |  |  |  |  | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, |  |  |  |  |  | Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino,White, Economically Disadvantaged, IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOL | White, Economically Disadvantaged, IEP Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino. White |  |  |  |  |  | White, Economically Disadvantaged, IEP Black/Atrican American, Hispanic/Latino. White, IEP |  |  |  |  |  | White, Economically Disadvantaged, IEP Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino. White, IEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2019-2020 ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW

## OSDE School Report Card~2017-2020

1) Go to www.oklaschools.com and open the report card for your school. 2) Use each Indicator section of the Report Card to complete the charts.

| OSDE School Report Card Overview | 2017-2018 |  |  | 2018-2019 |  |  | **2019-2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Click on OVERVIEW. 2. Enter the letter grade for each category. Points Earned column will auto-populate as the charts below are completed. | Letter Grade | Points Possible | Points <br> Earned | Letter Grade | Points <br> Possible | Points <br> Earned | Letter Grade | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| Academic Achievement | C | 35 | 15.20 | C | 35 | 15.80 |  |  |  |
| Academic Growth | C | 30 | 14.11 | C | 30 | 16.30 |  |  |  |
| English Language Proficiency Progress | C | 15 | 9.00 | B | 15 | 10.50 |  |  |  |
| Chronic Absenteeism | C | 10 | 3.83 | D | 10 | 2.10 |  |  |  |
| OVERALL | C | 90 | 42.14 | C | 90 | 44.70 |  |  |  |


| ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT <br> 1. Click on INDICATORS - ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT. <br> 2. Click on each tab on the right to record the $\%$ of points earned \& points earned. (Points earned appear on the left of the webpage.) | 2017-2018 |  |  | 2018-2019 |  |  | **2019-2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Points <br> Possible | Points <br> Earned |  | Points <br> Possible | Points <br> Earned |  | Points Possible | Points <br> Earned |
| ELA |  | 15 | 6.30 |  | 15 | 5.90 |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  | 15 | 6.00 |  | 15 | 6.60 |  |  |  |
| Science |  | 5 | 2.90 |  | 5 | 3.30 |  |  |  |
| COMPOSITE | 43.43\% | 35 | 15.20 | 45.14\% | 35 | 15.80 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACADEMIC GROWTH <br> 1. Click on INDICATORS - ACADEMIC GROWTH. Use the 1st chart. <br> 2. Click on each tab on the right to record the $\%$ of points earned \& points earned. (\% appear on the on the right and points earned appear on the left of the webpage.) | 2017-2018 |  |  | 2018-2019 |  |  | **2019-2020 |  |  |
|  |  | Points Possible | Points <br> Earned |  | Points Possible | Points <br> Earned |  | Points <br> Possible | Points <br> Earned |
| ELA |  | 15 | 5.2 |  | 15 | 5.3 |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  | 15 | 8.9 |  | 15 | 11.0 |  |  |  |
| COMPOSITE | 47.0\% | 30 | 14.1 | 54.3\% | 30 | 16.3 |  |  |  |


| ELP PROGRESS | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | **2019-2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Click on INDICATORS - ELP PROGRESS. Use the 1st chart. <br> 2. Record the points earned. (Points earned appear on the left of the webpage.) | Points Possible | Points <br> Earned | Points Possible | Points Earned | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| English Language Proficiency Progress | 15 | 9.0 | 15 | 10.5 |  |  |


| CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM <br> 1. Click on INDICATORS -CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM. Use the 1st chart. <br> 2. Record the points earned. (Points earned appear on the left of the webpage.) | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | **2019-2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Points Possible | Points Earned | Points Possible | Points Earned | Points Possible | Points Earned |
| Chronic Absenteeism | 10 | 3.8 | 10 | 2.1 |  |  |

## OVERVIEW by PRIORITY GROUPS

1. Click on OVERVIEW and scroll down to view grades.
2. Click on VIEW BY STUDENT GROUPS on the top right
3. Select each of the subgroups below one at a time and record the report card letter grades for the subgroup in ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT, ACADEMIC GROWTH, and OVERALL GRADE. *Select the subgroups as shown below; not the ones labeled as priority in the drop down menu. (This creates a pop-up window. Close the pop-up window before selecting the next subgroup.)
*If the site has less than 10 students in a particular priority group, there will not be an option to select that group. Enter N/A in those cells.

| 2017-2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

Priority Student Groups

| Students with Disabilities |
| :--- |
| Economically Disadvantaged |
| English Learner |
| Black |
| Hispanic |
| White |
| American Indian |
| Asian/Pacific Islander |
| Two or More Races |


|  | NA | D | D | NA | D | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NA | C | C | NA | C | C |
|  | NA | D | D | NA | C | C |
|  | NA | C | D | NA | C | D |
|  | NA | C | C | NA | B | C |
|  | NA | C | C | NA | D | D |
|  | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | NA | D | D | NA | B | C |

**2019-2020 No OSDE Report Card Data due to Covid 19

