

Justification for the Elimination of Honors Classes in Grade 8

In the 2023-2024 school year, the WWRSD will continue a multi-year plan to eliminate honors classes in Grade 8 now that those students are housed in the middle school. It is understood this plan has been the subject of some public criticism, and we sincerely appreciate the parental input received on this topic.

Please know there is **no** intention to eventually phase out Math 7 Accelerated or Algebra 8. It is important to note these are **accelerated** courses, **not** honors courses. That is a notable distinction because they cover more than one year of math content. Completing Algebra I in middle school enables a student to enroll in Geometry in Grade 9, thereby accelerating through a grade level of math and opening up the possibility of taking AP courses in high school.

Unlike math, the honors English, Social Studies and World Language classes **do not** enable a student to accelerate through content. Our plan is to implement **the same within-class ability grouping and small group instruction that we have in place K-7**. Therefore, our honors students will be exposed to the same content and skills, just not in a class that is labeled honors. This will have no negative impact on these students, as evidenced by the research that will be cited below. **The student experience will be the same.**

A Deep Dive into our Practices

In examining our honors enrollment this year, we identified some concerns with our practices. Namely, there is a discrepancy between the male to female ratio. For example, English 8 Accelerated contains only 32% males, and Social Studies 8 Honors consists of only 34% males. This caught our attention, and resulted in a deep dive into the criteria. We identified concerns regarding our rubrics as well, as they tend to favor the compliant and organized student, which is not always indicative of an honors-level student. Moreover, the attrition rate between Grade 8 honors classes and Grade 9 honors classes is worrisome. There were 53 students in English 8 Accelerated in 2021-2022, while only 42 are enrolled in English I Honors this year. Likewise, 52 students were enrolled in Social Studies 8 Accelerated last year, while 42 are in World History 9 Honors this year. Honors classes are for high school, and we do not want our students to be prematurely "weeded out" in middle school.

The Nature of our Honors Classes

We utilize The Workshop Model in our ELA classes, which is based on individual developmental levels. Gone are the days of whole class novels. Students now self-select reading material that is of interest and appropriate for their reading level. Our higher achieving students choose more

complex texts and tend to read at a high volume. This applies to our Social Studies classes as well. The ability to do so will remain in our new structure. Our valued and experienced teachers are well equipped to meet the needs of our students. Through the use of effective formative assessments, teachers will differentiate their instruction according to student ability and use the standards to progress student learning.

The Difference Between Honors and Gifted

There is a difference between an honors student and a gifted student. The United States Department of Education cites the fact that only 3-5% of the population is gifted. There are 214 students in our current Grade 7, so that means roughly 11 are identified with that label. Our gifted students are served through our Gifted and Talented programming, which is getting an overhaul this summer.

Therefore, we are restructuring the way we serve our higher achieving students by transitioning to within-class ability grouping rather than honors classes for the reasons outlined above. Please know this is a well-thought-out plan on our part, and we have done our due diligence by examining our in-house data and the research conducted by experts in the field. We effectively serve our K-7 students through this model, and we are confident that next year's Grade 8 students will have a challenging educational experience as well.

Research Overview

The findings of our analysis may lead some to wonder why we are not willing to keep honors and just improve the entrance criteria. The answer to this question lies in the research on the topic. If the research showed honors courses were effective in the middle grades, they would be the norm in Bergen County, not the exception. Granted, homogeneous grouping has been a controversial topic in education for years, and the research on it is mixed. Here is a sample of the research that supports within-class ability grouping:

Example #1

Murphy et. al. explored the difference between homogeneous versus heterogeneous grouping on students' text-based discussion and comprehension, which is the core of ELA and Social Studies skills. They found "heterogeneously grouped students exhibited larger gains than homogeneously grouped students."

Murphy, P. K., Greene, J. A., Firetto, C. M., Li, M., Lobczowski, N. G., Duke, R. F., Wei, L., & Croninger, R. M. V. (2017). Exploring the influence of homogeneous versus heterogeneous grouping on students' text-based discussions and comprehension. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 51, 336-355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2017.09.003.

One study reviewed results of 29 studies on the effects of ability grouping in secondary (grades 7–12) schools. The effects of ability grouping on achievement were found to be nonexistent or trivial across all studies.

Slavin, R. E. (1990). Achievement effects of ability grouping in secondary schools: A best-evidence synthesis. Review of Educational Research, 60(3), 471–499.

Example #3

In a study by Steenbergen-Hu, Saiying, et al., within-class ability grouping, which is what we are planning, showed effects that were "positive and statistically significant."

Steenbergen-Hu, Saiying, et al. "What One Hundred Years of Research Says About the Effects of Ability Grouping and Acceleration on K-12 Students' Academic Achievement: Findings of Two Second-Order Meta-Analyses." *Review of Educational Research*, vol. 86, no. 4, 2016, pp. 849–99. *JSTOR*, http://www.jstor.org/stable/44668238. Accessed 27 May 2023.

Example #4

Puzio and Colby studied the effects of within-class grouping on reading achievement, and they determined the practice is effective.

Puzio, Kelly and Glenn T. Colby. "The Effects of within Class Grouping on Reading Achievement: A Meta-Analytic Synthesis." *Society for Research on Educational Effectiveness* (2010): n. Pag.