Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central Schools Annual Performance Review for UPK Teachers

Name:		Date:	
Position:	Bu	ilding:	
Administrator:			
APR for school year:			
	Probatio	nary only	
	transitional or initial	on (specify area)	
	professional permanent		
Date Hired	Ant	icipated Tenure Date	
ASSIGNMENT (INC	LUDE GRADE-LEVEL AN	D/OR SUBJECT)	
SPECIAL ASSIGNM	ENT(S) AND/OR RESPONS	SIBILITIES	
T 1-4 41		Cenured	
	date, time, and subject for w Observation		Subject
1.			
Pre	Prol Observation	bationary Post	Subject
		TOSt	Subject
2.			
3.			
Evaluator, do you reco	ommend that this staff mem	ber continue in the RC	S School District?
☐ Provisionally, based on	completion of the proposed activities	es and improvement in the area	as documented below

No
 เพก

PURPOSE OF ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

The purpose of this annual performance review is to help improve performance or potential for performance by assessing strengths and identifying areas for improvement and growth.

GENERAL DIRECTIONS

This review, when completed, is to be discussed at a conference with the staff member and evaluator. A copy of the proposed review should be provided no later than two school days prior to the conference. Further, a maximum of five school days should be given to the teacher, following the conference, to respond in writing if so desired.

A personal copy of this review, in its completed state, is to be provided to the staff member when it becomes part of the teacher's personnel file. All correspondence related to this review should be included with the original document when it is filed.

Pre-K teachers will utilize the currently agreed upon APPR MOA Teacher Observation Scoring Worksheet for a formal announced observation ("Form B" for Teachers) and Evidence Binder elements and End of Year Self-Reflection ("Form C" for teachers). Pre-K teachers will also complete Pre-Conference and Post-Conference questions that need to be submitted to the evaluator no later than one (1) school day prior to his/her pre and post conferences respectively. There will be no unannounced observations for Pre-K teachers. The average of these combined elements will equal 100% of the each pre-K teacher's APR score.

QUESTIONS FOR PRE-CONFERENCE MEETING Revised 2014

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
How were the objectives established?	
What data and sources informed their	
selection?	
How will the teacher know and how will	
students demonstrate achievement of the	
instructional objectives?	
How does the lesson plan connect other	
learning opportunities?	
How do those strategies promote high	
levels of cognition?	
How is this lesson organized to address	
short- and long-term learning objectives?	
How has student achievement data	
informed the instruction, and how does this	
lesson specifically address the identified	
student?	
How will instruction be differentiated to	
meet the needs of all students?	
What is the pre- and post-requisite	
knowledge related to this lesson?	
What misconceptions may students have	
related to this topic?	
How might these learning obstacles be	
addressed?	
How will student learning be assessed,	
including both formative and summative	
assessments?	
How might instruction be modified if	
students have not demonstrated the	
expected level of understanding during the	
lesson?	

QUESTIONS FOR POST-CONFERENCE MEETING Revised 2014

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
As you reflect on the lesson, were the	
students cognitively engaged in the work?	
How do you know?	
How did the instructional strategies you	
chose support student learning? How do	
you know?	
What have you done to promote a culture	
for learning in your classroom?	
Did you alter your lesson plan or adjust	
your outcomes as you taught the lesson? If	
so, how, and for what reason?	

Teacher: Date:

Subject: Time:

Evaluator:

		HEDI Scoring				
Element	Evaluator Evidence/Notes	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective	Teacher Comments/Responses
		4	3	2	1	
2.1 Teachers demonstrate knowledge of the content they teach, including relationships among central concepts, tools of inquiry, structures and current developments within their discipline(s).						
2.3 Teachers use a broad range of instructional strategies to make subject matter accessible.						
2.5 Teachers design relevant instruction that connects students' prior understanding and experiences to new knowledge.						
3.1 Teachers use research based practices and evidence of student learning to provide developmentally appropriate and standards driven instruction that motivates and engages students in learning.						
3.2 Teachers communicate clearly and accurately with students to maximize their understanding and learning.						

