

## **Special Education Annual Reports**

## 2020-2021 Annual Performance Summary Charlton County

1	Graduation Rate	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	State Target 74.46%	<b>LEA Rate</b> 83.33%	Met LEA Target Y
2	Drop out Rate	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	State Target 22.56%	<b>LEA Rate</b> 16.67%	Met Target Y
3A	Participation Rate RELA	Percentage of students with disabilities in grade four who participated in statewide assessment (RELA)	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	<b>LEA Rate</b> 92.31%	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Percentage of students with disabilities in grade eight who participated in statewide assessment (RELA)	Baseline	87.5%	FY21 New Baseline
		Percentage of students with disabilities in high school who participated in statewide assessment (RELA)	Baseline	78.57%	FY21 New Baseline
3A	Participation Rate Math	Percentage of students with disabilities in grade four who participated in statewide assessment (Math)	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	<b>LEA Rate</b> 92.31%	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Percentage of students with disabilities in grade eight who participated in statewide assessment (Math)	Baseline	87.5%	FY21 New Baseline
		Percentage of students with disabilities in high school who participated in statewide assessment (Math)	Baseline	92.86%	FY21 New Baseline
3В	Proficiency Rate (Against Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards) RELA	Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade four against grade level academic achievement standards (RELA)	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	<b>LEA Rate</b> 8.7%	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade eight against grade level academic achievement standards (RELA)	Baseline	4.76%	FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in high school against grade level academic achievement standards (RELA)	Baseline	0%	FY21 New Baseline

3В	Proficiency Rate (Against Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards) Math	Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade four against grade level academic achievement standards (Math)	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	<b>LEA Rate</b> 21.74%	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade eight against grade level academic achievement standards (Math)	Baseline	4.76%	FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in high school against grade level academic achievement standards (Math)	Baseline	0%	FY21 New Baseline
3C	Proficiency Rate (Against Alternate Academic Achievement Standards) RELA	Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade four against alternate academic achievement standards (RELA))	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	LEA Rate 100%	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade eight against alternate academic achievement standards (RELA)	Baseline	Not Applicable	FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in high school against alternate academic achievement standards (RELA)	Baseline	0%	FY21 New Baseline
3C	Proficiency Rate (Against Alternate Academic Achievement Standards) Math	Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade four against alternate academic achievement standards (Math)	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	LEA Rate 100%	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in grade eight against alternate academic achievement standards (Math)	Baseline	Not Applicable	FY21 New Baseline
		Proficiency rate of students with disabilities in high school against alternate academic achievement standards (Math)	Baseline	0%	FY21 New Baseline
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rate RELA	Gap in Proficiency rate for students with disabilities and all students in grade four against grade level academic achievement standards (RELA)	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	<b>LEA Gap</b> 20.87	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Gap in Proficiency rate for students with disabilities and all students in grade eight against grade level academic achievement standards (RELA)	Baseline	26.17	FY21 New Baseline
		Gap in Proficiency rate for students with disabilities and all students in high school against	Baseline	21.9	FY21 New Baseline
		grade level academic achievement standards (RELA)			

3D	Gap in Proficiency Rate MATH	Gap in Proficiency rate for students with disabilities and all students in grade four against grade level academic achievement standards (Math)	<b>LEA/SEA Target</b> Baseline	<b>LEA Gap</b> 11.3	Met Target FY21 New Baseline
		Gap in Proficiency rate for students with disabilities and all students in grade eight against grade level academic achievement standards (Math)	Baseline	21.01	FY21 New Baseline
		Gap in Proficiency rate for students with disabilities and all students in high school against grade level academic achievement standards (Math)	Baseline	25	FY21 New Baseline
4A	Suspension and Expulsion	District does not demonstrate significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs	State Target < 15.5% of LEAs	<b>LEA Target</b> Rate Ratio < 2.0	Met LEA Target Y
4B	Suspension and Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity	District does not demonstrate (a) significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) non-compliant policies, procedures or practices that contributed to the discrepancy	0% of LEAs	Rate Ratio < 2.0 or SEA review with no LEA findings	Υ
5A	LRE (>=80% regular class)	Percent of school-aged children with IEPs served: Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day;	State Target 62.73%	<b>LEA Rate</b> 88.28%	Met Target Y
5B	LRE (<40% regular class)	Percent of school-aged children with IEPs served: Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day; and	16.58%	5.44%	Y
5C	LRE (Separate Placements)	Percent of school-aged children with IEPs served: In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	1.48%	1.26%	Υ
6A	Preschool LRE(Regular Early Childhood Program)	Percentage of young children receiving the majority of special education services in a regular early childhood program.	State Target 32.54%	<b>LEA Rate</b> 61.54%	Met Target Y
6B	Preschool LRE(Separate Placements)	Percentage of young children receiving the majority of special education services in separate classes, separate schools or residential facilities.	41.32%	0%	Υ
6C	PRESCHOOL LRE(HOME)	Percentage of young children receiving the majority of special education services from home.	Baseline	0%	FY21 New Baseline

7A	Preschool Outcomes (Social-Emotional Skills)	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5	_	State Target	LEA Rate	Met Target
	(	with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive social-emotional skills(including social	Summary State1	81.00%	100%	Y
		relationships);	Summary State2	63.00%	66.67%	Υ
7B	Preschool Outcomes	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5				
	(Acquisition of Skills)	with IEPs who demonstrate improved:	Summary	83.00%	83.33%	Υ
		Acquisition and use of knowledge and	State1 Summary	46.00%	58.33%	Y
		skills(including early language/communication	State2			•
70	December 1 Outrons	and early literacy); and				
7C	Preschool Outcomes (Use of Appropriate	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Use of	Summary			
	Behaviors)	appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	State1 Summary	81.00%	91.67%	Y
			State2	72.00%	66.67%	N
8	Parent Involvement	Percent of parents with a child receiving special	State Target	LEA	Rate	Met Target
		education services who report that schools	88.60%	93.7	75%	Y
		facilitated parent involvement as a means of				
		improving services and results for children with				
		disabilities.				
9	Disproportionate	District does not demonstrate disproportionate	State Target	LEA	Rate	Met LEA Target
	Representation	representation of racial and ethnic groups in	0% of LEAs	Risk Ratio SEA revie		Υ
		special education and related services which is	0% of LEAS	no LEA fi		1
		the result of inappropriate identification policies, procedures and practices.				
		procedures and practices.				
10	Disproportionate	District does not demonstrate disproportionate	State Target	LEA		Met LEA Target
	Representation	representation of racial and ethnic groups in	0% of LEAs	Risk Ratio SEA revie		Υ
		specific disability categories which is the result of inappropriate identification policies, procedures		no LEA fi	ndings	
		and practices.				
11	Child Find	Percent of children age 3-21 who were evaluated	State Target 100.00%	<b>LEA</b>		Met Target Y
		within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	100.0070		, , ,	•
12	Early Childhood	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age	State Target	LEA		Met Target
	Transition	3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have	100.00%	100	)%	Υ
		an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.				
		22,5.				
13	Secondary Transition	Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above	State Target	LEA *10		Met Target
		with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable	100.00%	*10 on Plans were i		Y or to EY21
		postsecondary goals.There is also evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting	Hallslu	J 1 14113 WEIE I	oriewed pile	
		where transition services were discussed.				

14 Post-school Outcomes	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, who had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:			
14A	Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Target 27.40%	<b>LEA Rate</b> 14.29%	Met Target N
14B	Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	55.00%	85.71%	Υ
14C	Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	81.00%	100.00%	Y
20 Timely and accurate data	State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.	State Target 100.00%	LEA Rate 100%	Met Target Y