		HEDI Scoring				
Element	Evaluator Evidence/Notes	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective	Teacher Comments/Responses
		4	3	2	1	
3.6 Teachers monitor and assess student progress, seek and provide feedback, and adapt instruction to student needs.						
4.2 Teachers create an intellectually challenging and stimulating learning environment.						
4.3 Teachers manage the learning environment for the effective operation of the classroom.						
5.1 Teachers design, adapt, select and use a range of assessment tools and processes to measure and document student learning and growth.						
5.2 Teachers understand, analyze, interpret, and use assessment data to monitor student progress and to plan and differentiate instruction.						
development and reflection.3 Effective means more advanced i2 Developing means having requisi	nced in the profession showing throug n a profession; it implies a competenc te or adequate ability or qualities of k ssary knowledge and/or required den	e derived fro nowledge or	om training a			cilizes ongoing professional
Overall Score (check one): \Box 4	□ 3	\square 2		1		
HEDI Rating (check one): ☐ Highly Effect	ive □ Effective □ □	Developing	☐ Ineff	ective		
APR for UPK Teachers ver. 14-15			Page (6		

Additional comments by evaluator (if needed):					
Additional	comments by staff member (if needed):				
Identified	Probational area(s) in need of improvement:	ry Only			
	area(s) in need of improvements				
Suggestion	ns for improvement:				
Date	Proposed Activity	Documentation of Progress/Improvement			

			*
	Administrator Signature	Date	
_	Staff Member Signature*	 Date	

^{*} The signature of the staff member indicates that he/she has read this review and has knowledge of, but not necessarily agreement with, its content

Form C: Evidence Binder Pre-K Teacher

			HEDI S			
Element	Evaluator Evidence/Notes	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective	Teacher Comments/Responses
		4	3	2	1	Comments/Responses
1.1 Teachers demonstrate knowledge of child and adolescent development, including students' cognitive, language, social, emotional, and physical developmental levels.						
1.2 & 7.4 Teachers demonstrate current, research-based knowledge of learning and language acquisition theories and processes./ Utilize professional resources						
1.3 Teachers demonstrate knowledge of and are responsive to diverse learning needs, interests, and experiences of all students.						
1.4, 6.2 & 6.3 Teachers acquire knowledge of individual students, families, guardians, and/or caregivers to enhance student learning./ Culture that supports high expectations for student learning.						
1.5 & 6.1 Teachers demonstrate knowledge of and are responsive to the economic, social, cultural, linguistic, family, and community factors that influence their students' learning.						

1.6 Teachers demonstrate						
knowledge and understanding	of					
technological and information						
literacy and how they affect stu	udent					
learning.						
7.1& 7.2 Teachers reflect on the	neir					
practice to improve instruction	al					
effectiveness and guide profess	sional					
growth. Teachers set goals.						
 Key 4 Highly Effective means well advanced in the profession showing through evidence of improved professional practice; utilizes ongoing professional development and reflection. 3 Effective means more advanced in a profession; it implies a competence derived from training and practice. 2 Developing means having requisite or adequate ability or qualities of knowledge or skill. 1 Ineffective means lacking in necessary knowledge and/or required demonstration of skill. 						
Overall Score (check one):	□ 4	□ 3	□ 2	□ 1		
HEDI Rating (check one): ☐ High	nly Effective	Effective \square De	eveloping [☐ Ineffective		

End of Year Self Reflection

(Each response should not exceed 250 words)

How did the objectives and activities/strategies improve my practice and increase earning
for all students, particularly the performance of students with disabilities, underachieving
populations and/or the performance of high performing students?
How did changes in curriculum or developments in my content area contribute to my
professional growth as a teacher and were you able to incorporate what you learned into
your teaching and professional practice?
W/h at massaymass, atmats airs/alvilla, an aymment is necessary for me to make massaymal.
What resources, strategies/skills, or support is necessary for me to make professional growth?
growth:

Staff member, in this space, please identify your professi (probationary goals should be developed in collaboration	
Administrator Signature	Date
Staff Member Signature*	Date

^{*} The signature of the staff member indicates that he/she has read this review and has knowledge of, but not necessarily agreement with, its content